

## 1. TERMINOLOGY ENGLISH/GERMAN

Unique factorisation domain - faktorieller Ring  
Field - Körper  
Field of fractions - Quotientenkörper  
Principal ideal domain - Hauptidealbereich  
Field extension - Körpererweiterung  
Prime subfield of a field - Primkörper eines Körpers

## 2. UFD'S AND IRREDUCIBLE POLYNOMIALS OVER INTEGRAL DOMAINS

From the last lecture we have the following lemma and corollary:

**Lemma 2.1** (Gauss' lemma). *Let  $R$  be a unique factorisation domain (in German: faktorieller Ring) with field of fractions  $F$  and  $p(x) \in R[x]$ . If  $p(x) = A(x)B(x)$  for some non-constant polynomials  $A(x), B(x) \in F[x]$  then there exist  $r, s \in F$  such that  $rA(x) = a(x)$  and  $sB(x) = b(x)$  are both in  $R[x]$  and  $p(x) = a(x)b(x)$ .*

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a unique factorisation domain with field of fractions  $F$  (in German: Quotientenkörper) and let  $p(x) \in R[x]$ . Suppose that the greatest common divisor of the coefficients of  $p(x)$  is 1. Then  $p(x)$  is irreducible in  $R[x]$  if and only if it is irreducible in  $F[x]$ . In particular, if  $p(x)$  is a monic polynomial that is irreducible in  $R[x]$  then  $p(x)$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** *A ring  $R$  is a unique factorisation domain if and only if  $R[x]$  is a unique factorisation domain.*

*Proof.* The reverse direction was covered in the last lecture.

Suppose  $R$  is a UFD (unique factorisation domain),  $F$  is the field of fractions of  $R$  and  $p(x) \in R[x]$  is non-zero.

Let  $d$  be the greatest common divisor of the coefficients of  $p(x)$  (NOTE: The greatest common divisor exists because  $R$  is a UFD) and write  $p(x) = dq(x)$ . The greatest common divisor of the coefficients of  $q$  is 1. Since  $R$  is a UFD,  $d$  can be factored in  $R$  into irreducibles and irreducibles in  $R$  remain irreducible in  $R[x]$  (this is simply because if  $d \in R \setminus \{0\}$  and  $d = a(x)b(x)$  then  $\deg(a(x)) = \deg(b(x)) = 0$ ; so  $a(x), b(x) \in R$ ).

We now attempt to write  $q(x)$  as a product of irreducibles in  $R[x]$ . Since  $F[x]$  is a UFD, there exist  $q_1(x), q_2(x), \dots, q_n(x) \in F[x]$  irreducible in  $F[x]$  such that  $q(x) = q_1(x) \cdots q_n(x)$ . Gauss' lemma means we may assume these factors are in  $R[x]$ . Since the greatest common divisor of the coefficients of  $q(x)$  is 1, the greatest common divisor of the

coefficients of each of the  $q_i$ s is also 1. Thus by corollary 2.2 each of these factors is irreducible in  $R[x]$ . Thus we can write  $p$  as a product of irreducible elements in  $R[x]$ :

$$d_1 \cdots d_m q_1(x) \cdots q_n(x)$$

where  $d = d_1 \cdots d_m$  and each  $d_i$  is irreducible in  $R$ .

It remains to show that this factorisation is unique up to ordering and multiplication by units. This is UB4 exercise 4. □

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $R$  is a UFD then so is  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .*

*Proof.* Use induction on  $n$ . □

We will give two methods for testing the irreducibility of a polynomial over an integral domain.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of an integral domain (in German: Integritätsbereich)  $R$  and let  $p(x)$  be a non-constant monic (in German: normierte) polynomial in  $R[x]$ . If the image of  $p(x)$  in  $(R/I)[x]$  can't be factored in  $(R/I)[x]$  into two polynomials of smaller degree, then  $p(x)$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $p(x)$  is non-constant, monic and reducible. Then  $p(x) = a(x)b(x) \in R[x]$  with  $a(x), b(x)$  non-constant (if either  $a(x)$  or  $b(x)$  were constant then would be a unit, since  $p(x)$  is monic). We may assume that  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are monic since  $p(x)$  is monic.

