Automatic Smooth Initialization for LBM



Martin Rheinländer

Arbeitsgruppe Numerik FB Mathematik & Statistik Universität Konstanz

Joint work with

Michael Junk (Konstanz) & Pieter Van Leemput (Leuven)

Correspondence: populations \leftrightarrow moments
conserved moments $\mathsf{F} = (\mathsf{F}_1, ..., \mathsf{F}_K)$ $\mathsf{M} = (\begin{tabular}{c} \mathsf{M}_1, ..., \mathsf{M}_C \end{tabular}, ..., \mathsf{M}_K)$ $\mathsf{F} = M^{-1}\mathsf{M}$ $\mathsf{M} = M\mathsf{F}$

Physical ICs \rightarrow (subset of) conserved moments for t = 0Standard initialization (equilibrium) \rightarrow oscillating initial layers \bigcirc

Correspondence: populations \leftrightarrow moments
conserved moments $\mathsf{F} = (\mathsf{F}_1, ..., \mathsf{F}_K)$ $\mathsf{M} = (\begin{tabular}{c} \mathsf{M}_1, ..., \mathsf{M}_C \end{tabular}, ..., \mathsf{M}_K)$ $\mathsf{F} = M^{-1}\mathsf{M}$ $\mathsf{M} = M\mathsf{F}$

Physical ICs \rightarrow (subset of) conserved moments for t = 0Standard initialization (equilibrium) \rightarrow oscillating initial layers \bigcirc

 \Rightarrow Preprocess $F = (F_1, ..., F_K)$ before starting LB simulation

initialize LB simulation by setting $F(0) = G(\infty)$

D1Q2 algorithm solving IVP: $\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + a \partial_x \rho = 0 \\ \rho(0, \cdot) = \rho_0 \end{cases}$

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{F}_{-1} \\ \mathsf{F}_{+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R \\ \Phi \end{pmatrix} \text{ mass moment (conserved)}$ flux moment Initialization of moments: $\begin{cases} R(0) = \rho_0 & \Leftarrow R \approx \rho \\ \Phi(0) = ? \end{cases}$ **D1Q2 algorithm** solving IVP: $\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + a \partial_x \rho = 0 \\ \rho(0, \cdot) = \rho_0 \end{cases}$

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{F}_{-1} \\ \mathsf{F}_{+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R \\ \Phi \end{pmatrix} \text{ mass moment (conserved)}$ flux moment (conserved) flux moment $\text{Initialization of moments:} \begin{cases} R(0) = \rho_0 & \Leftarrow R \approx \rho \\ \Phi(0) = ? \end{cases}$

Error $R - \rho$ plotted versus iteration



D1Q2 algorithm solving IVP: $\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + a \partial_x \rho = 0 \\ \rho(0, \cdot) = \rho_0 \end{cases}$

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{F}_{-1} \\ \mathsf{F}_{+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R \\ \Phi \end{pmatrix} \text{ flux moment (conserved)}$ Initialization of moments: $\begin{cases} R(0) = \rho_0 & \Leftarrow R \approx \rho \\ \Phi(0) = ? \end{cases}$

Error $R - \rho$ plotted versus iteration x 10⁻³ -3 x 10 in a fixed node in a fixed node d d Т R 30 40 ٥ 10 20 30 40 10 20 50 50 0 iteration iteration Init. by equilibrium Initialization by $\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{F}_{-1}^{(0)} \\ \mathsf{F}_{\pm 1}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1-a \\ 1\pm a \end{pmatrix} \rho_0$ constrained runs scheme **Observation:** Oscillations (initial layer) persist!

