

---

Übungsblatt 8 zur Einführung in die Algebra: Solutions

---

**Aufgabe 1.**

- (a) Zeige, dass  $4X^3 - 15X^2 + 60X + 180 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  irreduzibel ist.
- (b) Zeige, dass  $X^3 + 3X^2 + 5X + 5 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  irreduzibel ist.
- (c) Zeige, dass  $X^4 + 2X^2 + 4 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  irreduzibel ist.

*Solution*

(a) This is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  and  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  by Eisenstein's Criterion. It is a primitive polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , and we apply the Criterion with the prime  $p$  taken to be 5: for 5 does not divide the leading coefficient but it divides all the others, and its square, 25, does not divide 180.

(b) Call the polynomial  $f$ . Eisenstein's Criterion does not apply since there is no suitable prime. Substituting  $X - 1$  for  $X$  gives the polynomial  $X^3 + 2X + 2$  to which Eisenstein does apply, with  $p = 2$ . We deduce that  $f(X - 1)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ . Applying the automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  sending  $X$  to  $X + 1$  it follows that  $f = f(X + 1 - 1)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ .

(c) For any rational number  $a/b$ , we have

$$(a/b)^4 + 2(a/b)^2 + 4 \geq 0 + 2 \cdot 0 + 4 = 4 > 0$$

so  $f$  has no rational roots, and hence no linear factors in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ . Since it is of degree 4, the lack of roots also implies that it has no cubic factors either, since if  $p = qr$  for some  $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ , and  $\deg(q) = 3$ , then  $\deg(r) = \deg(p) - \deg(q) = 4 - 3 = 1$ . But  $r$  cannot have degree 1, as  $f$  has no linear factors, and hence  $q$  has no factors of degree 3.

It remains to show that the polynomial has no quadratic factors. Assume to the contrary that  $p$  has quadratic factors  $g, h \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  such that  $p = gh$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $g$  is primitive in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Then Gauss' Lemma implies that we also have  $h \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . So  $q = aX^2 + bX + c$  and  $r = dX^2 + eX + f$  where  $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If we multiply  $q, r$ , we can collect like terms to obtain

$$p = qr = adX^4 + (ae + bd)X^3 + (af + be + cd)X^2 + (bf + ce)X + cf.$$

Two polynomials are equal if and only if their coefficients are equal, so

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= ad \\ 0 &= ae + bd \\ 2 &= af + be + cd \\ 0 &= bf + ce \\ 4 &= cf. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a, d$  are integers and  $ad = 1$ , we may assume that  $a = d = 1$ . The system now becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e + b \\ 2 &= f + be + c \\ 0 &= bf + ce \\ 4 &= cf. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $b = -e$ , so we have

$$2 = f - b^2 + c \quad (1)$$

$$0 = bf - bc \quad (2)$$

$$4 = cf. \quad (3)$$

From equation (2), we know that  $b = 0$  or  $f = c$ . We consider two cases

*Case 1:* If  $f = c$ , equation (3) tells us that  $c = \pm 2$ . Substituting this into equation (1) we see that  $b^2 = 2$  or  $b^2 = -4$ , neither of which has an integer solution. Since  $b$  must be an integer,  $f \neq c$ .

*Case 2:* If  $b = 0$ , equation (1) tells us that  $f + c = 2$ , or  $f = 2 - c$ . Substituting into equation (3), we have

$$4 = c(2 - c)$$

$$4 = 2c - c^2$$

$$c^2 - 2c + 4 = 0.$$

The quadratic formula shows that this has no integer solution for  $c$ . Since  $c$  must be an integer,  $b \neq 0$ .

Neither case gives a solution for the coefficients. Hence  $p$  cannot factor as the product of two quadratic polynomials. Thus  $p$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . By Gauss' Lemma,  $q$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ .

**Aufgabe 2.** Sei  $\sqrt{-3} := \sqrt{3}i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $R := \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  und  $K = \text{qf}(R)$ .

(a) Zeige

$$R = \{a + b\sqrt{-3} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

und

$$K = \{a + b\sqrt{-3} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

(b) Untersuche die Irreduzibilität von  $X^2 + X + 1$  in  $R[X]$  und in  $K[X]$ .

(c) Zeige, dass  $R$  nicht faktoriell ist.

*Solution*

Let  $f = X^2 + X + 1$ .

(a) That  $R = \{a + b\sqrt{-3} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is clear from the definition.

Take  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x := a + b\sqrt{-3} \neq 0$ . To show that  $K = \{a + b\sqrt{-3} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ , we must show that  $x$  is invertible in  $\{a + b\sqrt{-3} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . Take  $y = \frac{a - b\sqrt{-3}}{a^2 + 3b^2}$ . If this is well defined, then it is clearly the inverse of  $x$  and an element of  $\{a + b\sqrt{-3} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . It is well defined if  $a^2 + 3b^2 \neq 0$ , which is clearly the case if either  $a$  or  $b$  is non-zero, and if  $a = b = 0$ , then  $x = 0$ .