Let  $\bar{p}(x), \bar{a}(x)$  and  $\bar{b}(x)$  be the images of  $p(x), a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  in  $(R/I)[x]$ . Then  $\bar{p}(x) = \bar{a}(x)\bar{b}(x)$  and since  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are monic and non-constant,  $\bar{a}(x)$  and  $\bar{b}(x)$  are non-constant and monic. By comparing degrees  $\bar{a}(x)$  and  $\bar{b}(x)$  are polynomials of smaller degree than  $\bar{p}(x)$ . □

The most common application of this result is to prove that a polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is irreducible. For instance consider the polynomial  $X^4 + 9X^3 + 10X^2 + 22X + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

Its image in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]$  is  $X^4 + X^3 + 1$ . It is clear that this polynomial does not have a root in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  (check 0 and 1). Thus if it were irreducible, it must factor as a product of two polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$  of degree 2. If  $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[X]$  is irreducible of degree 2 then its leading term is 1 and its constant term is also 1 since 0 is not a root. The polynomial  $X^2 + 1$  has root 1. Therefore, there is only one irreducible polynomial of degree 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]$ . That is  $X^2 + X + 1$  (check it has no roots). But  $(X^2 + X + 1)^2 = X^4 + X^2 + 1$ . So  $X^4 + X^3 + 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus  $X^4 + 9X^3 + 10X^2 + 22X + 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Unfortunately this does not always work.

**Proposition 2.6.** (*Eisenstein's Criterion*) Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of an integral domain  $R$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and let  $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$  be a polynomial in  $R[x]$ . Suppose  $a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $a_0 \notin \mathfrak{p}^2$ . Then  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $R[x]$ .

*Proof. Claim:* If  $a(x), b(x)$  are non-constant polynomials over an integral domain  $R$  with  $a(x)b(x) = x^n$  and  $n > 0$  then  $b(0) = a(0) = 0$ .

**Proof of claim:** Since  $R$  is an integral domain either  $a(0) = 0$  or  $b(0) = 0$ . Suppose  $a(0) = 0$ . Let  $m$  be maximal such that  $a(x) = x^m a'(x)$  for some  $a'(x) \in R[x]$ . Thus  $a'(0) \neq 0$ . So now  $a'(x)b(x) = x^{n-m}$ . Since  $b(x)$  is non-constant  $n - m > 0$ . Therefore  $a'(0)b(0) = 0$ . So  $b(0) = 0$ . So we have proved the claim.

Suppose  $f(x) = a(x)b(x)$  in  $R[x]$  where  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are non-constant polynomials. It is easy to see that the constant term of  $f(x)$  is the product of the constant term of  $a(x)$  and the constant term of  $b(x)$ .

Let  $\bar{f}(x), \bar{a}(x), \bar{b}(x)$  be the images of  $f(x), a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  in  $(R/\mathfrak{p})[x]$ . Then  $x^n = \bar{f}(x) = \bar{a}(x)\bar{b}(x)$ . Thus  $\bar{a}(0) = \bar{b}(0) = 0$  since  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is an integral domain. But this means that the constant terms of  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Thus the constant term of  $f(x)$  is in  $\mathfrak{p}^2$  contradicting our assumptions. Therefore  $f(x)$  is irreducible. □

**Corollary 2.7.** Let  $p$  be a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and let  $f(x) := x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Suppose that  $p$  divides  $a_i$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$  but  $p^2$  does not divide  $a_0$ . Then  $f(x)$  is irreducible in both  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

*Proof.* Apply Eisenstein at the prime ideal  $\langle p \rangle$ . □

The polynomial  $X^5 + 7 + 10X^4 + 25X^2 + 35 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is irreducible by Eisenstein's theorem applied at 5.

**Extra example:**

Consider the polynomial  $f(X) := X^4 + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . We can't apply Eisenstein's theorem directly. Let  $g(X) = f(X+1)$ . So  $g(X) = X^4 + 4X^3 + 6X^2 + 4X + 2$ . Now, by Eisenstein applied at 2,  $g(x)$  is irreducible and if  $f$  could be factored as a product of non-constant polynomials then so could  $g$ . Thus  $f$  is irreducible.