moving cosine, 40 nodes, monitor node 14, $\omega = 1.95, a = 0.8$

$$\underbrace{\overbrace{\mathsf{G}(n+1)}^{\mathsf{G}(\infty)=\mathsf{F}(0)}}_{\mathsf{LB \ step}} = M^{-1} \Big[\Pi M \underbrace{\underbrace{E}}_{\mathsf{LB \ step}}^{\mathsf{G}(\infty)=\mathsf{F}(0)} + \binom{\rho_0}{0} \Big], \qquad \Pi \binom{x}{y} := \binom{0}{y}$$

$$\mathsf{F}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(1+a\mathsf{s})\rho_0 - \frac{1}{2\omega}h\partial_x\rho_0\mathsf{s} + \mathsf{O}(h^2)$$

$$\overbrace{\mathsf{G}(n+1)}^{\mathsf{G}(\infty)=\mathsf{F}(0)} = M^{-1} \Big[\Pi M \underbrace{E \ \mathsf{G}(n)}_{\text{LB step}} + \binom{\rho_0}{0} \Big], \qquad \Pi\binom{x}{y} := \binom{0}{y}$$

Resulting regular expansion for $\mathsf{F}(0)$ w.r.t. grid spacing h :

$$\mathsf{F}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(1+a\mathsf{s})\rho_0 - \frac{1}{2\omega}h\partial_x\rho_0\mathsf{s} + \mathsf{O}(h^2)$$

What does the LBA require to evolve smoothly? (regular expansion of LBA)

$$\mathsf{F}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(1+a\mathsf{s})\rho_0 - \frac{1}{2\omega}h(1-a^2)\partial_x\rho_0\mathsf{s} + \mathsf{O}(h^2)$$

$$\underbrace{\overbrace{\mathsf{G}(n+1)}^{\mathsf{G}(\infty)=\mathsf{F}(0)}}_{\mathsf{G}(n+1)} = M^{-1} \Big[\Pi M \underbrace{\underbrace{\mathsf{E}}_{\mathsf{G}(n)}^{\mathsf{G}(\infty)=\mathsf{F}(0)}}_{\mathsf{LB \ step}} + \binom{\rho_0}{0} \Big], \qquad \Pi\binom{x}{y} := \binom{0}{y}$$

Resulting regular expansion for F(0) w.r.t. grid spacing h:

$$\mathsf{F}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(1+a\mathsf{s})\rho_0 - \frac{1}{2\omega}h\partial_x\rho_0\mathsf{s} + \mathsf{O}(h^2)$$

What does the LBA require to evolve smoothly? (regular expansion of LBA)

$$\mathsf{F}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(1+a\mathsf{s})\rho_0 - \frac{1}{2\omega}h(1-a^2)\partial_x\rho_0\mathsf{s} + \mathsf{O}(h^2)$$



moving cosine, 20 nodes, monitor node 3, $\omega = 1.99, a = 0.51$

$$\underbrace{\overbrace{\mathsf{G}(n+1)}^{\mathsf{G}(\infty)=\mathsf{F}(0)}}_{\mathsf{G}(n+1)} = M^{-1} \Big[\Pi M \underbrace{\underbrace{\mathsf{E}}_{\mathsf{G}(n)}^{\mathsf{G}(\infty)=\mathsf{F}(0)}}_{\mathsf{LB \ step}} + \binom{\rho_0}{0} \Big], \qquad \Pi\binom{x}{y} := \binom{0}{y}$$

Resulting regular expansion for F(0) w.r.t. grid spacing h:

$$F(0) = \frac{1}{2}(1+as)\rho_0 - \frac{1}{2\omega}h\partial_x\rho_0s + O(h^2)$$

What does the LBA require to evolve smoothly? (regular expansion of LBA)



$$\mathsf{F}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(1+a\mathsf{s})\rho_0 - \frac{1}{2\omega}h(1-a^2)\partial_x\rho_0\mathsf{s} + \mathsf{O}(h^2)$$

moving cosine, 20 nodes, monitor node 3, $\omega = 1.99, a = 0.51$

$$\underbrace{\overbrace{\mathsf{G}(n+1)}^{\mathsf{G}(\infty)=\mathsf{F}(0)}}_{\mathsf{LB step}} = M^{-1} \Big[\Pi M \underbrace{\underbrace{\mathsf{G}(n)}_{\mathsf{LB step}}}_{\mathsf{LB step}} + \binom{\rho_0}{0} \Big], \qquad \Pi\binom{x}{y} := \binom{0}{y}$$

