New results on the exactness of Lasserre relaxations for compact basic closed semialgebraic sets

(joint work with Tom-Lukas Kriel)

Semidefinite and Matrix Methods for Optimization and Communications Workshop on Positive Semidefinite Rank National University of Singapore

February 1-5, 2016

Markus Schweighofer

Universität Konstanz





























































Less naive linearization

redundant:

Α				x_{1}^{3}	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_2$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	x_{2}^{4}	+	$2x_1^2$	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	x_{1}^{2}	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	<i>x</i> ₁	+	4	\geq	0
redundant:												
AB	—	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	<i>x</i> ₁	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0

A				x_{1}^{3}	—	<i>x</i> ₁	—	$2x_2$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	x_{2}^{4}	+	$2x_1^2$	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	$x_1^{\bar{2}}$	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
redundant:												
AB	_	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_2$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	x_1	+	8x2	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	_	$x_1^5 x_2^{\bar{4}}$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0

Α				x_{1}^{3}	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_2$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	x_{2}^{4}	+	$2x_1^2$	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	$x_1^{\bar{2}}$	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
redundant:												
AB	_	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_1^{5}	+		_	x_1	+	8 <i>x</i> 2	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	_	$x_1^5 x_2^{\bar{4}}$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						x_{1}^{2}	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	\geq	0

A				x_{1}^{3}	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_2$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	x_{2}^{4}	+	$2x_1^2$	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	x_{1}^{2}	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	<i>x</i> ₁	+	4	\geq	0
redundant:												
AB	_	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	<i>x</i> ₁	+	8 <i>x</i> 2	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	_	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_{2}$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						x_{1}^{2}	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_2^2	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	$4x_1^2$	+	$4x_1x_2$	+	$4x_2^2$	\geq	0

Α				x_{1}^{3}	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_2$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	x_{2}^{4}	+	$2x_1^2$	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			—	x_{1}^{2}	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	<i>x</i> ₁	+	4	\geq	0
redundant:												
AB	_	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	<i>x</i> ₁	+	8 <i>x</i> 2	—	4	\geq	0
ABC	—	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_{2}$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						x_{1}^{2}	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_2^2	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	$4x_1^2$	+	$4x_1x_2$	+	$4x_2^2$	\geq	0
A				<i>y</i> 1	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_2$	+	1	\geq	0
--------------	---	-----------------------------	---	-------------	---	-----------------------	---	-------------------------	---	---------------	--------	---
В	_	x ₂ ⁴	+	$2x_1^2$	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	x_{1}^{2}	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	<i>x</i> ₁	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_2$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	x_1	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	—	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	—	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						x_{1}^{2}	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	$4x_1^2$	+	$4x_1x_2$	+	$4x_2^2$	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_2$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	x ₂ ⁴	+	$2x_1^2$	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	x_{1}^{2}	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	<i>x</i> ₁	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_2$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		—	x_1	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	—	4	\geq	0
ABC	—	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		—	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	—	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						x_{1}^{2}	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	$4x_1^2$	+	$4x_1x_2$	+	$4x_2^2$	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	—	<i>y</i> ₂	+	$2x_1^2$	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	x_{1}^{2}	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	—	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_2$	—	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		—	<i>x</i> ₁	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	—	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	—	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						x_{1}^{2}	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+	•••	+	$4x_1^2$	+	$4x_1x_2$	+	$4x_2^2$	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_2$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	<i>y</i> ₂	+	$2x_1^2$	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	x_{1}^{2}	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	<i>x</i> ₁	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	—	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_2$	—	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	x_1	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	_	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						x_1^2	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	$4x_1^2$	+	$4x_1x_2$	+	$4x_2^2$	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	<i>y</i> ₂	+	2 <i>y</i> ₃	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	<i>y</i> 3	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	—	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_2$	—	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	<i>x</i> ₁	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	_	$x_1^5 x_2^{\bar{4}}$	+		—	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						У3	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	4 <i>y</i> 3	+	$4x_1x_2$	+	$4x_2^2$	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	<i>y</i> ₂	+	2 <i>y</i> ₃	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	<i>y</i> 3	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		—	<i>x</i> ₁	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	—	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_{2}$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						У3	_	$2x_1x_2$	+	x_2^2	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	4 <i>y</i> 3	+	$4x_1x_2$	+	$4x_2^2$	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	<i>y</i> ₂	+	2 y 3	_	2 <i>y</i> ₄	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	<i>y</i> 3	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		—	x_1	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	—	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		—	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	—	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						<i>y</i> ₃	—	2 <i>y</i> ₄	+	x_{2}^{2}	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	4 <i>y</i> 3	+	4 <i>y</i> ₄	+	$4x_2^2$	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	<i>x</i> ₁	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	<i>y</i> ₂	+	2 y 3	_	2 <i>y</i> ₄	+	x_{2}^{2}	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			—	<i>y</i> 3	_	x_{2}^{2}	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	x_{2}^{2}	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	—	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	x_1	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	—	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						<i>y</i> ₃	_	2 <i>y</i> ₄	+	x_{2}^{2}	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	4 <i>y</i> 3	+	4 <i>y</i> ₄	+	$4x_{2}^{2}$	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	x_1	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	<i>y</i> ₂	+	2 <i>y</i> ₃	_	2 <i>y</i> ₄	+	<i>Y</i> 5	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	<i>y</i> 3	_	<i>y</i> 5	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	<i>y</i> 5	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	x_1	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	_	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						<i>y</i> 3	_	2 <i>y</i> ₄	+	<i>y</i> ₅	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	4 <i>y</i> 3	+	4 <i>y</i> ₄	+	4 <i>y</i> 5	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	x_1	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	<i>y</i> ₂	+	2 <i>y</i> ₃	_	2 <i>y</i> ₄	+	<i>Y</i> 5	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	<i>y</i> 3	_	<i>y</i> 5	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	$x_1^3 x_2^4$	+		+	<i>y</i> 5	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	x_1	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	_	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						<i>y</i> 3	_	2 <i>y</i> ₄	+	<i>y</i> ₅	\geq	0
D^2C	—	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	4 <i>y</i> 3	+	4 <i>y</i> ₄	+	4 <i>y</i> 5	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	x_1	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	<i>y</i> ₂	+	2 <i>y</i> ₃	_	2 y 4	+	<i>Y</i> 5	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	<i>y</i> 3	_	<i>y</i> 5	+	<i>x</i> ₁	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	<i>У</i> 6	+		+	<i>y</i> 5	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	x_1	+	8x2	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	—	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						<i>y</i> ₃	_	2y ₄	+	<i>y</i> ₅	\geq	0
D^2C	—	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	4 <i>y</i> 3	+	4 <i>y</i> ₄	+	4 <i>y</i> 5	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	x_1	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	<i>y</i> ₂	+	2 <u>y</u> 3	_	2 y 4	+	<i>y</i> 5	—	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	<i>y</i> 3	_	<i>y</i> 5	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	<i>У</i> 6	+		+	<i>y</i> 5	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		x_{1}^{5}	+		_	x_1	+	8x2	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	_	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						<i>y</i> ₃	_	2y ₄	+	<i>y</i> ₅	\geq	0
D^2C	_	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	4 <i>y</i> 3	+	4 <i>y</i> ₄	+	4 <i>y</i> 5	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	x_1	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	—	<i>y</i> ₂	+	2 <i>y</i> ₃	_	2 y 4	+	<i>y</i> 5	—	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			—	<i>y</i> 3	_	<i>y</i> 5	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	<i>У</i> 6	+		+	<i>y</i> 5	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	—	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		<i>Y</i> 10	+		_	x_1	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	_	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						<i>y</i> ₃	_	2y ₄	+	<i>y</i> ₅	\geq	0
D^2C	—	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	4 <i>y</i> 3	+	4 <i>y</i> ₄	+	4 <i>y</i> 5	\geq	0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	x_1	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	—	<i>y</i> ₂	+	2 <i>y</i> ₃	_	2 y 4	+	<i>y</i> 5	—	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			—	<i>y</i> 3	_	<i>y</i> 5	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	<i>У</i> 6	+		+	<i>y</i> 5	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$	—	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		<i>Y</i> 10	+		_	x_1	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	_	$x_1^5 x_2^4$	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						<i>y</i> 3	_	2 <i>y</i> ₄	+	<i>y</i> ₅	\geq	0
D^2C	—	x_{1}^{4}	+		+	4 <i>y</i> 3	+	4 <i>y</i> ₄	+	4 <i>y</i> ₅	\geq	0

