Can a system of polynomial inequalities be written as a linear matrix inequality?

Markus Schweighofer

Université de Rennes 1

HPOPT 2008 Universiteit van Tilburg June 12, 2008

































S























### System of linear inequalities


#### System of polynomial inequalities Attempt to linearize after adding redundant inequalities

| Α            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 1   | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | $2x_2$                | _ | 1             | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---------------|--------|---|
| В            | — | <i>y</i> <sub>2</sub> | + | 2 <u>y</u> 3 | _ | $2x_1x_2$             | + | $x_{2}^{2}$           | _ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                       | — | <i>y</i> 3   | _ | $x_{2}^{2}$           | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | 4             | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                       |   |              |   |                       |   |                       |   |               |        |   |
| AB           |   | $x_1^3 x_2^4$         | — |              | _ | $x_{2}^{2}$           | _ | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$    | + | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | $x_{1}^{5}$           | + |              | _ | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | 8 <i>x</i> 2          | _ | 4             | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | — | $x_1^5 x_2^4$         | + |              | _ | $\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$   | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_{2}$    | + | $\frac{4}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                       |   |              |   | <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | _ | $2x_1x_2$             | + | $x_2^2$       | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | _ | $x_{1}^{4}$           | + |              | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3          | + | $4x_1x_2$             | + | $4x_2^2$      | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 1   | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | $2x_2$                | — | 1             | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---------------|--------|---|
| В            | — | <i>y</i> <sub>2</sub> | + | 2 <b>y</b> 3 | _ | $2x_1x_2$             | + | $x_{2}^{2}$           | — | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                       | — | <i>y</i> 3   | _ | $x_{2}^{2}$           | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | 4             | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                       |   |              |   |                       |   |                       |   |               |        |   |
| AB           |   | $x_1^3 x_2^4$         | _ |              | _ | $x_{2}^{2}$           | — | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$    | + | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | $x_{1}^{5}$           | + |              | _ | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | 8 <i>x</i> 2          | _ | 4             | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | — | $x_1^5 x_2^4$         | + |              | _ | $\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$   | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_2$      | + | $\frac{4}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                       |   |              |   | <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | _ | $2x_1x_2$             | + | $x_2^2$       | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | _ | $x_{1}^{4}$           | + |              | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3          | + | $4x_1x_2$             | + | $4x_2^2$      | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 1              | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | $2x_{2}$                | _ | 1             | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---|---------------|--------|---|
| В            | _ | <b>y</b> 2            | + | 2 <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | _ | 2 <b>y</b> 4          | + | $x_{2}^{2}$             | _ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 3              | _ | $x_{2}^{2}$           | + | $x_1$                   | + | 4             | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                       |   |                         |   |                       |   |                         |   |               |        |   |
| AB           |   | $x_1^3 x_2^4$         | _ |                         | _ | $x_{2}^{2}$           | _ | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | $x_{1}^{5}$           | + |                         | _ | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | 8x2                     | _ | 4             | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | — | $x_1^5 x_2^{\bar{4}}$ | + |                         | _ | $\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$   | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_2$        | + | $\frac{4}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                       |   |                         |   | <i>y</i> 3            | _ | 2 <sub>y4</sub>         | + | $x_2^2$       | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | — | $x_{1}^{4}$           | + |                         | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3          | + | 4 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | $4x_2^2$      | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |               | _ | <i>y</i> 1   | + | $x_1$                 | + | $2x_{2}$                | _ | 1             | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|---------------|---|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---|---------------|--------|---|
| В            | _ | <b>y</b> 2    | + | 2 <b>y</b> 3 | _ | 2 <b>y</b> 4          | + | $x_{2}^{2}$             | _ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |               | _ | <i>y</i> 3   | _ | $x_{2}^{2}$           | + | $x_1$                   | + | 4             | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |               |   |              |   |                       |   |                         |   |               |        |   |
| AB           |   | $x_1^3 x_2^4$ | _ |              | _ | $x_{2}^{2}$           | _ | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | $x_{1}^{5}$   | + |              | _ | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | 8 <i>x</i> 2            | _ | 4             | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | _ | $x_1^5 x_2^4$ | + |              | _ | $\frac{13}{3}x_2^2$   | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_2$        | + | $\frac{4}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |               |   |              |   | <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | _ | 2 <sub>у4</sub>         | + | $x_2^2$       | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | _ | $x_{1}^{4}$   | + |              | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3          | + | 4 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | $4x_{2}^{2}$  | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 1   | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>   | + | $2x_{2}$                | _ | 1                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|---|
| В            | _ | <i>y</i> <sub>2</sub> | + | 2 <i>y</i> 3 | _ | 2 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | <i>y</i> 5              | _ | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 3   | _ | <i>y</i> <sub>5</sub>   | + | $x_1$                   | + | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                       |   |              |   |                         |   |                         |   |                       |        |   |
| AB           |   | $x_1^3 x_2^4$         | _ |              | _ | <i>y</i> 5              | _ | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | $x_{1}^{5}$           | + |              | _ | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>   | + | 8 <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> | — | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | — | $x_1^5 x_2^4$         | + |              | _ | $\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$     | — | $\frac{8}{3}x_2$        | + | $\frac{4}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                       |   |              |   | <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub>   | — | 2 <sub>y4</sub>         | + | <i>y</i> <sub>5</sub> | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | _ | $x_{1}^{4}$           | + |              | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3            | + | 4 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | 4 <i>y</i> 5          | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 1   | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | $2x_{2}$                | — | 1             | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---|---------------|--------|---|
| В            | — | <i>y</i> <sub>2</sub> | + | 2 <u>y</u> 3 | _ | 2 <b>y</b> 4          | + | <i>y</i> 5              | — | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                       | — | <i>y</i> 3   | _ | <i>y</i> 5            | + | $x_1$                   | + | 4             | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                       |   |              |   |                       |   |                         |   |               |        |   |
| AB           |   | $x_1^3 x_2^4$         | _ |              | _ | <i>y</i> 5            | — | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | $x_{1}^{5}$           | + |              | _ | $x_1$                 | + | 8 <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> | _ | 4             | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | _ | $x_1^5 x_2^4$         | + |              | _ | $\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$   | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_2$        | + | $\frac{4}{3}$ | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                       |   |              |   | <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | _ | 2 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | <i>y</i> 5    | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | _ | $x_{1}^{4}$           | + |              | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3          | + | 4 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | 4 <i>y</i> 5  | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 1   | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | $2x_{2}$                | — | 1                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|---|
| В            | _ | <i>y</i> <sub>2</sub> | + | 2 <b>y</b> 3 | _ | 2 <b>y</b> 4          | + | <i>y</i> 5              | — | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                       | — | <i>y</i> 3   | _ | <i>y</i> 5            | + | $x_1$                   | + | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                       |   |              |   |                       |   |                         |   |                       |        |   |
| AB           |   | <i>У</i> 6            | _ |              | _ | <i>y</i> 5            | _ | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | $x_{1}^{5}$           | + |              | — | $x_1$                 | + | 8 <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> | _ | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | — | $x_1^5 x_2^4$         | + |              | — | $\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$   | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_2$        | + | $\frac{4}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                       |   |              |   | <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | _ | 2y <sub>4</sub>         | + | <i>y</i> <sub>5</sub> | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | _ | $x_{1}^{4}$           | + |              | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3          | + | 4 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | 4 <i>y</i> 5          | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 1   | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | $2x_{2}$                | — | 1                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|---|
| В            | — | <i>y</i> <sub>2</sub> | + | 2 <b>y</b> 3 | _ | 2 <b>y</b> 4          | + | <i>y</i> 5              | — | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                       | — | <i>y</i> 3   | _ | <i>y</i> 5            | + | $x_1$                   | + | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                       |   |              |   |                       |   |                         |   |                       |        |   |
| AB           |   | <i>У</i> 6            | _ |              | _ | <i>y</i> 5            | _ | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | $x_{1}^{5}$           | + |              | _ | $x_1$                 | + | 8 <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> | _ | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | — | $x_1^5 x_2^4$         | + |              | _ | $\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$   | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_2$        | + | $\frac{4}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                       |   |              |   | <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | — | 2 <sub>y4</sub>         | + | <i>y</i> <sub>5</sub> | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | — | $x_{1}^{4}$           | + |              | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3          | + | 4 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | 4 <i>y</i> 5          | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |                                    | _ | <i>y</i> 1   | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | $2x_{2}$                | _ | 1                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|---|
| В            | — | <i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>              | + | 2 <b>y</b> 3 | _ | 2 <b>y</b> 4          | + | <i>y</i> 5              | — | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                                    | — | <i>y</i> 3   | _ | <i>y</i> 5            | + | $x_1$                   | + | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                                    |   |              |   |                       |   |                         |   |                       |        |   |
| AB           |   | <i>У</i> 6                         | _ |              | _ | <i>y</i> 5            | _ | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | <i>Y</i> 10                        | + |              | _ | $x_1$                 | + | 8 <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> | — | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | — | $x_1^5 x_2^4$                      | + |              | _ | $\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$   | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{4}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                                    |   |              |   | <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | _ | 2 <sub>у4</sub>         | + | <i>y</i> <sub>5</sub> | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | — | <i>x</i> <sup>4</sup> <sub>1</sub> | + |              | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3          | + | 4 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | 4 <i>y</i> 5          | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |                                    | _ | <i>y</i> 1              | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub> | + | $2x_{2}$                | _ | 1                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|---|
| В            | — | <i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>              | + | 2 <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | _ | 2 <b>y</b> 4          | + | <i>y</i> 5              | — | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                                    | — | <i>y</i> 3              | _ | <i>y</i> 5            | + | $x_1$                   | + | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                                    |   |                         |   |                       |   |                         |   |                       |        |   |
| AB           |   | <i>У</i> 6                         | — |                         | _ | <i>y</i> 5            | _ | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | <i>Y</i> 10                        | + |                         | _ | $x_1$                 | + | 8 <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> | — | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | — | $x_1^5 x_2^4$                      | + |                         | _ | $\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$   | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{4}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                                    |   |                         |   | <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | _ | 2 <sub>y4</sub>         | + | <i>y</i> <sub>5</sub> | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | — | <i>x</i> <sup>4</sup> <sub>1</sub> | + |                         | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3          | + | 4 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | 4 <i>y</i> 5          | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 1   | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>   | + | $2x_{2}$                | _ | 1                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|---|
| В            | — | <i>y</i> <sub>2</sub> | + | 2 <b>y</b> 3 | _ | 2 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | <i>y</i> 5              | _ | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                       | — | <i>y</i> 3   | _ | <i>y</i> 5              | + | $x_1$                   | + | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                       |   |              |   |                         |   |                         |   |                       |        |   |
| AB           |   | <i>У</i> 6            | _ |              | _ | <i>y</i> 5              | _ | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | <i>Y</i> 10           | + |              | _ | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>   | + | 8 <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> | — | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | — | <i>Y</i> 13           | + |              | _ | $\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$     | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_2$        | + | $\frac{4}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                       |   |              |   | <i>y</i> 3              | _ | 2 <sub>y4</sub>         | + | <i>y</i> <sub>5</sub> | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | — | $x_{1}^{4}$           | + |              | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3            | + | 4 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | 4 <i>y</i> 5          | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 1              | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>   | + | $2x_{2}$                | _ | 1                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|---|
| В            | _ | <i>y</i> <sub>2</sub> | + | 2 <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | _ | 2 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | <i>y</i> 5              | _ | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 3              | _ | <i>y</i> 5              | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>   | + | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                       |   |                         |   |                         |   |                         |   |                       |        |   |
| AB           |   | <i>У</i> 6            | _ |                         | _ | <i>y</i> 5              | _ | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | <i>Y</i> 10           | + |                         | _ | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>   | + | 8 <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> | — | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | — | <i>y</i> 13           | + |                         | _ | $\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$     | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_2$        | + | $\frac{4}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                       |   |                         |   | <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub>   | _ | 2 <sub>y4</sub>         | + | <i>y</i> <sub>5</sub> | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | _ | <i>x</i> <sup>4</sup> | + |                         | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3            | + | 4 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | 4 <i>y</i> 5          | $\geq$ | 0 |