Resulting regular expansion for F(0) w.r.t. grid spacing h:

$$F(0) = \frac{1}{2}(1+as)\rho_0 - \frac{1}{2\omega}h\partial_x\rho_0s + O(h^2)$$

What does the LBA require to evolve smoothly? (regular expansion of LBA)



$$\mathsf{F}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(1+a\mathsf{s})\rho_0 - \frac{1}{2\omega}h(1-a^2)\partial_x\rho_0\mathsf{s} + \mathsf{O}(h^2)$$

moving cosine, 20 nodes, monitor node 3, $\omega = 1.99, a = 0.51$

Hypothesis I:

Optimal initialization corresponds to regular expansion.

Optimal $\stackrel{?}{=}$ minimized error, maximal 'smoothness'

Hypothesis I:

Optimal initialization corresponds to regular expansion.

Optimal $\stackrel{?}{=}$ minimized error, maximal 'smoothness'

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{F}(0) &= \mathsf{f}^{(0)}(0) + h\mathsf{f}^{(1)}(0) + h^2\mathsf{f}^{(2)}(0) + \dots \\ \text{where in case of the model LBA} \begin{cases} \mathsf{f}^{(0)}(0) &= \frac{1}{2}(1+a\mathsf{s})\,\rho_0\\ \mathsf{f}^{(1)}(0) &= -\frac{1}{2\omega}(1-a^2)\mathsf{s}\,\partial_x\rho_0\\ \mathsf{f}^{(2)}(0) &= -\frac{1}{\omega}(\frac{1}{\omega}-\frac{1}{2})(1-a^2)\mathsf{as}\,\partial_x^2\rho_0 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Difficulty: Generally $f^{(1)}(0), f^{(2)}(0), ...$ complicated to ascertain!

Request for initializer (sort of iterative scheme fitting into LB framework) building up regular expansion automatically

Hypothesis I:

Optimal initialization corresponds to regular expansion.

Optimal $\stackrel{?}{=}$ minimized error, maximal 'smoothness'

 $F(0) = f^{(0)}(0) + hf^{(1)}(0) + h^2 f^{(2)}(0) + \dots$ where in case of the model LBA $\begin{cases} f^{(0)}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(1+as)\rho_0\\ f^{(1)}(0) = -\frac{1}{2\omega}(1-a^2)s\partial_x\rho_0\\ f^{(2)}(0) = -\frac{1}{\omega}(\frac{1}{\omega} - \frac{1}{2})(1-a^2)as\partial_x^2\rho_0 \end{cases}$

Difficulty: Generally $f^{(1)}(0), f^{(2)}(0), ...$ complicated to ascertain!

Request for initializer (sort of iterative scheme fitting into LB framework) building up regular expansion automatically

Hypothesis II: \rightarrow practical characterization of regular expansion

Optimal initialization \Leftrightarrow *'smooth'* initial behavior.



A sequence of grid functions approximates a smooth function:

'Discrete' smoothness:

⇔ Value in each node is approximated by inter-/extrapolating the values of surrounding nodes.

A sequence of grid functions approximates a smooth function:

⇔ Value in each node is approximated by inter-/extrapolating the values of surrounding nodes.

Application to initialization problem

'Discrete' smoothness:

 $\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{F}_{-1} \\ \mathsf{F}_{+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} R \\ \Phi \end{pmatrix} \text{ prescribed by macroscopic IC} \\ \text{unspecified & freely disposable} \end{cases}$

Initialization of Φ shall enforce its smooth evolution.

A sequence of grid functions approximates a smooth function:

⇔ Value in each node is approximated by inter-/extrapolating the values of surrounding nodes.

