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## POD-Galerkin ansatz

## Motivation:

Find finite elements $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}\right\}$ which reflect the dynamics of the evolution equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
\dot{y}(t)-A y(t) & = & f(t) \\
y(0) & = & y_{0}
\end{array},\right.
$$

i.e. $y^{l}(t):=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \mathrm{y}_{i}(t) u_{i}$ determined by solving the reduced Galerkin system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{M}(u) \dot{\mathrm{y}}(t)-\mathrm{A}(u) \mathrm{y}(t) & =\mathrm{F}(u)(t) \\
\mathrm{M}(u) \mathrm{y}(0) & =\mathrm{y}_{0}(u)
\end{array},\right.
$$

is a good approximation for $y$ where $l$ is quite small.

## Singular value decomposition

Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ the columns of a matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of rank $d$.
Then there are $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{d}>0$ and orthogonal matrices $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$
U^{t} Y V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=: \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \quad D=\operatorname{diag}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} .
$$

## Singular value decomposition

Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ the columns of a matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of rank $d$.
Then there are $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{d}>0$ and orthogonal matrices $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$
U^{t} Y V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=: \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \quad D=\operatorname{diag}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} .
$$

- The columns $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}$ of $U$ are the eigenvectors of $Y Y^{t}$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\sigma_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{d}^{2}, 0, \ldots, 0$.
- Analogously, the columns $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of $V$ are the eigenvectors of $Y^{t} Y$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\sigma_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{d}^{2}, 0, \ldots, 0$.
- The columns of $Y$ can be represented by

$$
y_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\langle y_{j}, u_{i}\right\rangle u_{i}
$$
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## Singular value decomposition

Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ the columns of a matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of rank $d$.
Then there are $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{d}>0$ and orthogonal matrices $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$
U^{t} Y V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=: \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \quad D=\operatorname{diag}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} .
$$

- The representation

$$
Y=U \Sigma V^{t}
$$

is called the Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD) of $Y$.

- The orthogonal subbasis $U^{l}:=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}\right\}$ of the image $\operatorname{Im}(Y)$ is called the POD-basis of rank $l(l \leq d)$.
- The optimal representation of $y$ as a linear combination with $l$ vectors is

$$
y \approx \sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle y, u_{i}\right\rangle u_{i}:
$$

## POD as best-approximation

Theorem. The minimization problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\min _{\left(\tilde{u}_{l}, \ldots, \tilde{u}_{l}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|y_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle y_{j}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle \tilde{u}_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
\text { subject to }\left\langle\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is solved by the POD-basis $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}\right)$.

## POD as best-approximation

Theorem. The minimization problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\min _{\left(\tilde{u}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{u}_{l}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|y_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle y_{j}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle \tilde{u}_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
\text { subject to }\left\langle\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is solved by the POD-basis $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}\right)$.

The Pythagoras theorem states that this optimization problem is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\max _{\left(\tilde{u}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{u}_{l}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{l}\left|\left\langle y_{j}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
\text { subject to }\left\langle\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## POD as best-approximation

To solve the constraint maximization problem, we introduce the Lagrange function

$$
\mathscr{L}: \mathbb{R}^{m \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{l \times l}
$$

by

$$
\mathscr{L}(\tilde{U}, \Lambda):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{l}\left|\left\langle y_{j}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i j}\left(\delta_{i j}-\left\langle\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{j}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

## POD as best-approximation

To solve the constraint maximization problem, we introduce the Lagrange function

$$
\mathscr{L}: \mathbb{R}^{m \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{l \times l}
$$

by

$$
\mathscr{L}(\tilde{U}, \Lambda):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{l}\left|\left\langle y_{j}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i j}\left(\delta_{i j}-\left\langle\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{j}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

The first-order optimality conditions

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{u}_{i}} \mathscr{L}(\tilde{U}, \Lambda)=0
$$

can be transformed into

$$
\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle y_{j}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle y_{j}}_{=Y Y^{\prime} \tilde{u}_{i}}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(\lambda_{j i}+\lambda_{i j}\right) \tilde{u}_{i}
$$