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \geq & 0 \\ \geq & 0 \\ \geq & 0 \end{array}$ Α В $y_5 + x_1 +$ C *Y*3 irredundant: AB ≥ 0 ≥ 0 ≥ 0 0 AC ABC $y_3 - \tilde{2}y_4 + y_5 \geq 0$ D^2 \geq D^2C X_1^4 $\dots + 4y_3 + 4y_4$ $4y_{5}$ +0 +

System of polynomial inequalities Less naive linearization

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \geq & 0 \\ \geq & 0 \\ \geq & 0 \end{array}$ Α В $y_5 + x_1 +$ C *Y*3 irredundant: AB ≥ 0 ≥ 0 ≥ 0 AC ABC $y_3 - \tilde{2}y_4 + y_5 \geq 0$ D^2 \geq D^2C $x_1^4 +$ $\dots + 4y_3 + 4y_4$ $4y_{5}$ +0

0

A				<i>y</i> 1	_	x_1	_	$2x_{2}$	+	1	\geq	0
В	_	<i>y</i> ₂	+	2 y 3	_	2 y 4	+	<i>y</i> 5	_	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
С			_	<i>y</i> 3	_	<i>y</i> ₅	+	x_1	+	4	\geq	0
irredundant:												
AB	_	<i>У</i> 6	+		+	<i>y</i> 5	+	$\frac{2}{3}x_2$	—	$\frac{1}{3}$	\geq	0
AC		<i>Y</i> 10	+		_	x_1	+	8 <i>x</i> ₂	_	4	\geq	0
ABC	_	<i>Y</i> 13	+		_	$\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$	_	$\frac{8}{3}x_2$	+	$\frac{4}{3}$	\geq	0
D^2						<i>y</i> 3	_	2 <i>y</i> ₄	+	<i>y</i> ₅	\geq	0
D^2C	_	<i>Y</i> 18	+		+	4 <i>y</i> 3	+	4 <i>y</i> ₄	+	4 <i>y</i> 5	\geq	0