| A            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 1              | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>   | + | $2x_{2}$                | _ | 1                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|---|
| В            | _ | <i>y</i> <sub>2</sub> | + | 2 <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub> | _ | 2 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | <i>y</i> 5              | _ | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| С            |   |                       | _ | <i>y</i> 3              | _ | <i>y</i> 5              | + | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>   | + | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| irredundant: |   |                       |   |                         |   |                         |   |                         |   |                       |        |   |
| AB           |   | <i>y</i> 6            | _ |                         | _ | <i>y</i> 5              | _ | $\frac{2}{3}x_{2}$      | + | $\frac{1}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| AC           |   | <i>Y</i> 10           | + |                         | _ | <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>   | + | 8 <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub> | — | 4                     | $\geq$ | 0 |
| ABC          | _ | <i>y</i> 13           | + |                         | _ | $\frac{13}{3}y_{5}$     | _ | $\frac{8}{3}x_2$        | + | $\frac{4}{3}$         | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2$        |   |                       |   |                         |   | <i>y</i> <sub>3</sub>   | _ | 2 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | <i>y</i> <sub>5</sub> | $\geq$ | 0 |
| $D^2C$       | _ | <i>Y</i> 18           | + |                         | + | 4 <i>y</i> 3            | + | 4 <i>y</i> <sub>4</sub> | + | 4 <i>y</i> 5          | $\geq$ | 0 |



Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2 + dx_1^2 + ex_1x_2 + fx_2^2)^2 \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}x_1 + \mathbf{c}x_2 + \mathbf{d}x_1^2 + \mathbf{e}x_1x_2 + \mathbf{f}x_2^2)^2 \ge 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$(a+bx_{1}+cx_{2}+dx_{1}^{2}+ex_{1}x_{2}+fx_{2}^{2})(1 \quad x_{1} \quad x_{2} \quad x_{1}^{2} \quad x_{1}x_{2} \quad x_{2}^{2})\begin{pmatrix}a\\b\\c\\d\\e\\f\end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}x_1 + \mathbf{c}x_2 + \mathbf{d}x_1^2 + \mathbf{e}x_1x_2 + \mathbf{f}x_2^2)^2 \ge 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_1^2 \\ x_1 x_2 \\ x_2^2 \end{pmatrix} (1 \ x_1 \ x_2 \ x_1^2 \ x_1 x_2 \ x_2^2) \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}x_1 + \mathbf{c}x_2 + \mathbf{d}x_1^2 + \mathbf{e}x_1x_2 + \mathbf{f}x_2^2)^2 \ge 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ x_1^2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 & x_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ x_1^2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 & x_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ x_1^2 & x_1^3 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 & x_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ x_1^2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 & x_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ x_1^2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 & x_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ x_1^2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ x_1^2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & y_3 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & y_3 & x_1x_2 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 & x_1^2x_2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

irredundant families (parametrized by a, b, c, ...):

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & x_2^2 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

-

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & x_2^2 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & x_2^2 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$
Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_3^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_3^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & x_1^2 x_2 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & x_1 x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_2^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^2 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^3 \\ y_5 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 & x_3^2 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1^4 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & x_1x_2^2 & x_1^3x_2 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^3 \\ y_5 & x_1x_2^2 & x_2^3 & x_1^2x_2^2 & x_1x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & x_1^4 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & x_2^3 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & x_2^3 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & x_1^3 x_2 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & y_{10} & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & y_{10} & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & y_{10} & x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & y_{10} & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & x_1^2 x_2^2 & x_1 x_3^3 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ ) \left( \begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & y_{10} & y_{11} \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & y_{10} & y_{11} & x_1 x_2^3 \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & y_{11} & x_1 x_2^3 & y_2 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{array} \right) \ge 0$$

(a b

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ x_1 & y_3 & y_4 & y_1 & y_6 & y_7 \\ x_2 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 & y_7 & y_9 \\ y_3 & y_1 & y_6 & y_8 & y_{10} & y_{11} \\ y_4 & y_6 & y_7 & y_{10} & y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_5 & y_7 & y_9 & y_{11} & y_{12} & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2)^2(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2)^2(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \ge 0 \qquad \iff$$

$$(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4)(a + bx_1 + cx_2)(1 \quad x_1 \quad x_2) \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2)^2(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \ge 0 \qquad \iff$$

$$(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2)^2(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \ge 0 \qquad \iff$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} (-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$(a + bx_1 + cx_2)^2(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \ge 0 \qquad \iff$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} (-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1 x_2 \\ x_2 & x_1 x_2 & x_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^3 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4 x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4 x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^3 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4 x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4 x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + x_1^2 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + x_1 x_2 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - x_2^2 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4 x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4 x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - x_1 x_2^2 + y_3 + 4 x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4 x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -x_1^2 x_2 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$
Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_7 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_7 - x_2^3 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_7 - y_8 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

Attempt to linearize after adding families of redundant inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} -y_3 - y_5 + x_1 + 4 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_1 - y_6 + y_3 + 4x_1 & \dots & \dots \\ -y_7 - y_8 + y_4 + 4x_2 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0$$





 $\operatorname{conv} S$ 













































$$a \quad b \quad c \Big) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & x_2 & y_1 \\ y_2 & 1 & y_1 \\ y_1 & y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$



$$a \quad b \quad c \Big) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & x_2 & y_1 \\ y_2 & 1 & y_1 \\ y_1 & y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & x_2 & y_1 \\ y_2 & 1 & y_1 \\ y_1 & y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & x_2 & y_1 \\ y_2 & 1 & y_1 \\ y_1 & y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$



$$egin{pmatrix} (a & b & c \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} y_1 & x_2 & y_1 \ y_2 & 1 & y_1 \ y_1 & y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} a \ b \ c \ \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

• 
$$\bar{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$$
 variables

•  $\bar{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  variables •  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials

- $\bar{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  variables
- ▶  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials
- $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials defining ...

- $\bar{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  variables
- ▶  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials
- $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials defining ...
- ... the set  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$

- $\bar{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  variables
- ▶  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials
- $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials defining ...
- ... the set  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$
- $\mathsf{T} := \{ \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} s_{\delta} g_1^{\delta_1} \cdots g_m^{\delta_m} \mid s_{\delta} \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2$  convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$

}
- $\bar{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  variables
- ▶  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials
- $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials defining ...
- ... the set  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$

}

- ►  $T := \{\sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} s_\delta g_1^{\delta_1} \cdots g_m^{\delta_m} \mid s_\delta \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2$ convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$
- ▶  $\mathcal{L} := \{L \mid L: \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}] \to \mathbb{R}, L(1) = 1, L(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ solution set of the "linearized" system

- $\bar{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  variables
- ▶  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials
- $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials defining ...
- ... the set  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$

}

- ►  $T := \{\sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} s_\delta g_1^{\delta_1} \cdots g_m^{\delta_m} \mid s_\delta \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2$ convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$
- ▶  $\mathcal{L} := \{L \mid L: \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}] \to \mathbb{R}, L(1) = 1, L(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ solution set of the "linearized" system
- ►  $S' := \{(L(X_1), ..., L(X_n)) \mid L \in \mathcal{L} \}$  projection Schmüdgen relaxation

- $\bar{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  variables
- $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$  polynomials of degree at most k
- $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials defining ...
- ... the set  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$
- ►  $T_k := \{\sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} s_\delta g_1^{\delta_1} \cdots g_m^{\delta_m} \mid s_\delta \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2, \deg(s_\delta g^\delta) \le k\}$ convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}_k := \{L \mid L: \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k \to \mathbb{R}, L(1) = 1, L(\mathcal{T}_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ solution set of the "linearized" system (linear matrix inequality)
- ►  $S_k' := \{(L(X_1), ..., L(X_n)) \mid L \in \mathcal{L}_k\}$  projection *k*-th Lasserre relaxation