(b) Over  $K$  (the fraction field of  $R$ ),  $f$  factors as

$$f = \left(X - \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}\right) \left(X - \frac{-1 - \sqrt{-3}}{2}\right).$$

We will now show that  $f$  is irreducible in  $R$ . Since  $f$  is of degree 2, it is irreducible if and only if it has a root. Assume a root exists, of the form  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{-3}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$0 = f(\alpha) = (a + b\sqrt{-3})^2 + a + b\sqrt{-3} + 1 = (a^2 - 3b^2 + a + 1) + (2ab - b^2)\sqrt{-3} = 0$$

Hence  $a^2 - 3b^2 + a + 1 = 0$  and  $2ab - b^2 = 0$ . From  $2ab - b^2 = 0$  we get either  $b = 0$  or  $2a - b = 0$ .

*Case  $b = 0$ .* In this case we get that  $a^2 + a + 1 = 0$  from the first equation. But we already know that  $X^2 + X + 1$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Case  $2a = b$ .* In this case we get that  $-11a^2 + a + 1 = 0$ . We can easily check with the equation for roots of a quadratic polynomial that  $-11X^2 + X + 1 = 0$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In both cases we get a contradiction, hence  $f$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ .

(c)  $f$  is irreducible over  $R$ , but not over its field of fractions  $K$ . Since  $\deg f \geq 1$  this would be a contradiction to Gauss' Lemma if  $R$  was a unique factorization domain (faktorieller Ring). Therefore  $R$  is not a unique factorization domain.

**Aufgabe 3.** Sei  $K$  ein Körper und  $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  eine diskrete Bewertung auf  $K$  mit zugehörigem Bewertungsring  $\mathcal{O}_v$  und maximalem Ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_v$ .

Sei  $\pi \in K$  mit  $v(\pi) = 1$ .

- (a) Zeige, dass  $k \mapsto (\pi^k)$  eine Bijektion zwischen  $\mathbb{N}_0$  und der Menge der Ideale  $I \neq \{0\}$  von  $\mathcal{O}_v$  definiert.
- (b) Zeige, dass  $\pi$  bis auf Assoziiiertheit das einzige irreduzible Element in  $\mathcal{O}_v$  ist.

*Solution*

(a) We will show that all non-zero ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_v$  are of the form  $(\pi^n)$  for some  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and that  $(\pi^n) \neq (\pi^m)$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $n \neq m$ . Then the bijection is clear.

Note first that for all elements  $a \in K^\times$ ,  $v(a) + v(a^{-1}) = v(a \cdot a^{-1}) = v(1)$  and hence

$$v(a) = -v(a^{-1}),$$

and moreover, it is easy to show that

$$v(a^n) = nv(a)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Take  $0 \neq a \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . If  $v(a) = 0$  then  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$  and trivially we have that  $a = u\pi^0$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ . Assume now that  $v(a) = n > 0$ . We have that  $v(\pi^n) = n$ , and hence  $v(a^{-1}\pi^n) = v(a^{-1}) + v(\pi^n) = 0$ . Therefore  $a^{-1}\pi^n = u$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ . Hence  $a = u\pi^n$ .

Let  $I$  be a non-zero ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_v$  and assume  $a \in I$  such that  $v(a) \leq v(b)$  for all  $b \in I$ . If  $a = 0$  then  $v(a) = \infty$  and hence  $v(b) = \infty$  for all  $b \in I$ , and therefore  $I = \{0\}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $a \neq 0$ .

From the above we have that  $a = u\pi^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $u \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ . We also have that for all  $b \in I$ ,

$$v(ba^{-1}) = v(b) + v(a^{-1}) \geq 0,$$

and hence  $ba^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v$ , therefore  $b = ac = \pi^n uc \in (\pi^n)$  for some  $c \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . Hence  $I \subseteq (\pi^n)$ , and clearly  $I \supseteq (\pi^n)$  as  $a \in I$ .

We now show that  $(\pi^n) \neq (\pi^m)$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $n \neq m$ . Assume that  $(\pi^n) = (\pi^m)$ . Then  $\pi^m = \pi^n \cdot a$  and  $\pi^n = \pi^m \cdot b = \pi^n$  for some  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . Taking valuations we see that  $m = v(\pi^m) = v(\pi^n) + v(a) = n + v(a)$ . So  $v(a) = m - n \geq 0$  as  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$ , hence  $m \geq n$ . Similarly  $v(b) = n - m \geq 0$ , and hence  $n \geq m$ , and hence  $n = m$ .

(b) Assume that  $\pi = ab$  for some  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . Then

$$v(\pi) = 1 = v(a) + v(b).$$

But  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_v$ , and hence  $v(a), v(b) \geq 0$ . So, if  $v(a) + v(b) = 1$ , we must have that  $v(a) = 0$  or  $v(b) = 0$ , so either  $a$  or  $b$  is a unit. Hence  $\pi$  is irreducible.

That  $\pi$  is the only irreducible element up to associativity goes as follows. Suppose  $p \in \mathcal{O}_v$  is irreducible. Then by the argument in (a),  $p = u\pi^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $u \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ . Since  $p \notin \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ , we have  $n \geq 1$ . Further, since  $p$  is irreducible and  $p = (u\pi)(\pi^{n-1})$ , we have that  $\pi^{n-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ , which implies that  $n = 1$ , hence  $p = u\pi$ , i.e.  $p \cong \pi$ .