Systematic linearization

Systematic linearization

Systematic linearization

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2 + dx_1^2 + ex_1x_2 + fx_2^2)^2 \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}x_1 + \mathbf{c}x_2 + \mathbf{d}x_1^2 + \mathbf{e}x_1x_2 + \mathbf{f}x_2^2)^2 \ge 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$(a+bx_{1}+cx_{2}+dx_{1}^{2}+ex_{1}x_{2}+fx_{2}^{2})(1 \quad x_{1} \quad x_{2} \quad x_{1}^{2} \quad x_{1}x_{2} \quad x_{2}^{2})\begin{pmatrix}a\\b\\c\\d\\e\\f\end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}x_1 + \mathbf{c}x_2 + \mathbf{d}x_1^2 + \mathbf{e}x_1x_2 + \mathbf{f}x_2^2)^2 \ge 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_1^2 \\ x_1 x_2 \\ x_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1 x_2 & x_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}x_1 + \mathbf{c}x_2 + \mathbf{d}x_1^2 + \mathbf{e}x_1x_2 + \mathbf{f}x_2^2)^2 \ge 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ x_1^2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 & x_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^2 \\ x_1^2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & x_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^3 \\ x_1^2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & x_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^2 \\ x_1^2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & x_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^2 \\ x_1^2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & x_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^2 \\ x_1^2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^2 \\ x_1^2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & y_3 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^2 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & y_3 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^2 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & x_2^2 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & x_2^2 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_3^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^3 \\ y_5 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$
Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^3 \\ y_5 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^3 \\ y_5 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_2^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & y_{10} & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & y_{10} & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & y_{10} & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & y_{10} & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & y_{10} & y_{11} \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & y_{10} & y_{11} & x_1 x_2^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & y_{11} & x_1 x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & y_{10} & y_{11} \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & y_{10} & y_{11} & x_1 x_2^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & y_{11} & x_1 x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

(a b

$$\begin{array}{cccc} c & d & e & f \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & y_{10} & y_{11} \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & y_{10} & y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & y_{11} & y_{12} & y_2 \end{array} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Systematic linearization

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & y_{10} & y_{11} \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & y_{10} & y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & y_{11} & y_{12} & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$$

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2)^2(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \ge 0$$

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2)^2(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \ge 0 \qquad \iff$$

$$(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4)(a + bx_1 + cx_2)(1 \quad x_1 \quad x_2) \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2)^2(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \ge 0 \iff$$

$$(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2)^2(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \ge 0 \qquad \iff$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} (-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2)^2(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \ge 0 \qquad \iff$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} (-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1 x_2 \\ x_2 & x_1 x_2 & x_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^3 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4 x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4 x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^3 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4 x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4 x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4 x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4 x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4 x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4 x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_7 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_7 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$
Lasserre relaxation Systematic linearization

irredundant families (parametrized by a, b, c, ...):

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_7 - y_8 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Lasserre relaxation Systematic linearization

irredundant families (parametrized by a, b, c, ...):

$$\begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_7 - y_8 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$$



• $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, \ \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$
- $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ number of variables

- $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$
- $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ number of variables
- $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ tuple of variables

- $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$
- $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ number of variables
- $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ tuple of variables
- R[X] := R[X₁,...,X_n] polynomial ring over R (now R = ℝ, later R a real closed field)

- $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$
- $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ number of variables
- $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ tuple of variables
- R[X] := R[X₁,...,X_n] polynomial ring over R (now R = ℝ, later R a real closed field)
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_d := \{ p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] \mid \deg(p) \le d \}$

vector space of polynomials of degree at most d $(d \in \mathbb{N}_0)$

- $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$
- $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ number of variables
- $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ tuple of variables
- R[X] := R[X₁,...,X_n] polynomial ring over R (now R = ℝ, later R a real closed field)
- ℝ[X]_d := {p ∈ ℝ[X] | deg(p) ≤ d}

 vector space of polynomials of degree at most d (d ∈ ℕ₀)
- ▶ $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$, $g_0 := 1 \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ polynomials

- $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{0}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$
- $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ number of variables
- $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ tuple of variables
- R[X] := R[X₁,...,X_n] polynomial ring over R (now R = ℝ, later R a real closed field)
- ℝ[X]_d := {p ∈ ℝ[X] | deg(p) ≤ d}

 vector space of polynomials of degree at most d (d ∈ ℕ₀)
- ▶ $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$, $g_0 := 1 \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ polynomials
- ▶ $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$ basic closed semialgebraic set

The system of polynomial inequalities given by g_1, \ldots, g_m has solution set S.

The system of polynomial inequalities given by g_1, \ldots, g_m has solution set *S*. The idea was to add before the linearization many redundant polynomial inequalities given by the p^2g_i where $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and $i \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$.

The system of polynomial inequalities given by g_1, \ldots, g_m has solution set *S*. The idea was to add before the linearization many redundant polynomial inequalities given by the p^2g_i where $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and $i \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$. What were the consequences of all these linearized inequalities?

