

## Numerische Verfahren der restriktierten Optimierung

<http://www.math.uni-konstanz.de/numerik/personen/volkwein/teaching/>

### Sheet 6

**Deadline for hand-in: 29.01.2015 at lecture**

#### Exercise 16 (2 Points)

Given the problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} f(x) := -x_1 - x_2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g(x) := -x \leq 0, e(x) := x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1 = 0. \quad (1)$$

- a) Sketch the admissible set and the cost function (use contour lines for the cost function).
- b) Calculate the solution of (1) and the corresponding Lagrange multipliers.
- c) Let  $x^k = (-1/2, -1/2)^\top$  be given. Sketch the constraints of the SQP subproblem and show that the corresponding admissible set is empty.

#### Exercise 17

Given the problem

$$\min f(x) \quad \text{subject to} \quad e(x) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $f$  and  $e$  are  $C^2$  functions. The *augmented Lagrange function* for (2) is defined as

$$L_\alpha(x, \lambda) := f(x) + \lambda^\top e(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|e(x)\|^2$$

with  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

- a) Show that all KKT pairs  $(x, \lambda)$  satisfy

$$\nabla L_\alpha(x, \lambda) = 0.$$

- b) Let  $(x^*, \lambda^*)$  be a KKT pair that satisfies the second order sufficient optimality condition. Show that the Hessian matrix  $\nabla_{xx} L_\alpha(x^*, \lambda^*)$  is positive definite for sufficiently large  $\alpha$ . Hence,  $x^*$  is a local minimum of  $L_\alpha(\cdot, \lambda^*)$  provided that  $\alpha$  is sufficiently large.

#### Exercise 18

Given the problem

$$\min -x_1 x_2^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1. \quad (3)$$

Show that  $x^* = \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \right)^\top$  are the solutions of (3), with Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda^* = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}$ . We consider the Hessian matrix  $\nabla_{xx} L_\alpha(x^*, \lambda^*)$  of the augmented Lagrange function from Exercise 17. Visualize (Matlab) the contour lines of  $L_\alpha(x, \lambda^*)$  for different values  $\alpha$  (e.g.  $\alpha = 0, 0.6$ ). For which values of  $\alpha$  is  $\nabla_{xx} L_\alpha(x^*, \lambda^*)$  positive definite?