## POD as best-approximation

Together with the remaining first-order optimality conditions

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{i j}} \mathscr{L}(\tilde{U}, \Lambda)=\delta_{i j}-\left\langle\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{j}\right\rangle=0
$$

we get $\lambda_{i j}=\lambda_{i i} \delta_{i j}$ which implies

$$
Y Y^{t} \tilde{u}_{i}=\lambda_{i i} \tilde{u}_{i} .
$$

Hence, $\tilde{U}^{*}=U$ and $\Lambda^{*}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{l}^{2}\right)$ solves the optimization problem and

$$
\max _{\left(\tilde{u}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{u}_{l}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{l}\left|\left\langle y_{j}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma_{i}^{2} .
$$

## Approximation of $\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Omega)$

Let $\Omega:=(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{1}, m \in \mathbb{N}, h:=\frac{b-a}{m-1}, x:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, x_{i}:=(i-1) h \in \bar{\Omega}$.
We approximate the infinte-dimensional Lebesgue space

$$
\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Omega):=\left\{\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid\langle\varphi, \varphi\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^{2}}<\infty\right\}, \quad\langle\varphi, \psi\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^{2}}:=\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) \psi(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_{h}:=\varphi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{h}^{2}(\Omega):=\mathbb{R}^{m}, \quad\left\langle\varphi_{h}, \psi_{h}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}_{h}^{2}}:=\frac{h}{2} \varphi_{h}^{1} \psi_{h}^{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{m-1} h \varphi_{h}^{i} \psi_{h}^{i}+\frac{h}{2} \varphi_{h}^{m} \psi_{h}^{m},
$$

the trapezoidal rule for numerical integration.
Let $W:=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{h}{2}, h, \ldots, h, \frac{h}{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ (symmetric \& positive definite). Then $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathcal{L}_{h}^{2}}$ can be considered as the weighted $\mathbb{R}^{m}$-scalar product

$$
\left\langle\varphi_{h}, \psi_{h}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}_{h}^{2}}=\left\langle\varphi_{h}, W \psi_{h}\right\rangle \approx\langle\varphi, \psi\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^{2}} .
$$

## The weighted POD method in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$

TheOrem. Let $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \operatorname{rank}(Y)=d$, and $W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ symmetric \& positive definite, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{W}:=\langle\cdot, W \cdot\rangle$.

Let $\bar{Y}:=\sqrt{W} Y$ and $\bar{Y}=\bar{U} \Sigma \bar{V}^{t}$ the SVD of $\bar{Y}$.
Then the solution to the minimization problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\min _{\left(\tilde{u}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{u}_{l}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|y_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle y_{j}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle_{W} \tilde{u}_{i}\right\|_{W}^{2} \\
\text { subject to }\left\langle\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{j}\right\rangle_{W}=\delta_{i j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is given by $u_{i}=\sqrt{W}^{-1} \bar{u}_{i}$.

## Finite differences for the one-dimensional heat equation

Let $T>0$ and $\Theta:=(0, T)$. We transform the one-dimensional heat equation

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{y}(t, x)-\Delta y(t, x) & =f(t, x) & & \text { on } \Theta \times \Omega  \tag{pde}\\
y_{x}(t, x) & =0 & & \text { on } \Theta \times \partial \Omega \\
y(0) & =y_{0} & & \text { on } \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

via

$$
y(t, \cdot) \approx y_{h}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \quad \Delta y\left(t, x_{i}\right) \approx \frac{y_{h}^{i-1}(t)-2 y_{h}^{i}(t)+y_{h}^{i+1}(t)}{h^{2}}
$$

into a system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{y}_{h}(t)-A_{h} y_{h}(t) & =f_{h}(t) \quad \text { on } \Theta  \tag{h}\\
y_{h}(0) & =y_{0, h}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

## Finite differences for the one-dimensional heat equation

with

$$
A_{h}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-2 & 2 & & & \\
1 & -2 & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
& & & 2 & -2
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
f_{h}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
f\left(t, x_{1}\right) \\
f\left(t, x_{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
f\left(t, x_{m-1}\right) \\
f\left(t, x_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right), \quad y_{0, h}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
y_{0}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
y_{0}\left(x_{m-1}\right) \\
y_{0}\left(x_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## The continuous POD method