Application to initialization problem

'Discrete' smoothness:

 $\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{F}_{-1} \\ \mathsf{F}_{+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} R \\ \Phi \end{pmatrix} \text{ prescribed by macroscopic IC} \\ \text{unspecified \& freely disposable} \end{cases}$

Initialization of Φ shall enforce its smooth evolution. Choose $\Phi(0)$ such that

$$\Phi(0) \stackrel{!}{=} \mathcal{I}(0; \ \Phi(\Delta t), ..., \Phi(n\Delta t))$$

unique interpolation polynomial

 $\deg \mathcal{P} = n - 1$

Linear extrapolation: $\begin{cases} R(0) = \rho_0 \\ \Phi(0) = 2\Phi(\Delta t) - \Phi(2\Delta t) \end{cases}$

Г

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{F}(0) \stackrel{!}{=} M^{-1} \Big[\Pi M \left(2E - E^2 \right) \mathsf{F}(0) + \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big]$$

Consistency result: $F(0) = f^{(0)}(0) + hf^{(1)}(0) + O(h^2)$

Linear extrapolation: $\begin{cases} R(0) = \rho_0 \\ \Phi(0) = 2\Phi(\Delta t) - \Phi(2\Delta t) \end{cases}$

Г

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{F}(0) \stackrel{!}{=} M^{-1} \Big[\Pi M \left(2E - E^2 \right) \mathsf{F}(0) + \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big]$$

Consistency result: $F(0) = f^{(0)}(0) + hf^{(1)}(0) + O(h^2)$

Quadratic extrapolation:
$$\begin{cases} R(0) = \rho_0 \\ \Phi(0) = 3\Phi(\Delta t) - 3\Phi(2\Delta t) + \Phi(3\Delta t) \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{F}(0) \stackrel{!}{=} M^{-1} \Big[\Pi M \left(3E - 3E^2 + E^3 \right) \mathsf{F}(0) + \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big]$$

Consistency result: $F(0) = f^{(0)}(0) + hf^{(1)}(0) + h^3f^{(2)}(0) + O(h^3)$

Linear extrapolation: $\begin{cases} R(0) = \rho_0 \\ \Phi(0) = 2\Phi(\Delta t) - \Phi(2\Delta t) \end{cases}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{F}(0) \stackrel{!}{=} M^{-1} \Big[\Pi M \left(2E - E^2 \right) \mathsf{F}(0) + \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big]$$

Consistency result: $F(0) = f^{(0)}(0) + hf^{(1)}(0) + O(h^2)$

Quadratic extrapolation:
$$\begin{cases} R(0) = \rho_0 \\ \Phi(0) = 3\Phi(\Delta t) - 3\Phi(2\Delta t) + \Phi(3\Delta t) \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{F}(0) \stackrel{!}{=} M^{-1} \Big[\Pi M \left(3E - 3E^2 + E^3 \right) \mathsf{F}(0) + \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big]$$

Consistency result: $F(0) = f^{(0)}(0) + hf^{(1)}(0) + h^3f^{(2)}(0) + O(h^3)$

Remark: Nearest neighbor (constant) extrapolation \rightarrow condition of original CRS: $F(0) = f^{(0)}(0) + O(h)$ ICs for F(0) are of type: $x = Ax + b \iff (I - A)x = b$ (*)

$$A := \underbrace{M^{-1}\Pi M}_{=:\tilde{\Pi}} \mathcal{I}_k(E) \qquad \text{with e.g.} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I}_1(z) := 2z - z^2 \\ \mathcal{I}_2(z) := 3z - 3z^2 + z^3 \\ \mathcal{I}_3(z) := 4z - 6z^2 + 4z^3 - z^4 \end{array} \right.$$

Remarks: Unique solvability of $(*) \rightarrow$ theoretic issue to be investigated.

Solvability provided \rightarrow solution of (*) by whatever method one likes.

Preferably: iterative methods \rightarrow avoid setting up matrices \rightarrow easily integrable within the LB framework

ICs for F(0) are of type: $x = Ax + b \iff (I - A)x = b$ (*)

$$A := \underbrace{M^{-1}\Pi M}_{=:\tilde{\Pi}} \mathcal{I}_k(E) \qquad \text{with e.g.} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{I}_1(z) := 2z - z^2 \\ \mathcal{I}_2(z) := 3z - 3z^2 + z^3 \\ \mathcal{I}_3(z) := 4z - 6z^2 + 4z^3 - z^4 \end{array} \right.$$

Remarks: Unique solvability of $(*) \rightarrow$ theoretic issue to be investigated.