- $\bar{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  variables
- $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$  polynomials of degree at most k
- $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials defining ...
- ... the set  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$
- ►  $T_k := \{\sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} s_\delta g_1^{\delta_1} \cdots g_m^{\delta_m} \mid s_\delta \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2, \deg(s_\delta g^\delta) \le k\}$ convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}_k := \{L \mid L : \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k \to \mathbb{R}, L(1) = 1, L(\mathcal{T}_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ solution set of the "linearized" system (linear matrix inequality)
- ►  $S_k' := \{(L(X_1), \dots, L(X_n)) \mid L \in \mathcal{L}_k\}$  projection *k*-th Lasserre relaxation

- $\bar{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  variables
- $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$  polynomials of degree at most k
- $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials defining ...
- ... the set  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$
- ►  $T_k := \{\sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} s_\delta g_1^{\delta_1} \cdots g_m^{\delta_m} \mid s_\delta \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2, \deg(s_\delta g^\delta) \le k\}$ convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}_k := \{L \mid L : \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k \to \mathbb{R}, L(1) = 1, L(\mathcal{T}_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ solution set of the "linearized" system (linear matrix inequality)

► 
$$S_k' := \{(L(X_1), \dots, L(X_n)) \mid L \in \mathcal{L}_k\}$$
 projection   
*k*-th Lasserre relaxation

We have  $S \subseteq \operatorname{conv} S \subseteq S' \subseteq \ldots \subseteq S'_4 \subseteq S'_3 \subseteq S'_2 \subseteq S'_1$ .

- $\bar{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  variables
- $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$  polynomials of degree at most k
- $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials defining ...
- ... the set  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$
- ►  $T_k := \{\sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} s_\delta g_1^{\delta_1} \cdots g_m^{\delta_m} \mid s_\delta \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2, \deg(s_\delta g^\delta) \le k\}$ convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}_k := \{L \mid L : \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k \to \mathbb{R}, L(1) = 1, L(T_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ solution set of the "linearized" system (linear matrix inequality)

We have  $S \subseteq \text{conv} \ S \subseteq S' \subseteq \ldots \subseteq S'_4 \subseteq S'_3 \subseteq S'_2 \subseteq S'_1$ . The question is whether conv  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- $\bar{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  variables
- $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$  polynomials of degree at most k
- $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials defining ...
- ... the set  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$
- ►  $T_k := \{\sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} s_\delta g_1^{\delta_1} \cdots g_m^{\delta_m} \mid s_\delta \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2, \deg(s_\delta g^\delta) \le k\}$ convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}_k := \{L \mid L : \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k \to \mathbb{R}, L(1) = 1, L(\mathcal{T}_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ solution set of the "linearized" system (linear matrix inequality)

We have  $S \subseteq \text{conv } S \subseteq S' \subseteq \ldots \subseteq S'_4 \subseteq S'_3 \subseteq S'_2 \subseteq S'_1$ . The question is whether conv  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If S is non-compact, then often conv  $S \neq S'$  and hence the answer is often no.

- $\bar{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  variables
- $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$  polynomials of degree at most k
- $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  polynomials defining ...
- ... the set  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0\}$
- ►  $T_k := \{\sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} s_\delta g_1^{\delta_1} \cdots g_m^{\delta_m} \mid s_\delta \in \sum \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^2, \deg(s_\delta g^\delta) \le k\}$ convex cone in  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$
- ▶  $\mathcal{L}_k := \{L \mid L : \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k \to \mathbb{R}, L(1) = 1, L(\mathcal{T}_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ solution set of the "linearized" system (linear matrix inequality)

We have  $S \subseteq \text{conv} S \subseteq S' \subseteq \ldots \subseteq S'_4 \subseteq S'_3 \subseteq S'_2 \subseteq S'_1$ .

The question is whether conv  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If S is non-compact, then often conv  $S \neq S'$  and hence the answer is often no. If S is compact, then we will see that conv S = S' but Parrilo gave in his 2006 Banff talk an example where the answer nevertheless is no.

Proposition. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$  and suppose  $S \neq \emptyset$  and conv S is closed (e.g. S is compact). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) conv  $S = S'_k$ 

Proposition. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$  and suppose  $S \neq \emptyset$  and conv S is closed (e.g. S is compact). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) conv  $S = S'_k$ (ii)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 : (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in \overline{T_k})$ 

Proposition. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$  and suppose  $S \neq \emptyset$  and conv S is closed (e.g. S is compact). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) conv  $S = S'_k$ (ii)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 : (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in \overline{T_k})$ (iii)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 : (f \ge 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in \overline{T_k})$ 

Proposition. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$  and suppose  $S \neq \emptyset$  and conv S is closed (e.g. S is compact). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) conv  $S = S'_k$ (ii)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 : (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in \overline{T_k})$ (iii)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 : (f \ge 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in \overline{T_k})$ 

### Proposition (Powers & Scheiderer 2005).

If S has non-empty interior, then each  $T_k$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$ .

Proposition. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$  and suppose  $S \neq \emptyset$  and conv S is closed (e.g. S is compact). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) conv  $S = S'_k$ (ii)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 : (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in \overline{T_k})$ (iii)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 : (f \ge 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in \overline{T_k})$ 

# Proposition (Powers & Scheiderer 2005). If S has non-empty interior, then each $T_k$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$ .

Theorem (Schmüdgen 1991). Suppose S is compact. (a)  $\forall L \in \mathcal{L} : \exists$  probability measure  $\mu$  on  $S : \forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}] : L(p) = \int p \ d\mu$ (b)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}] : (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ 

Proposition. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$  and suppose  $S \neq \emptyset$  and conv S is closed (e.g. S is compact). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) conv  $S = S'_k$ (ii)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 : (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in \overline{T_k})$ (iii)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 : (f \ge 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in \overline{T_k})$ 

# Proposition (Powers & Scheiderer 2005). If S has non-empty interior, then each $T_k$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_k$ .

Theorem (Schmüdgen 1991). Suppose S is compact. (a)  $\forall L \in \mathcal{L} : \exists$  probability measure  $\mu$  on  $S : \forall p \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}] : L(p) = \int p \ d\mu$ (b)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}] : (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ 

Corollary. If S is compact, then conv S = S'.

Schmüdgen's theorem says that  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}] \colon (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T).$ 

Schmüdgen's theorem says that  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ :  $(f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ . Would like to have  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N} : \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 : (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T_k)$ .

Schmüdgen's theorem says that  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ . Would like to have  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}: \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T_k)$ , i.e., we would like to have degree bounds for sums of squares representations of linear (i.e., degree  $\leq 1$ ) positive polynomials.

Schmüdgen's theorem says that  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ . Would like to have  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}: \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T_k)$ , i.e., we would like to have degree bounds for sums of squares representations of linear (i.e., degree  $\leq 1$ ) positive polynomials.

Theorem (2004). For  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ ,  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1 ! & \cdots & \alpha_n ! \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}^\alpha$ ,  $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define  $||f|| := \max\{|a_\alpha| \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ .

Schmüdgen's theorem says that  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ . Would like to have  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}: \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T_k)$ , i.e., we would like to have degree bounds for sums of squares representations of linear (i.e., degree  $\leq 1$ ) positive polynomials.

Theorem (2004). For  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ ,  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1! & \cdots & \alpha_n! \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}^\alpha$ ,  $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define  $||f|| := \max\{|a_\alpha| \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ . Suppose  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq (-1, 1)^n$ .

Schmüdgen's theorem says that  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ . Would like to have  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}: \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T_k)$ , i.e., we would like to have degree bounds for sums of squares representations of linear (i.e., degree  $\leq 1$ ) positive polynomials.

Theorem (2004). For  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ ,  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1 ! \cdots & \alpha_n ! \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}^\alpha$ ,  $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define  $||f|| := \max\{|a_\alpha| \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ . Suppose  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq (-1, 1)^n$ . Then there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  (depending only on *n*, *m* and  $g_1, \ldots, g_m$ )

Schmüdgen's theorem says that  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ . Would like to have  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}: \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T_k)$ , i.e., we would like to have degree bounds for sums of squares representations of linear (i.e., degree  $\leq 1$ ) positive polynomials.

Theorem (2004). For  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ ,  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1 ! \dots & \alpha_n ! \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}^\alpha$ ,  $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define  $||f|| := \max\{|a_\alpha| \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ . Suppose  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq (-1, 1)^n$ . Then there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  (depending only on n, m and  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ ) such that, for each  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_d$  with  $f^* := \min\{f(x) \mid x \in S\} > 0$ ,

Schmüdgen's theorem says that  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ . Would like to have  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}: \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T_k)$ , i.e., we would like to have degree bounds for sums of squares representations of linear (i.e., degree  $\leq 1$ ) positive polynomials.

Theorem (2004). For  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ ,  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1 ! \dots & \alpha_n ! \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}^\alpha$ ,  $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define  $||f|| := \max\{|a_\alpha| \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ . Suppose  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq (-1, 1)^n$ . Then there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  (depending only on n, m and  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ ) such that, for each  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_d$  with  $f^* := \min\{f(x) \mid x \in S\} > 0$ , we have  $f \in T_k$  for some

$$k \leq cd^2 \left(1 + \left(d^2 n^d \frac{\|f\|}{f^*}\right)^c\right).$$

Schmüdgen's theorem says that  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ . Would like to have  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}: \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T_k)$ , i.e., we would like to have degree bounds for sums of squares representations of linear (i.e., degree  $\leq 1$ ) positive polynomials.

Theorem (2004). For  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ ,  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1 ! \dots & \alpha_n ! \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}^\alpha$ ,  $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define  $||f|| := \max\{|a_\alpha| \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ . Suppose  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq (-1, 1)^n$ . Then there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  (depending only on n, m and  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ ) such that, for each  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1$  with  $f^* := \min\{f(x) \mid x \in S\} > 0$ , we have  $f \in T_k$  for some

$$k \le c \left( 1 + \left( n \frac{\|f\|}{f^*} \right)^c \right)$$

Schmüdgen's theorem says that  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ . Would like to have  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}: \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T_k)$ , i.e., we would like to have degree bounds for sums of squares representations of linear (i.e., degree  $\leq 1$ ) positive polynomials.

Theorem (2004). For  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ ,  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1 ! \dots & \alpha_n ! \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}^\alpha$ ,  $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define  $||f|| := \max\{|a_\alpha| \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ . Suppose  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq (-1, 1)^n$ . Then there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  (depending only on n, m and  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ ) such that, for each  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1$  with  $f^* := \min\{f(x) \mid x \in S\} > 0$ , we have  $f \in T_k$  for some

$$k \leq c \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\|f\|}{f^*} \right)^c \right).$$

Schmüdgen's theorem says that  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ . Would like to have  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}: \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T_k)$ , i.e., we would like to have degree bounds for sums of squares representations of linear (i.e., degree  $\leq 1$ ) positive polynomials.

Theorem (2004). For  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ ,  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ \alpha_1 ! \dots & \alpha_n ! \end{pmatrix} \bar{X}^\alpha$ ,  $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define  $||f|| := \max\{|a_\alpha| \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ . Suppose  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq (-1, 1)^n$ . Then there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  (depending only on n, m and  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ ) such that, for each  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1$  with  $f^* := \min\{f(x) \mid x \in S\} > 0$ , we have  $f \in T_k$  for some

$$k \leq c \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\|f\|}{f^*} \right)^c \right).$$

Problem: Dependance on  $\frac{\|f\|}{f^*}$ .

In 2001, Prestel proved already the mere existence of such a degree bound depending on  $n, m, g_1, \ldots, g_m, d = \deg f$  and  $\frac{\|f\|}{f^*}$ .

In 2001, Prestel proved already the mere existence of such a degree bound depending on  $n, m, g_1, \ldots, g_m, d = \deg f$  and  $\frac{\|f\|}{f^*}$ . His proof is based on Wörmann's 1998 purely algebraic proof of Schmüdgen's theorem.

In 2001, Prestel proved already the mere existence of such a degree bound depending on n, m,  $g_1, \ldots, g_m$ ,  $d = \deg f$  and  $\frac{||f||}{f^*}$ . His proof is based on Wörmann's 1998 purely algebraic proof of Schmüdgen's theorem. Using valuation theory, he finds a certain version of Schmüdgen's theorem valid over real closed fields.

My proof is by finding a tame version of my 2002 nearly constructive algebraic proof of Schmüdgen's theorem which allows to keep track of complexity.

My proof is by finding a tame version of my 2002 nearly constructive algebraic proof of Schmüdgen's theorem which allows to keep track of complexity. The dependance on  $d = \deg f$  and  $\frac{\|f\|}{f^*}$  is made explicit.

My proof is by finding a tame version of my 2002 nearly constructive algebraic proof of Schmüdgen's theorem which allows to keep track of complexity. The dependance on  $d = \deg f$  and  $\frac{\|f\|}{f^*}$  is made explicit. The core of a proof is an algebraic construction based on Powers' and Reznick's 2001 bound (cf. de Loera & Santos 1996, erratum 2001) for:

Theorem (Pólya 1928).