Theorem: Let $y_{h} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(\Theta, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(\bar{\Theta}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ the solution to $\left(\right.$ pde $\left._{h}\right)$.
Consider the operator $\mathcal{Y}_{h} \in \mathcal{L}_{b}\left(\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and the corresponding adjoint operator $\mathcal{Y}_{h}^{*} \in \mathcal{L}_{b}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta, \mathbb{R})\right)$, given by

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{h} \varphi:=\int_{\Theta} \varphi(t) y_{h}(t) \mathrm{d} t \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{h}^{*} u:=\left\langle u, y_{h}(\cdot)\right\rangle_{W} \in \mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta, \mathbb{R}) .
$$

Let $U^{l}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}\right)$ a POD-basis of rank $l$ to the operator $\mathcal{Y}_{h} \mathcal{Y}_{h}^{*}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Then $U^{l}$ solves the minimization problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\min _{\tilde{u}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{u}_{l}} \int_{\Theta}\left\|y_{h}(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\langle y_{h}(t), \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle_{W} \tilde{u}_{i}\right\|_{W}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
\text { subject to } \quad\left\langle\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{j}\right\rangle_{W}=\delta_{i j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## The Reduced Order Model

To solve ( $\mathrm{pde}_{h}$ ) approximatively with little numerical effort, we make the POD-Galerkin ansatz

$$
y^{l}(t):=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \mathrm{y}_{i}^{l}(t) u_{i} \approx y_{h}(t)
$$

The desired vector of the time-dependent coefficients, $\mathrm{y}^{l}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, is given as the solution to the Reduced-Order Model (ROM)

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}(u) \dot{\mathrm{y}}^{l}(t)-\mathrm{A}(u) \mathrm{y}^{l}(t) & =\mathrm{F}(u)(t) \quad \text { on } \Theta \\
\mathrm{M}(u) \mathrm{y}^{l}(0) & =\mathrm{y}_{0}(u)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{M}(u):=\left(\left\langle u_{i}, u_{j}\right\rangle_{W}\right)=\operatorname{Id}(l), \mathrm{A}(u):=\left(\left\langle A_{h} u_{i}, u_{j}\right\rangle_{W}\right), \mathrm{F}(u):=\left(\left\langle f_{h}(t), u_{i}\right\rangle_{W}\right)$ and $\mathrm{y}_{0}(u)=\left(\left\langle y_{0, h}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{W}\right)$.

## Error analysis for continuous ROM

There exists some $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Theta}\left\|y_{h}(t)-y^{l}(t)\right\|_{W}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq C \sum_{i=l+1}^{d} \lambda_{i}+C \sum_{i=l+1}^{m} \int_{\Theta}\left|\left\langle\dot{y}_{h}(t), u_{i}\right\rangle_{W}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

## Error analysis for continuous ROM

There exists some $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Theta}\left\|y_{h}(t)-y^{l}(t)\right\|_{W}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq C \sum_{i=l+1}^{d} \lambda_{i}+C \sum_{i=l+1}^{m} \int_{\Theta}\left|\left\langle\dot{y}_{h}(t), u_{i}\right\rangle_{W}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

To avoid the last term, a POD basis which also respects the time derivative of $y$ can be determined as the solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\min _{\tilde{u}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{u}_{l}} \int_{\Theta}\left\|y(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle y(t), \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle_{W} \tilde{u}_{i}\right\|_{W}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Theta}\left\|\dot{y}(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle\dot{y}(t), \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle_{W} \tilde{u}_{i}\right\|_{W}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
\text { subject to }\left\langle\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{j}\right\rangle_{W}=\delta_{i j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Error analysis for continuous ROM

There exists some $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Theta}\left\|y_{h}(t)-y^{l}(t)\right\|_{W}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq C \sum_{i=l+1}^{d} \lambda_{i}+C \sum_{i=l+1}^{m} \int_{\Theta}\left|\left\langle\dot{y}_{h}(t), u_{i}\right\rangle_{W}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t .
$$

