



**Ausgabe:** 04.06.2012

**Abgabe:** 11.06.2012, 11:00 Uhr, Briefkasten 11

## Optimierung 4. Übungsblatt

### Unconstrained optimization.

Until now, we looked for local minimal points  $x^*$  of a sufficiently smooth, real-valued function  $f$  in  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$x^* = \arg \min_{x \in \Omega} f(x).$$

By differential calculus, we immediately received as a necessary “first-order” condition:

$$f(x^*) \leq f(x) \text{ for all } x \in B_\epsilon(x^*) \quad \implies \quad \forall x \in \Omega : \langle \nabla f(x^*), x \rangle = 0.$$

### Optimization with boundary constraints.

If  $\Omega$  is closed, the situation is slightly more complicated: Local minimizers on the boundary are possible, but here the gradient condition is not a necessary criterion.

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  a closed interval, i.e. there are  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that

$$\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall i = 1, \dots, n : a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i\}.$$

---

#### □ Exercise 12

Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ . Notice that  $\nabla f : \Omega^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  can be extended to the boundary of  $\Omega$  since  $f \in \mathcal{C}^2$  implies that  $\nabla f$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $\Omega^\circ$ .

Further, let  $x^* \in \Omega$  a local minimizer of  $f$ , i.e.

$$\exists \epsilon > 0 : \forall x \in B_\epsilon(x^*) \cap \Omega : f(x^*) \leq f(x).$$

Prove that the following modified first-order condition holds:

$$\forall x \in \Omega : \langle \nabla f(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

Any  $x^*$  that fulfills this condition is called *stationary point* of  $f$ .

---

### Canonical projection on the domain.

The *canonical projection* of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  on  $\Omega$  is defined by

$$\left(\mathbb{P}(x)\right)_i := \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } x_i \leq a_i \\ x_i & \text{if } x_i \in [a_i, b_i] \\ b_i & \text{if } x_i \geq b_i \end{cases}$$

□ **Exercise 13**

Let  $L$  the Lipschitz constant for  $\nabla f$ . Define

$$x(\lambda) := \mathbb{P}(x - \lambda \nabla f(x)).$$

Prove that the following *modified Armijo condition* holds for all  $\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{L}\right]$ :

$$f(x(\lambda)) - f(x) \leq -\frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \|x - x(\lambda)\|^2.$$

**Hint:** The following ansatz with the fundamental theorem of calculus may be helpful:

$$f(x(\lambda)) - f(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} f\left(x - t(x - x(\lambda))\right) dt.$$

**Hint:** You may make use of the following formula (without proof):

$$\langle x - x(\lambda), x(\lambda) - x + \lambda \nabla f(x) \rangle \geq 0.$$

### The Projected Gradient Method.

We modify the Steepest Descent Algorithm with the modified Armijo stepsize strategy such that the algorithm can be applied for the situation above:

**Algorithm.** (Projected Gradient Method)

```
while some termination condition is not fulfilled
    while modified Armijo condition is not fulfilled
        set  $\lambda = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ 
    end
    set  $x = x(\lambda)$ 
end
```

Our objective is to prove that the generated iteration sequence has a convergent subsequence which converges towards a stationary point of  $f$ , cp. Satz 3.8 in the lecture notes.

□ **Exercise 14**

Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  an iteration sequence created by the Projected Gradient Algorithm.

1. Show that  $(f(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges.
2. Show that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has at least one convergent subsequence.
3. Furthermore, show that all accumulation points of  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are stationary points of  $f$ .
4. Show that  $x^*$  is a stationary point of  $f$  if and only if  $x^* = \mathbb{P}(x^* - \lambda \nabla f(x^*))$  holds.