Solvability provided \rightarrow solution of (*) by whatever method one likes.

Preferably: iterative methods \rightarrow avoid setting up matrices \rightarrow easily integrable within the LB framework

Proceeding analogously to original $CR \rightarrow direct$ iterative solution:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{n+1} = Ax_n + b \\ x_{n+1} = Ax_n + b \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \qquad x_n = A^n x_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A^k b$$

For arbitrary b, x_0 :
$$\begin{array}{c} x_n \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} x \\ x = Ax + b \end{array} \Leftrightarrow \qquad \varrho(A) < 1$$



Spectral plots of *A* with *linear* extrapolation (• a = 0.95, • a = 0.1)

Numerical test for D1Q2 model algorithm: $\operatorname{spec}(A) \subset \overline{D_1(0)}$ for $\omega \in [0.586, 1.414]$



Spectral plots of *A* with *cubic* extrapolation ($\circ a = 0.95$, $\cdot a = 0.1$)



Improvement: Assume $\operatorname{spec}(A) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{x = Ax + b}_{(\star\star)} \text{ can be solved iteratively. (Well-suited for LBM!)}$$

Use technique of *relaxation* motivated by:

- Assumption \Rightarrow spec $(A I) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Re}(z) < 0\} \Rightarrow \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} e^{\lambda(A I)} = 0$
- Consider ODE: $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}x(\lambda) = (A I)x(\lambda) + b$

 $x(\lambda) = e^{\lambda(A-I)}x_0 + (A-I)^{-1}b \xrightarrow{\lambda \to \infty} (I-A)^{-1}b$ (solution of (**))

• Discretize, e.g., by explicit Euler \rightarrow iterate until *stationarity* is reached.

Improvement: Assume $\operatorname{spec}(A) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{x = Ax + b}_{(\star\star)} \text{ can be solved iteratively. (Well-suited for LBM!)}$$

Use technique of *relaxation* motivated by:

- Assumption \Rightarrow spec $(A I) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Re}(z) < 0\} \Rightarrow \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} e^{\lambda(A I)} = 0$
- Consider ODE: $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}x(\lambda) = (A I)x(\lambda) + b$

 $x(\lambda) = e^{\lambda(A-I)}x_0 + (A-I)^{-1}b \xrightarrow{\lambda \to \infty} (I-A)^{-1}b$ (solution of (**))

• Discretize, e.g., by explicit Euler \rightarrow iterate until *stationarity* is reached.

Stabilized iteration: choose $\Delta \lambda$ such that $\operatorname{spec}(\Delta \lambda (A - I)) \subset D_1(-1) \Leftrightarrow \varrho(I + \Delta \lambda (A - I)) < 1$

 $x_{n+1} = x_n + \Delta\lambda(A - I)x_n + \Delta\lambda b$

 $\mathsf{convergence}\, \to\, \mathsf{Neumann} \,\, \mathsf{series}$

What has been presented?

• CR initialization scheme may be *ineffective*

• Regular expansion
$$\leftrightarrow$$

 $\begin{cases} \text{optimal} \\ \text{smooth} \end{cases}$ initialization

• IC for F(0): \rightarrow regular expansion for t = 0 up to k^{th} order

(*)
$$\mathbf{F}(0) = M^{-1} \Pi M \mathcal{I}_k(E) \,\mathbf{F}(0) \,+\, M^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{M}}(0) \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{M}}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{M}_1(0) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{M}_b(0) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

(*) is valid independent of specific evolution operator E/LBM

• How to solve (*), especially in LB framework?

Tests (model problem) for $k \leq 3$: $\begin{cases}
 direct iteration & |1 - \omega| & \text{if small enough} \\
 relaxed iteration & \omega > 1
\end{cases}$