The system of polynomial inequalities given by g_1, \ldots, g_m has solution set *S*. The idea was to add before the linearization many redundant polynomial inequalities given by the p^2g_i where $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and $i \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$. What were the consequences of all these linearized inequalities? Adding two linearized inequalities yields the same as linearizing the added inequalities.

The system of polynomial inequalities given by g_1, \ldots, g_m has solution set S. The idea was to add before the linearization many redundant polynomial inequalities given by the p^2g_i where $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and $i \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$. What were the consequences of all these linearized inequalities? Adding two linearized inequalities yields the same as linearizing the added inequalities. Therefore it is interesting to investigate the quadratic module generated by g_1, \ldots, g_m in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$

$$M := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j} p_{ij}^2 g_i \mid p_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}].$$

The system of polynomial inequalities given by g_1, \ldots, g_m has solution set S. The idea was to add before the linearization many redundant polynomial inequalities given by the p^2g_i where $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and $i \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$. What were the consequences of all these linearized inequalities? Adding two linearized inequalities yields the same as linearizing the added inequalities. Therefore it is interesting to investigate the quadratic module generated by g_1, \ldots, g_m in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$

$$M := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j} p_{ij}^2 g_i \mid p_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}].$$

In the Lasserre relaxation, one has to restrict the degree of the added inequalities, say to d ($d \in \mathbb{N}_0$).

The system of polynomial inequalities given by g_1, \ldots, g_m has solution set S. The idea was to add before the linearization many redundant polynomial inequalities given by the p^2g_i where $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and $i \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$. What were the consequences of all these linearized inequalities? Adding two linearized inequalities yields the same as linearizing the added inequalities. Therefore it is interesting to investigate the quadratic module generated by g_1, \ldots, g_m in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$

$$M := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j} p_{ij}^2 g_i \mid p_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}].$$

In the Lasserre relaxation, one has to restrict the degree of the added inequalities, say to d ($d \in \mathbb{N}_0$). Therefore the *d*-truncated quadratic module generated by g_1, \ldots, g_m in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ becomes relevant:

The system of polynomial inequalities given by g_1, \ldots, g_m has solution set S. The idea was to add before the linearization many redundant polynomial inequalities given by the p^2g_i where $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and $i \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$. What were the consequences of all these linearized inequalities? Adding two linearized inequalities yields the same as linearizing the added inequalities. Therefore it is interesting to investigate the quadratic module generated by g_1, \ldots, g_m in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$

$$M := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j} p_{ij}^2 g_i \mid p_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}].$$

In the Lasserre relaxation, one has to restrict the degree of the added inequalities, say to d ($d \in \mathbb{N}_0$). Therefore the *d*-truncated quadratic module generated by g_1, \ldots, g_m in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ becomes relevant:

$$M_d := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_j p_{ij}^2 g_i \mid p_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_k, 2k + \deg(g_i) \leq d \right\} \subseteq M \cap \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_d.$$

Suppose S is compact. Then its convex hull conv S is also compact.

Suppose S is compact. Then its convex hull conv S is also compact.

Suppose that S has moreover non-empty interior. Then the degree d Lasserre relaxation describes the convex hull of S if and only if $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } S\} \subseteq M_d$.

Suppose S is compact. Then its convex hull conv S is also compact.

Suppose that S has moreover non-empty interior. Then the degree d Lasserre relaxation describes the convex hull of S if and only if $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } S\} \subseteq M_d$.

We will use only the if part which is trivial. The only if part is elementary.

Suppose S is compact. Then its convex hull conv S is also compact.

Suppose that S has moreover non-empty interior. Then the degree d Lasserre relaxation describes the convex hull of S if and only if $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } S\} \subseteq M_d$.

We will use only the if part which is trivial. The only if part is elementary.

The question whether the Lasserre relaxation is eventually exact, therefore is equivalent to the existence of $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } S\} \subseteq M_d$

Definition. Call *M* archimedean if $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : p + N \in M$.

Definition. Call *M* archimedean if $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : p + N \in M$.

Proposition. *M* archimedean $\iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \in M$.

Definition. Call *M* archimedean if $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : p + N \in M$.

Proposition. *M* archimedean $\iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \in M$.

Proof. " \Longrightarrow " is trivial, " \Leftarrow " is tricky but easy.

Definition. Call *M* archimedean if $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : p + N \in M$.

Proposition. *M* archimedean $\iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \in M$.

It is trivial that M archimedean $\implies S$ compact and one can show the following:

Definition. Call *M* archimedean if $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : p + N \in M$.

Proposition. *M* archimedean $\iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \in M$.

It is trivial that M archimedean $\implies S$ compact and one can show the following: The converse is not always but in really a lot of cases true,

Definition. Call *M* archimedean if $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : p + N \in M$.

Proposition. *M* archimedean $\iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \in M$.

It is trivial that M archimedean $\implies S$ compact and one can show the following: The converse is not always but in really a lot of cases true, for example if there are $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in M$ such that for $T := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid p_1(x) \ge 0, \ldots, p_k(x) \ge 0\} \supseteq S$

Definition. Call *M* archimedean if $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : p + N \in M$.

Proposition. *M* archimedean $\iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \in M$.