To avoid the last term, a POD basis which also respects the time derivative of $y$ can be determined as the solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\min _{\tilde{u}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{u}_{l}} \int_{\Theta}\left\|y(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle y(t), \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle_{W} \tilde{u}_{i}\right\|_{W}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Theta}\left\|\dot{y}(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle\dot{y}(t), \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle_{W} \tilde{u}_{i}\right\|_{W}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
\text { subject to }\left\langle\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{j}\right\rangle_{W}=\delta_{i j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is given as the set of eigenvectors to the $l$ largest eigenvalues of $\mathcal{Y}_{h} \mathcal{Y}_{h}^{*}+\dot{\mathcal{Y}}_{h} \dot{\mathcal{Y}}_{h}^{*}$,

$$
\dot{\mathcal{Y}}_{h} \varphi:=\int_{\Theta} \varphi(t) \dot{y}_{h}(t) \mathrm{d} t \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \quad \dot{\mathcal{Y}}_{h}^{*} u:=\left\langle u, \dot{y}_{h}(\cdot)\right\rangle_{W} \in \mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta \underset{\substack{\text { Universität } \\ \text { Konstanz }}}{\mathbb{R}) .}
$$

## Time discretization for the heat equation

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, k:=\frac{T}{n-1}, t_{j}:=(j-1) k \in \Theta$ and $Y_{j} \approx y_{h}\left(t_{j}\right)$.
We transform $\left(\right.$ pde $\left._{h}\right)$ via

$$
y_{h}(\cdot) \approx Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \quad \dot{y}_{h}\left(t_{j}\right) \approx \frac{Y_{j}-Y_{j-1}}{k}
$$

into a linear system of equations,

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{Y_{j}-Y_{j-1}}{k}-A_{h} Y_{j} & =F \\
Y_{1} & =Y_{0}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $F=\left(f_{h}\left(t_{j}\right)\right)$ and $Y_{0}=y_{0, h}$.
Hence, we have $y\left(t_{j}, x_{i}\right) \approx y_{h, i}\left(t_{j}\right) \approx Y_{i j}$.

## The discrete POD method

Theorem: Let $\alpha=\left(\frac{k}{2}, k, \ldots, k, \frac{k}{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the corresponding trapezoidal weights.
Let $U^{l}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}\right)$ a POD basis to the operator

$$
\bar{Y} \operatorname{diag}(\alpha) \bar{Y}^{t}: u \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left\langle Y_{j}, u\right\rangle_{W} Y_{j} \approx \int_{\Theta}\left\langle y_{h}(t), u\right\rangle_{W} y_{h}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\mathcal{Y}_{h} \mathcal{Y}_{h}^{*} u .
$$

Then $U^{l}$ solves the minimization problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\min _{\left(\tilde{u}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{u}_{l}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left\|Y_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left\langle Y_{j}, \tilde{u}_{i}\right\rangle_{W} \tilde{u}_{i}\right\|_{W}^{2} \\
\text { subject to }\left\langle\tilde{u}_{i}, \tilde{u}_{j}\right\rangle_{W}=\delta_{i j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In general we have $\left\|\bar{Y} \operatorname{diag}(\alpha) \bar{Y}^{t}-\mathcal{Y}_{h} \mathcal{Y}_{h}^{*}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{b}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

## The homogenous one-dimensional heat equation

Let $\Omega=(0,2), \Theta=(0,3), m=2500$ the number of time discretization points and $n=7500$ the number of spatial gridpoints.
$y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, y_{i j} \approx y\left(t_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ denotes the approximative solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}(t, x)-\Delta y(t, x) & =0 & & \text { on } \Theta \times \Omega \\
y(t, x) & =0 & & \text { on } \Theta \times \partial \Omega \\
y(0, x) & =y_{0}(x):=-x^{2}+2 x & & \text { on } \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

calculated by central differences for $\Delta$ and the implicit Euler method for $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t}$ in $\mathbf{4 . 4 5}$ sec.