My proof is by finding a tame version of my 2002 nearly constructive algebraic proof of Schmüdgen's theorem which allows to keep track of complexity. The dependance on  $d = \deg f$  and  $\frac{\|f\|}{f^*}$  is made explicit. The core of a proof is an algebraic construction based on Powers' and Reznick's 2001 bound (cf. de Loera & Santos 1996, erratum 2001) for:

Theorem (Pólya 1928). Suppose that  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\overline{X}]$  is homogeneous and f > 0 on  $\Delta_n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \mid x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1\}.$ 

My proof is by finding a tame version of my 2002 nearly constructive algebraic proof of Schmüdgen's theorem which allows to keep track of complexity. The dependance on  $d = \deg f$  and  $\frac{\|f\|}{f^*}$  is made explicit. The core of a proof is an algebraic construction based on Powers' and Reznick's 2001 bound (cf. de Loera & Santos 1996, erratum 2001) for:

Theorem (Pólya 1928). Suppose that  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  is homogeneous and f > 0 on  $\Delta_n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \mid x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1\}$ . Then, for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)^N f$  has only nonnegative coefficients.
#### Theorem (Schmüdgen 1991). For all $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$ : f > 0 on $S \implies \exists p_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{1 \times *}$ : $f = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} p_{\delta} p_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0 \text{ on } S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0 \text{ on } S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

Hol and Scherer prove this theorem by generalizing Pólya's theorem to polynomials whose coefficients are symmetric matrices and then imitating my algebraic constructions for Schmüdgen's theorem.

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0$  on  $S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

Hol and Scherer prove this theorem by generalizing Pólya's theorem to polynomials whose coefficients are symmetric matrices and then imitating my algebraic constructions for Schmüdgen's theorem. Actually, they prove a more general theorem where the set S is defined by a linear matrix inequality.

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0$  on  $S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^T g^{\delta}$ 

Hol and Scherer prove this theorem by generalizing Pólya's theorem to polynomials whose coefficients are symmetric matrices and then imitating my algebraic constructions for Schmüdgen's theorem. Actually, they prove a more general theorem where the set S is defined by a linear matrix inequality. The special case above follows in fact by identifying  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$  with the family  $(f_a)_{a \in S^{t-1}}$  of polynomials  $f_a := a^T F a \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  and applying my construction uniformly and simultanuously for all  $a \in S^{t-1}$ 

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0$  on  $S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

Hol and Scherer prove this theorem by generalizing Pólya's theorem to polynomials whose coefficients are symmetric matrices and then imitating my algebraic constructions for Schmüdgen's theorem. Actually, they prove a more general theorem where the set S is defined by a linear matrix inequality. The special case above follows in fact by identifying  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$  with the family  $(f_a)_{a \in S^{t-1}}$  of polynomials  $f_a := a^T F a \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  and applying my construction uniformly and simultanuously for all  $a \in S^{t-1}$  (use compactness of  $S^{t-1}$ ; no need to generalize Pólya to matrices; usual Pólya yields parametrized nonnegative coefficients  $c_{a \in S^{t-1}}$  corresponding to positive semidefinite quadratic forms; positive semidefinite quadratic forms are sums of squares).

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0$  on  $S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

Hol and Scherer prove this theorem by generalizing Pólya's theorem to polynomials whose coefficients are symmetric matrices and then imitating my algebraic constructions for Schmüdgen's theorem. Actually, they prove a more general theorem where the set S is defined by a linear matrix inequality. The special case above follows in fact by identifying  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$  with the family  $(f_a)_{a \in S^{t-1}}$  of polynomials  $f_a := a^T F a \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  and applying my construction uniformly and simultanuously for all  $a \in S^{t-1}$  (use compactness of  $S^{t-1}$ ; no need to generalize Pólya to matrices; usual Pólya yields parametrized nonnegative coefficients  $c_{a \in S^{t-1}}$  corresponding to positive semidefinite quadratic forms; positive semidefinite quadratic forms are sums of squares). In this way, one also gets degree complexity bounds similar to the ones for Schmüdgen's theorem.

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0$  on  $S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

Hol and Scherer prove this theorem by generalizing Pólya's theorem to polynomials whose coefficients are symmetric matrices and then imitating my algebraic constructions for Schmüdgen's theorem. Actually, they prove a more general theorem where the set S is defined by a linear matrix inequality. The special case above follows in fact by identifying  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$  with the family  $(f_a)_{a \in S^{t-1}}$  of polynomials  $f_a := a^T F a \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  and applying my construction uniformly and simultanuously for all  $a \in S^{t-1}$  (use compactness of  $S^{t-1}$ ; no need to generalize Pólya to matrices; usual Pólya yields parametrized nonnegative coefficients  $c_{a \in S^{t-1}}$  corresponding to positive semidefinite quadratic forms; positive semidefinite quadratic forms are sums of squares). In this way, one also gets degree complexity bounds similar to the ones for Schmüdgen's theorem. In a more complicated way (along the lines of Hol and Scherer's generalization of my construction), Helton and Nie recently proved these degree bounds.

Theorem (Schmüdgen 1991).  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ 

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0 \text{ on } S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

Theorem (Schmüdgen 1991).  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ 

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0$  on  $S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

This result from Hol and Scherer (but not their more general one which we don't need) follows also directly from Schmüdgen's theorem:

Theorem (Schmüdgen 1991).  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ 

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0 \text{ on } S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

This result from Hol and Scherer (but not their more general one which we don't need) follows also directly from Schmüdgen's theorem: Given  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$  with  $F \succ 0$  on S, we consider  $f := Y \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$  and observe that f > 0 on

$$egin{aligned} S_{F} &:= \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x \in S, \ y \ ext{eigenvalue of F}(x) \} \ &= \{(x,y) \mid g_{1}(x) \geq 0, \dots, g_{m}(x) \geq 0, p_{F}(x,y) = 0 \} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Schmüdgen 1991).  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ 

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0 \text{ on } S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

This result from Hol and Scherer (but not their more general one which we don't need) follows also directly from Schmüdgen's theorem: Given  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$  with  $F \succ 0$  on S, we consider  $f := Y \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$  and observe that f > 0 on

$$S_F := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x \in S, \text{ y eigenvalue of } F(x)\}\ = \{(x, y) \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0, p_F(x, y) = 0\}$$

where  $P_F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}][Y] = \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$  is the characteristic polynomial of F.

Theorem (Schmüdgen 1991).  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ 

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0$  on  $S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

This result from Hol and Scherer (but not their more general one which we don't need) follows also directly from Schmüdgen's theorem: Given  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$  with  $F \succ 0$  on S, we consider  $f := Y \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$  and observe that f > 0 on

$$S_F := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x \in S, \text{ y eigenvalue of } F(x) \} \\= \{ (x, y) \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0, p_F(x, y) = 0 \}$$

where  $P_F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}][Y] = \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$  is the characteristic polynomial of F. Now get a sums of squares representation of f = Y using Schmüdgen's theorem, replace Y by f and use that  $P_F(\bar{X}, F) = 0$  by Cayley-Hamilton so that  $p_F$  disappears in this representation...