It is trivial that M archimedean $\implies S$ compact and one can show the following: The converse is not always but in really a lot of cases true, for example if there are $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in M$ such that for $T := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid p_1(x) \ge 0, \ldots, p_k(x) \ge 0\} \supseteq S$ (a) each p_i is linear and the polyhedron T is compact, or

Definition. Call *M* archimedean if $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : p + N \in M$.

Proposition. *M* archimedean $\iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \in M$.

It is trivial that M archimedean $\implies S$ compact and one can show the following: The converse is not always but in really a lot of cases true, for example if there are $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in M$ such that for $T := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid p_1(x) \ge 0, \ldots, p_k(x) \ge 0\} \supseteq S$ (a) each p_i is linear and the polyhedron T is compact, or (b) k = 1 and T is compact [Schmüdgen 1991], or more generally

Definition. Call *M* archimedean if $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : p + N \in M$.

Proposition. *M* archimedean $\iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \in M$.

It is trivial that M archimedean $\implies S$ compact and one can show the following: The converse is not always but in really a lot of cases true, for example if there are $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in M$ such that for $T := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid p_1(x) \ge 0, \ldots, p_k(x) \ge 0\} \supseteq S$ (a) each p_i is linear and the polyhedron T is compact, or (b) k = 1 and T is compact [Schmüdgen 1991], or more generally (c) k = 2 and T is compact [Prestel & Jacobi 2001].

Definition. Call *M* archimedean if $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : p + N \in M$.

Proposition. *M* archimedean $\iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \in M$.

It is trivial that M archimedean $\implies S$ compact and one can show the following: The converse is not always but in really a lot of cases true, for example if there are $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in M$ such that for $T := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid p_1(x) \ge 0, \ldots, p_k(x) \ge 0\} \supseteq S$ (a) each p_i is linear and the polyhedron T is compact, or (b) k = 1 and T is compact [Schmüdgen 1991], or more generally (c) k = 2 and T is compact [Prestel & Jacobi 2001].

Is S is compact with non-empty interior and the Lasserre relaxation is eventually exact, then M is archimedean by (a) and the last slide.

Definition. Call *M* archimedean if $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : p + N \in M$.

Proposition. *M* archimedean $\iff \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \in M$.

It is trivial that M archimedean $\implies S$ compact and one can show the following: The converse is not always but in really a lot of cases true, for example if there are $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in M$ such that for $T := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid p_1(x) \ge 0, \ldots, p_k(x) \ge 0\} \supseteq S$ (a) each p_i is linear and the polyhedron T is compact, or (b) k = 1 and T is compact [Schmüdgen 1991], or more generally (c) k = 2 and T is compact [Prestel & Jacobi 2001].

Is S is compact with non-empty interior and the Lasserre relaxation is eventually exact, then M is archimedean by (a) and the last slide.

Conversely, when M is archimedean, then S is compact but is the Lasserre relaxation eventually exact?

First main result

S not necessarily connected with not necessarily convex connected components

Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ strictly quasiconcave at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if

 $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (p'(x)y = 0 \implies y^T p''(x)y < 0).$

First main result

S not necessarily connected with not necessarily convex connected components Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ strictly quasiconcave at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (p'(x)y = 0 \implies y^T p''(x)y < 0).$$

We call it strictly quasiconcave on a subset of \mathbb{R}^n if it is strictly quasiconcave at each of its points.

First main result

S not necessarily connected with not necessarily convex connected components Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ strictly quasiconcave at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (p'(x)y = 0 \implies y^T p''(x)y < 0).$$

We call it strictly quasiconcave on a subset of \mathbb{R}^n if it is strictly quasiconcave at each of its points.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose *M* is archimedean and $T := S \cap \partial \operatorname{conv} S \subseteq \operatorname{int} S$.
S not necessarily connected with not necessarily convex connected components Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ strictly quasiconcave at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (p'(x)y = 0 \implies y^T p''(x)y < 0).$$

We call it strictly quasiconcave on a subset of \mathbb{R}^n if it is strictly quasiconcave at each of its points.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose M is archimedean and $T := S \cap \partial \operatorname{conv} S \subseteq \operatorname{int} S$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, let g_i be strictly quasiconcave on $\{x \in T \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$.

S not necessarily connected with not necessarily convex connected components Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ strictly quasiconcave at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (p'(x)y = 0 \implies y^T p''(x)y < 0).$$

We call it strictly quasiconcave on a subset of \mathbb{R}^n if it is strictly quasiconcave at each of its points.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose *M* is archimedean and $T := S \cap \partial \operatorname{conv} S \subseteq \operatorname{int} S$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, let g_i be strictly quasiconcave on $\{x \in T \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$. Then the Lasserre relaxation is eventually exact.

S not necessarily connected with not necessarily convex connected components Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ strictly quasiconcave at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (p'(x)y = 0 \implies y^T p''(x)y < 0).$$

We call it strictly quasiconcave on a subset of \mathbb{R}^n if it is strictly quasiconcave at each of its points.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose *M* is archimedean and $T := S \cap \partial \operatorname{conv} S \subseteq \operatorname{int} S$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, let g_i be strictly quasiconcave on $\{x \in T \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$. Then the Lasserre relaxation is eventually exact.

Remark. Under the conditions of the theorem, Helton and Nie showed in 2009 the weaker statement that conv S is the projection of a spectrahedron.