The calculation of the first 10 pod elements takes $\mathbf{1 9 . 8 8} \mathbf{~ s e c}$.

## The homogenous one-dimensional heat equation

| 1 | eigval. | time absol. / relat. | L^2 error | inform. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2.16 e-01$ | $0.51 \mathrm{sec} / 11.66 \%$ | $2.17 e-05$ | 96.52\% |
| 2 | $2.16 e-05$ | $0.50 \mathrm{sec} / 11.25 \%$ | $1.25 e-07$ | 99.39\% |
| 3 | $1.20 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $0.55 \mathrm{sec} / 12.49 \%$ | $2.80 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 99.83\% |
| 4 | $2.51 \mathrm{e}-09$ | $0.58 \mathrm{sec} / 13.06 \%$ | $1.29 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 99.94\% |
| 5 | $1.05 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $0.59 \mathrm{sec} / 13.47 \%$ | $9.23 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 99.98\% |
| 6 | $6.63 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $0.58 \mathrm{sec} / 13.06 \%$ | $7.38 \mathrm{e}-13$ | 99.99\% |
| 7 | $4.74 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $0.58 \mathrm{sec} / 13.04 \%$ | $5.17 e-14$ | 99.99\% |
| 8 | $3.10 \mathrm{e}-14$ | $0.59 \mathrm{sec} / 13.31 \%$ | $3.15 e-15$ | 99.99\% |
| 9 | $1.77 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $0.58 \mathrm{sec} / 13.10 \%$ | $1.98 \mathrm{e}-15$ | 99.99\% |
| 10 | $8.81 e-17$ | $0.60 \mathrm{sec} / 13.61 \%$ | $1.65 e-15$ | 99.99\% |
|  |  |  |  | ersität $\frac{1}{2}$ |

## The homogenous one-dimensional heat equation




## The homogenous one-dimensional heat equation



ROM solution with one pod element


Difference between FDM and ROM


Difference between FDM and ROM


## The controlled one-dimensional heat equation

Consider the optimization problem

$$
\min _{(y, u) \in Y \times U} J(y, u)=\frac{1}{2}\|y\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta \times \Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta)}^{2}
$$

subject to

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}(t, y)-\Delta y(t, x) & =f(t, x)+u(t) \chi(x) & & \text { for }(t, x) \in \Theta \times \Omega \\
y(t, x) & =0 & & \text { for }(t, x) \in \Theta \times \partial \Omega . \\
y(0, x) & =y_{0}(x) & & \text { for } x \in \Omega
\end{aligned} \quad\left(\operatorname{pde}_{u}\right)\right.
$$

## The controlled one-dimensional heat equation

Consider the optimization problem

$$
\min _{(y, u) \in Y \times U} J(y, u)=\frac{1}{2}\|y\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta \times \Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta)}^{2}
$$

subject to

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}(t, y)-\Delta y(t, x) & =f(t, x)+u(t) \chi(x) & & \text { for }(t, x) \in \Theta \times \Omega \\
y(t, x) & =0 & & \text { for }(t, x) \in \Theta \times \partial \Omega . \\
y(0, x) & =y_{0}(x) & & \text { for } x \in \Omega
\end{aligned} \quad\left(\operatorname{pde}_{u}\right)\right.
$$

- $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta \times \Omega)$ and $y_{0}, \chi \in \mathcal{L}^{2}(\Omega)$ are given data.
- The state-control pair $(y, u) \in Y \times U$ is desired.
- $U=\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta)$ and $Y=\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\Theta, \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap \mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\Theta, \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{*}\right)$.
- ( pde $_{u}$ ) admits an affin linear and bounded solution operator $S: U \rightarrow Y$.



## Optimality condition and numerical strategy

We consider the equivalent unconstraint optimization problem

$$
\min _{u \in U} \hat{J}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|S u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta \times \Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Theta)}^{2} .
$$
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- To accelerate the algorithm, we determine a rank-l POD basis of $y_{n}=S u_{n}$ and solve $y\left(s_{n}\right)=S\left(u_{n}+s_{n} d_{n}\right)$ by the cheep POD-Galerkin ansatz.
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