Theorem (Schmüdgen 1991).  $\forall f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]: (f > 0 \text{ on } S \implies f \in T)$ 

Theorem (Hol & Scherer 2008). For all  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$ :  $F \succ 0$  on  $S \implies \exists P_{\delta} \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times *} \colon F = \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}} P_{\delta} P_{\delta}^{T} g^{\delta}$ 

This result from Hol and Scherer (but not their more general one which we don't need) follows also directly from Schmüdgen's theorem: Given  $F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]^{t \times t}$  with  $F \succ 0$  on S, we consider  $f := Y \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$  and observe that f > 0 on

$$S_F := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x \in S, \text{ y eigenvalue of } F(x) \} \\= \{ (x, y) \mid g_1(x) \ge 0, \dots, g_m(x) \ge 0, p_F(x, y) = 0 \}$$

where  $P_F \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}][Y] = \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}, Y]$  is the characteristic polynomial of F. Now get a sums of squares representation of f = Y using Schmüdgen's theorem, replace Y by f and use that  $P_F(\bar{X}, F) = 0$  by Cayley-Hamilton so that  $p_F$  disappears in this representation... Problem: We do not get the necessary degree bounds in this way.

# Concavity

The following terminology is not standard but suitable to us. It is a kind of local concavity of a function which can be detected by looking at its second derivative.

## Concavity

The following terminology is not standard but suitable to us. It is a kind of local concavity of a function which can be detected by looking at its second derivative.

Definition. Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  and  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

 $p \text{ strictly concave on } U \iff D^2 p \prec 0 \text{ on } U \iff \\ \forall x \in U \colon \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \colon D^2 p(x)[v, v] < 0$ 

## Concavity

The following terminology is not standard but suitable to us. It is a kind of local concavity of a function which can be detected by looking at its second derivative.

Definition. Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]$  and  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

 $p \text{ strictly concave on } U \iff D^2 p \prec 0 \text{ on } U \iff \\ \forall x \in U \colon \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \colon D^2 p(x)[v, v] < 0$ 

 $p \text{ strictly quasiconcave on } U :\iff \\ \forall x \in U \colon \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \colon (Dp(x)[v] = 0 \implies D^2p(x)[v, v] < 0)$ 

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Let  $u \in \partial S$  and  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 \setminus \{0\}$  with  $f \ge 0$  on S and f(u) = 0.

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Let  $u \in \partial S$  and  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 \setminus \{0\}$  with  $f \ge 0$  on S and f(u) = 0. To show:  $f \in T_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  which is independent of f.

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$f(x) - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i(x) = \int_0^1 \int_0^t D^2 (f - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i) (u + s(x - u)) [x - u, x - u] ds dt$$

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$f(x) - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i(x) = \int_0^1 \int_0^t D^2(-\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i)(u + s(x - u))[x - u, x - u]ds dt$$

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$f(x) - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \int_0^1 \int_0^t -D^2 g_i(u + s(x - u))[x - u, x - u] ds dt$$

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$f(x) - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^t -D^2 g_i(u + s(x - u)) ds \, dt \right) [x - u, x - u]$$

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Let  $u \in \partial S$  and  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 \setminus \{0\}$  with  $f \ge 0$  on S and f(u) = 0. To show:  $f \in T_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  which is independent of f. Since the Slater condition is satisfied, we get Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_i \ge 0$ ,  $i \in I := \{i \mid g_i(u) = 0\}$ , such that  $D(f - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i)(u) = 0$ . Now we have for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$f(x) - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \Big( \underbrace{\int_0^1 \int_0^t -D^2 g_i(u + s(x - u)) ds \ dt}_{=:F_{i,u}(x)} \Big) [x - u, x - u]$$

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Let  $u \in \partial S$  and  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 \setminus \{0\}$  with  $f \ge 0$  on S and f(u) = 0. To show:  $f \in T_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  which is independent of f. Since the Slater condition is satisfied, we get Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_i \ge 0$ ,  $i \in I := \{i \mid g_i(u) = 0\}$ , such that  $D(f - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i)(u) = 0$ . Now we have

$$f - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i = -\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i (\bar{X} - u)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{F}_{i,u} (\bar{X} - u)$$

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Let  $u \in \partial S$  and  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 \setminus \{0\}$  with  $f \ge 0$  on S and f(u) = 0. To show:  $f \in T_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  which is independent of f. Since the Slater condition is satisfied, we get Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_i \ge 0$ ,  $i \in I := \{i \mid g_i(u) = 0\}$ , such that  $D(f - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i)(u) = 0$ . Now we have

$$f - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i (\bar{X} - u)^T \Big( \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} P_{i,u,\delta} P_{i,u,\delta}^T g^\delta \Big) (\bar{X} - u)$$

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Let  $u \in \partial S$  and  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 \setminus \{0\}$  with  $f \ge 0$  on S and f(u) = 0. To show:  $f \in T_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  which is independent of f. Since the Slater condition is satisfied, we get Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_i \ge 0$ ,  $i \in I := \{i \mid g_i(u) = 0\}$ , such that  $D(f - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i)(u) = 0$ . Now we have

$$f - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} (P_{i,u,\delta}^T(\bar{X} - u))^T (P_{i,u,\delta}^T(\bar{X} - u)) g^\delta$$

Lemma (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly concave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Let  $u \in \partial S$  and  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{X}]_1 \setminus \{0\}$  with  $f \ge 0$  on S and f(u) = 0. To show:  $f \in T_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  which is independent of f. Since the Slater condition is satisfied, we get Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_i \ge 0$ ,  $i \in I := \{i \mid g_i(u) = 0\}$ , such that  $D(f - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i)(u) = 0$ . Now we have

$$f - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i g_i = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \sum_{\delta \in \{0,1\}^m} (P_{i,u,\delta}^T(\bar{X} - u))^T (P_{i,u,\delta}^T(\bar{X} - u)) g^\delta$$

Theorem (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly quasiconcave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Theorem (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly quasiconcave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Quite stupid reduction to the proof of the lemma. Explain on blackboard.

Theorem (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly quasiconcave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Quite stupid reduction to the proof of the lemma. Explain on blackboard.

Theorem (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly quasiconcave on  $\partial S \cap \{g_i = 0\}$ , that  $g_i$  vanishes nowhere in the interior of S and that the derivative of  $g_i$  vanishes nowhere on  $\partial S \cap \{g_i = 0\}$ . Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Theorem (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly quasiconcave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Quite stupid reduction to the proof of the lemma. Explain on blackboard.

Theorem (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly quasiconcave on  $\partial S \cap \{g_i = 0\}$ , that  $g_i$  vanishes nowhere in the interior of S and that the derivative of  $g_i$  vanishes nowhere on  $\partial S \cap \{g_i = 0\}$ . Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Originally extremely hard. Much easier approach seems to be possible. Explain on blackboard.

Theorem (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly quasiconcave on S. Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Quite stupid reduction to the proof of the lemma. Explain on blackboard.