S not necessarily connected with not necessarily convex connected components Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ strictly quasiconcave at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (p'(x)y = 0 \implies y^T p''(x)y < 0).$$

We call it strictly quasiconcave on a subset of \mathbb{R}^n if it is strictly quasiconcave at each of its points.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose *M* is archimedean and $T := S \cap \partial \operatorname{conv} S \subseteq \operatorname{int} S$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, let g_i be strictly quasiconcave on $\{x \in T \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$. Then the Lasserre relaxation is eventually exact.

Remark. Under the conditions of the theorem, Helton and Nie showed in 2009 the weaker statement that $\operatorname{conv} S$ is the projection of a spectrahedron. They proved this in a completely different manner by glueing together many local Lasserre relaxations of convex pieces near the boundary. They don't produce an explicit description.

$$p_1 := X_1^3 - X_1 - 2X_2 + 1$$



$$p_1 := X_1^3 - X_1 - 2X_2 + 1$$

$$p_2 := -X_2^4 + 2X_1^2 - 2X_1X_2 + X_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}$$



$$p_{1} := X_{1}^{3} - X_{1} - 2X_{2} + 1$$

$$p_{2} := -X_{2}^{4} + 2X_{1}^{2} - 2X_{1}X_{2} + X_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$p_{3} := -X_{1}^{2} - X_{2}^{2} + X_{1} + 4$$



Eventually exact Lasserre relaxation

$$p_{1} := X_{1}^{3} - X_{1} - 2X_{2} + 1$$

$$p_{2} := -X_{2}^{4} + 2X_{1}^{2} - 2X_{1}X_{2} + X_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$p_{3} := -X_{1}^{2} - X_{2}^{2} + X_{1} + 4$$



S

$$p_{1} := X_{1}^{3} - X_{1} - 2X_{2} + 1$$

$$p_{2} := -X_{2}^{4} + 2X_{1}^{2} - 2X_{1}X_{2} + X_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$p_{3} := -X_{1}^{2} - X_{2}^{2} + X_{1} + 4$$



$$p_{1} := X_{1}^{3} - X_{1} - 2X_{2} + 1$$

$$p_{2} := -X_{2}^{4} + 2X_{1}^{2} - 2X_{1}X_{2} + X_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$p_{3} := -X_{1}^{2} - X_{2}^{2} + X_{1} + 4$$



Basic proof strategy. We have to show that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } S\} \subseteq M_d$.

Basic proof strategy. We have to show that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } S\} \subseteq M_d$. By a general principle, from real algebraic geometry, or more generally from model theory or logic, it is enough to show the following:

Basic proof strategy. We have to show that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } S\} \subseteq M_d$. By a general principle, from real algebraic geometry, or more generally from model theory or logic, it is enough to show the following:

For all real closed extension fields R of \mathbb{R} , setting $\tilde{S} := \{x \in R^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$ and

$$ilde{\mathcal{M}} := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_j p_{ij}^2 g_i \mid p_{ij} \in R[\underline{X}] \right\} \subseteq R[\underline{X}],$$

we have $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } \tilde{S}\} \subseteq \tilde{M}$.

Basic proof strategy. We have to show that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } S\} \subseteq M_d$. By a general principle, from real algebraic geometry, or more generally from model theory or logic, it is enough to show the following:

For all real closed extension fields R of \mathbb{R} , setting $\tilde{S} := \{x \in R^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$ and

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}} := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j} p_{ij}^2 g_i \mid p_{ij} \in R[\underline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}] \right\} \subseteq R[\underline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}],$$

we have $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } \tilde{S}\} \subseteq \tilde{M}$.

A real closed field is a field admitting a field order such that the intermediate value theorem holds for polynomials.

Basic proof strategy. We have to show that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } S\} \subseteq M_d$. By a general principle, from real algebraic geometry, or more generally from model theory or logic, it is enough to show the following:

For all real closed extension fields R of \mathbb{R} , setting $\tilde{S} := \{x \in R^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$ and

$$ilde{\mathcal{M}} := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_j p_{ij}^2 g_i \mid p_{ij} \in R[\underline{X}] \right\} \subseteq R[\underline{X}],$$

we have $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } \tilde{S}\} \subseteq \tilde{M}$.

A real closed field is a field admitting a field order such that the intermediate value theorem holds for polynomials. Such an order can be shown to be unique.

Basic proof strategy. We have to show that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } S\} \subseteq M_d$. By a general principle, from real algebraic geometry, or more generally from model theory or logic, it is enough to show the following:

For all real closed extension fields R of \mathbb{R} , setting $\tilde{S} := \{x \in R^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$ and

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}} := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j} p_{ij}^2 g_i \mid p_{ij} \in R[\underline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}] \right\} \subseteq R[\underline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}],$$

we have $\{\ell \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]_1 \mid \ell \geq 0 \text{ on } \tilde{S}\} \subseteq \tilde{M}$.

A real closed field is a field admitting a field order such that the intermediate value theorem holds for polynomials. Such an order can be shown to be unique. The prototype of all real closed fields is \mathbb{R} . All proper real closed field extensions of \mathbb{R} however admit "infinite" and therefore "infinitesimal" elements we have to cope with.

S convex

Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ *g*-sos-concave if there exist matrices P_0, \ldots, P_m with entries in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and *m* columns each such that

$$-p''=\sum_{i=0}^n g_i P_i^T P_i.$$

S convex

Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ g-sos-concave if there exist matrices P_0, \ldots, P_m with entries in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and m columns each such that

$$-p''=\sum_{i=0}^n g_i P_i^T P_i.$$

Example. Linear polynomials are trivially g-sos-concave.

S convex

Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ g-sos-concave if there exist matrices P_0, \ldots, P_m with entries in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and m columns each such that

$$-p''=\sum_{i=0}^n g_i P_i^T P_i.$$

Example. Linear polynomials are trivially g-sos-concave.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose S is convex with non-empty interior and M is archimedean.

S convex

Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ g-sos-concave if there exist matrices P_0, \ldots, P_m with entries in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and m columns each such that

$$-p''=\sum_{i=0}^n g_i P_i^T P_i.$$

Example. Linear polynomials are trivially g-sos-concave.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose *S* is convex with non-empty interior and *M* is archimedean. For each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, let

• g_i be strictly quasiconcave on $\{x \in S \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$, or

S convex

Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ *g*-sos-concave if there exist matrices P_0, \ldots, P_m with entries in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and *m* columns each such that

$$-p''=\sum_{i=0}^n g_i P_i^T P_i.$$

Example. Linear polynomials are trivially g-sos-concave.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose *S* is convex with non-empty interior and *M* is archimedean. For each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, let

- g_i be strictly quasiconcave on $\{x \in S \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$, or
- ▶ g_i be g-sos-concave.

S convex

Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ *g*-sos-concave if there exist matrices P_0, \ldots, P_m with entries in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and *m* columns each such that

$$-p''=\sum_{i=0}^n g_i P_i^T P_i.$$

Example. Linear polynomials are trivially g-sos-concave.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose *S* is convex with non-empty interior and *M* is archimedean. For each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, let

- g_i be strictly quasiconcave on $\{x \in S \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$, or
- ▶ g_i be g-sos-concave.

Then the Lasserre relaxation is eventually exact.

S convex

Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ g-sos-concave if there exist matrices P_0, \ldots, P_m with entries in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and m columns each such that

$$-p''=\sum_{i=0}^n g_i P_i^T P_i.$$

Example. Linear polynomials are trivially g-sos-concave.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose *S* is convex with non-empty interior and *M* is archimedean. For each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, let

- g_i be strictly quasiconcave on $\{x \in S \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$, or
- ▶ g_i be g-sos-concave.

Then the Lasserre relaxation is eventually exact.

Remark. Under stronger and very technical conditions, Helton and Nie showed in 2009 the same after changing the description of S.

S convex

Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ g-sos-concave if there exist matrices P_0, \ldots, P_m with entries in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and m columns each such that

$$-p''=\sum_{i=0}^n g_i P_i^T P_i.$$

Example. Linear polynomials are trivially g-sos-concave.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose *S* is convex with non-empty interior and *M* is archimedean. For each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, let

- g_i be strictly quasiconcave on $\{x \in S \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$, or
- ▶ g_i be g-sos-concave.

Then the Lasserre relaxation is eventually exact.

Remark. Under stronger and very technical conditions, Helton and Nie showed in 2009 the same after changing the description of S. Our proof builds up on a small part of their long and tedious proof.

S convex

Definition. We call $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ g-sos-concave if there exist matrices P_0, \ldots, P_m with entries in $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and m columns each such that

$$-p''=\sum_{i=0}^n g_i P_i^T P_i.$$

Example. Linear polynomials are trivially g-sos-concave.

Theorem [Kriel & S.]. Suppose *S* is convex with non-empty interior and *M* is archimedean. For each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, let

- g_i be strictly quasiconcave on $\{x \in S \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$, or
- ▶ *g_i* be *g*-sos-concave.

Then the Lasserre relaxation is eventually exact.

Remark. Under stronger and very technical conditions, Helton and Nie showed in 2009 the same after changing the description of S. Our proof builds up on a small part of their long and tedious proof. In 2010, Sinn gave a rigorous analysis of their proof and showed that adding all products g_ig_i $(1 \le i < j \le m)$ to the description of S is enough.

$$p_1 := 6X_1 - 3X_2 - 4$$



$$p_1 := 6X_1 - 3X_2 - 4$$

$$p_2 := -X_2^4 + 2X_1^2 - 2X_1X_2 + X_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}$$



$$p_{1} := 6X_{1} - 3X_{2} - 4$$

$$p_{2} := -X_{2}^{4} + 2X_{1}^{2} - 2X_{1}X_{2} + X_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$p_{3} := -X_{1}^{2} - X_{2}^{2} + X_{1} + 4$$



Eventually exact Lasserre relaxation

$$p_{1} := 6X_{1} - 3X_{2} - 4$$

$$p_{2} := -X_{2}^{4} + 2X_{1}^{2} - 2X_{1}X_{2} + X_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$p_{3} := -X_{1}^{2} - X_{2}^{2} + X_{1} + 4$$



S

Example.
$$g_1 := X_1 + 1$$
, $g_2 := X_2 - X_1^3$, $g_3 := X_2$, $g_4 := 1 - X_2$,
 $m := 4$, $S = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -1 \le x_1, \ x_1^3 \le x_2, \ 0 \le x_2 \le 1\}$
Note that $g'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -3X_1^2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g''_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -6X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.