Theorem (Helton & Nie 2008). Suppose S is compact, convex and has non-empty interior. Suppose moreover that each  $g_i$  is strictly quasiconcave on  $\partial S \cap \{g_i = 0\}$ , that  $g_i$  vanishes nowhere in the interior of S and that the derivative of  $g_i$  vanishes nowhere on  $\partial S \cap \{g_i = 0\}$ . Then  $S = S'_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Idea of proof. Originally extremely hard. Much easier approach seems to be possible. Explain on blackboard.

#### Is every convex semialgebraic set an LMI projection?

Definition. A semialgebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a set defined by a formula that is built up from polynomial inequalities using "and", "or" and "not".
Definition. A semialgebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a set defined by a formula that is built up from polynomial inequalities using "and", "or" and "not".

Elimination of real quantifiers (Tarski 1951). In the previous definition, one may equivalently admit as further construction steps "for all real x" and "for some real x".

Definition. A semialgebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a set defined by a formula that is built up from polynomial inequalities using "and", "or" and "not".

Elimination of real quantifiers (Tarski 1951). In the previous definition, one may equivalently admit as further construction steps "for all real x" and "for some real x".

Definition. We call a set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  an LMI projection if there exist  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and  $A_i, B_i \in S\mathbb{R}^{t \times t}$  such that  $U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m : A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i A_i + \sum_{i=1}^m y_i B_i \succeq 0\}$ 

Definition. A semialgebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a set defined by a formula that is built up from polynomial inequalities using "and", "or" and "not".

Elimination of real quantifiers (Tarski 1951). In the previous definition, one may equivalently admit as further construction steps "for all real x" and "for some real x".

Definition. We call a set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  an LMI projection if there exist  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and  $A_i, B_i \in S\mathbb{R}^{t \times t}$  such that  $U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m : A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i A_i + \sum_{i=1}^m y_i B_i \succeq 0\}$ 

Example.  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R} : \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 1 & y \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0\}$  is an LMI projection.

Definition. A semialgebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a set defined by a formula that is built up from polynomial inequalities using "and", "or" and "not".

Elimination of real quantifiers (Tarski 1951). In the previous definition, one may equivalently admit as further construction steps "for all real x" and "for some real x".

Definition. We call a set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  an LMI projection if there exist  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and  $A_i, B_i \in S\mathbb{R}^{t \times t}$  such that  $U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m : A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i A_i + \sum_{i=1}^m y_i B_i \succeq 0\}$ 

Example.  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R} : \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 1 & y \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0\}$  is an LMI projection.

Remark. Each  $S'_k$  is an LMI projection.

Definition. A semialgebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a set defined by a formula that is built up from polynomial inequalities using "and", "or" and "not".

Elimination of real quantifiers (Tarski 1951). In the previous definition, one may equivalently admit as further construction steps "for all real x" and "for some real x".

Definition. We call a set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  an LMI projection if there exist  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and  $A_i, B_i \in S\mathbb{R}^{t \times t}$  such that  $U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m : A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i A_i + \sum_{i=1}^m y_i B_i \succeq 0\}$ 

Example.  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R} : \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 1 & y \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0\}$  is an LMI projection.

Remark. Each  $S'_k$  is an LMI projection.

Remark. Each LMI projection is (of course) convex and (by elimination of real quantifiers) semialgebraic.

Definition. A semialgebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a set defined by a formula that is built up from polynomial inequalities using "and", "or" and "not".

Elimination of real quantifiers (Tarski 1951). In the previous definition, one may equivalently admit as further construction steps "for all real x" and "for some real x".

Definition. We call a set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  an LMI projection if there exist  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and  $A_i, B_i \in S\mathbb{R}^{t \times t}$  such that  $U = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m : A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i A_i + \sum_{i=1}^m y_i B_i \succeq 0\}$ 

Example.  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R} : \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 1 & y \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0\}$  is an LMI projection.

Remark. Each  $S'_k$  is an LMI projection.

Remark. Each LMI projection is (of course) convex and (by elimination of real quantifiers) semialgebraic.

Lemma (Helton & Nie). If  $U_1, \ldots, U_{\ell} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  are bounded non-empty LMI projections, then conv  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} U_i$  is an LMI projection.

Lemma (Helton & Nie). If  $U_1, \ldots, U_{\ell} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  are bounded non-empty LMI projections, then conv  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} U_i$  is an LMI projection.

Theorem (Helton & Nie). Suppose S is compact, each  $g_i$  is strictly quasiconcave on  $S \cap (\partial \operatorname{conv} S) \cap \{g_i = 0\}$  and the boundary of S is contained in the closure of the interior of S. Then conv S is an LMI projection.

Lemma (Helton & Nie). If  $U_1, \ldots, U_{\ell} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  are bounded non-empty LMI projections, then conv  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} U_i$  is an LMI projection.

Theorem (Helton & Nie). Suppose S is compact, each  $g_i$  is strictly quasiconcave on  $S \cap (\partial \operatorname{conv} S) \cap \{g_i = 0\}$  and the boundary of S is contained in the closure of the interior of S. Then conv S is an LMI projection.

Proof. Use the lemma and the first theorem of Helton & Nie.

Lemma (Helton & Nie). If  $U_1, \ldots, U_{\ell} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  are bounded non-empty LMI projections, then conv  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} U_i$  is an LMI projection.

Theorem (Helton & Nie). Suppose S is compact, each  $g_i$  is strictly quasiconcave on  $S \cap (\partial \operatorname{conv} S) \cap \{g_i = 0\}$  and the boundary of S is contained in the closure of the interior of S. Then conv S is an LMI projection.

Proof. Use the lemma and the first theorem of Helton & Nie.

Nemirovski asked in the ICM in Madrid 2006 whether any convex semialgebraic set is an LMI projection: "This question seems to be completely open."

#### Literature

Helton & Nie: Sufficient and necessary conditions for semidefinite representability of convex hulls and sets

http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.4017

Helton & Nie: Semidefinite representation of convex sets http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.4068

Lasserre: Convex sets with semidefinite representation to appear in Math. Prog.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10107-008-0222-0

### Literature

with Nie: On the complexity of Putinar's Positivstellensatz
J. Complexity 23, no. 1 (2007), 135—150
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/10.1016/j.jco.2006.07.002
Hol & Scherer: Matrix sum-of-squares relaxations for robust
semi-definite programs,
Math. Prog. 107, no. 1-2 (2006), 189–211
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10107-005-0684-2

An algorithmic approach to Schmüdgen's Positivstellensatz J. Pure Appl. Algebra 166 (2002), 307—319

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049(01)00041-X

On the complexity of Schmüdgen's Positivstellensatz J. Complexity 20, no. 4 (2004), 529–543

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jco.2004.01.005

Optimization of polynomials on compact semialgebraic sets SIAM J. Opt. 15, no. 3 (2005), 805–825

http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/s1052623403431779