Example.
$$g_1 := X_1 + 1$$
, $g_2 := X_2 - X_1^3$, $g_3 := X_2$, $g_4 := 1 - X_2$,
 $m := 4$, $S = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -1 \le x_1, \ x_1^3 \le x_2, \ 0 \le x_2 \le 1\}$
Note that $g'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -3X_1^2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g''_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -6X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.



Example.
$$g_1 := X_1 + 1$$
, $g_2 := X_2 - X_1^3$, $g_3 := X_2$, $g_4 := 1 - X_2$,
 $m := 4$, $S = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -1 \le x_1, x_1^3 \le x_2, 0 \le x_2 \le 1\}$
Note that $g'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -3X_1^2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g''_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -6X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.


An example with no exact Lasserre relaxation Theorem [Netzer & Plaumann & S. 2010] Suppose S is convex with non-empty interior. If S has at least one non-exposed face, then no Lasserre relaxation of S is exact.

Remark. This does not depend on the description of *S*.

Example.
$$g_1 := X_1 + 1$$
, $g_2 := X_2 - X_1^3$, $g_3 := X_2$, $g_4 := 1 - X_2$,
 $m := 4$, $S = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -1 \le x_1, x_1^3 \le x_2, 0 \le x_2 \le 1\}$
Note that $g'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -3X_1^2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g''_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -6X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.



Theorem [Gouveia & Netzer 2011] Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a line such that $S \cap L$ has non-empty interior in L.

Theorem [Gouveia & Netzer 2011] Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a line such that $S \cap L$ has non-empty interior in L. Let $x \in S$ be an element of the boundary of $\overline{\text{conv } S} \cap L$ in L.

Theorem [Gouveia & Netzer 2011] Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a line such that $S \cap L$ has non-empty interior in L. Let $x \in S$ be an element of the boundary of $\overline{\text{conv } S} \cap L$ in L. Suppose that every g_i vanishing at x has vanishing derivative in direction of L at x.

Example.
$$g_1 := -(4 - (X_1 - 5)^2 - X_2^2)(1 - X_1^2 - X_2^2), g_2 := 1 - X_2, g_3 := 1 + X_2, m := 3$$

Example.
$$g_1 := -(4 - (X_1 - 5)^2 - X_2^2)(1 - X_1^2 - X_2^2), g_2 := 1 - X_2, g_3 := 1 + X_2, m := 3$$



Example.
$$g_1 := -(4 - (X_1 - 5)^2 - X_2^2)(1 - X_1^2 - X_2^2), g_2 := 1 - X_2, g_3 := 1 + X_2, m := 3$$



Theorem [Gouveia & Netzer 2011] Let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a line such that $S \cap L$ has non-empty interior in L. Let $x \in S$ be an element of the boundary of $\overline{\operatorname{conv} S} \cap L$ in L. Suppose that every g_i vanishing at x has vanishing derivative in direction of L at x. Then each Lasserre relaxation of S contains strictly $\overline{\operatorname{conv} S}$.

Example.
$$g_1 := -(4 - (X_1 - 5)^2 - X_2^2)(1 - X_1^2 - X_2^2), g_2 := 1 - X_2, g_3 := 1 + X_2, m := 3$$

First main theorem is not applicable since g_2 and g_3 nowhere strictly quasiconcave!

Example.
$$g_1 := -(4 - (X_1 - 5)^2 - X_2^2)(1 - X_1^2 - X_2^2), g_2 := 1 - X_2, g_3 := 1 + X_2, m := 3$$



Outlook

By Tarski's real quantifier elimination, every projected spectrahedron is a (convex) semi-algebraic set.

Outlook

By Tarski's real quantifier elimination, every projected spectrahedron is a (convex) semi-algebraic set.

Conjecture [Helton & Nie 2009]. Every convex semi-algebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^n is a projected spectrahedron.

Outlook

By Tarski's real quantifier elimination, every projected spectrahedron is a (convex) semi-algebraic set.

Conjecture [Helton & Nie 2009]. Every convex semi-algebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^n is a projected spectrahedron.

Theorem [Scheiderer]. True for n = 2.

Literature

João Gouveia & Tim Netzer: Positive polynomials and projections of spectrahedra, SIAM J. Optim. 21 (2011), no. 3, 960–976

Bill Helton & Jiawang Nie: Semidefinite representation of convex sets, Math. Program. 122 (2010), no. 1, Ser. A, 21–64

Bill Helton & Jiawang Nie: Sufficient and necessary conditions for semidefinite representability of convex hulls and sets, SIAM J. Optim. 20 (2009), no. 2, 759–791 [continuation of the above although earlier!]

Tom-Lukas Kriel & M.S.: forthcoming publications

Tim Netzer & Daniel Plaumann & M.S.: Exposed faces of semidefinitely representable sets, SIAM J. Optim. 20 (2010), no. 4, 1944–1955

Claus Scheiderer: Semidefinite representation for convex hulls of real algebraic curves [http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3865]

Rainer Sinn: Spectrahedra and a relaxation of convex semialgebraic sets, diploma thesis (2010), Universität Konstanz