### 3. FIELDS

A reminder from linear algebra:

**Definition 3.1.** The characteristic of a field  $F$ , denoted  $\text{char}(F)$  is the smallest strictly positive integer  $n$  such that  $n \cdot 1_F = 0$ . If such an integer does not exist we say the characteristic is zero.

Note that the characteristic of a field will always be zero or a prime (Check you know why?).

**Definition 3.2.** *The prime subfield (Primkörper eines Körpers) of a field  $F$  is the smallest subfield of  $F$ . Note that the prime subfield is always  $\mathbb{Q}$  (when  $F$  has characteristic zero) or  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (when  $F$  has positive characteristic  $p$ ).*

Note that a field of characteristic  $p$  may well have infinitely many elements. For example consider the field of fractions of  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ .

**Definition 3.3.** *If  $K$  is a field containing a subfield  $F$  then  $K$  is called an extension field (in German: Körpererweiterung) of  $F$ , denoted  $K/F$ . We refer to  $F$  as the base field.*

*If  $K/F$  is a field extension, then the multiplication defined in  $K$  makes  $K$  as a vector space over  $F$ .*

*The degree of a field extension (Grad einer Körpererweiterung)  $K/F$ , denoted  $[K : F]$ , is the dimension of  $K$  as a vector space over  $F$ . The extension is called finite if  $[K : F]$  is finite and is called infinite otherwise.*

**Examples:** The field extension  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$  has degree 2. Every element of  $\mathbb{C}$  can be written as a linear combination of 1 and  $i$  and if  $a + bi = 0$  then  $a^2 + b^2 = (a + bi)(a - bi) = 0$ ; so  $a = b = 0$ . So  $1, i$  are a basis for  $\mathbb{C}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark 3.4.** *A homomorphism of fields is always injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : F \rightarrow K$  be a homomorphism between fields  $F$  and  $K$ . The kernel of  $\varphi$  is an ideal of  $F$ . The only ideals of  $F$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $F$ . Since  $\varphi(1_F) = 1_K \neq 0$ ,  $\ker \varphi = 0$ . So  $\varphi$  is injective.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $F$  be a field and  $p(x) \in F[x]$  be irreducible. There exists a field  $K$  extension  $F$  of  $F$  in which  $p(x)$  has a root.*

*Proof.* Consider the quotient  $F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$ . Since  $p(x)$  is irreducible and  $F[x]$  is a PID (Hauptidealbereich), the ideal generated by  $p(x)$  is maximal. Therefore  $F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$  is a field.

Let  $\varphi : F[x] \rightarrow F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$  be the canonical homomorphism. The restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $F$  is a homomorphism of fields and thus is injective. Thus  $F$  is isomorphic to its image  $\varphi(F)$  in  $F[x]$ . We may now identify  $F$  with its image in  $F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$ .

This is a subtle point: what does it mean to identify  $F$  with its image in  $F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$ ?

If  $\psi : F \rightarrow K$  is a homomorphism of fields (with  $K$  and  $F$  disjoint as sets) we simply relabel each element  $\varphi(f)$  for  $f \in F$  as  $f$ . We can do

this because  $\psi$  is injective; i.e. if  $\psi(f) = \psi(g)$  then  $f = g$ . Now  $F$  as a set is a subset of  $K$ . Because  $\psi$  is a homomorphism  $\psi(0) = 0$ ,  $\psi(1) = 1$  and for all  $f, g \in F$ ,  $f + g = \psi(f) + \psi(g)$  and  $f \cdot g = \psi(f) \cdot \psi(g)$ . Thus  $F$  is also a subfield of  $K$ .

Back to the proof: Let  $\bar{x}$  be the image of  $x$  in  $F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$ . We now have that  $p(\bar{x}) = \overline{p(x)}$  since  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. But  $p(x) \in \langle p(x) \rangle$ , so  $\overline{p(x)} = 0$ . Thus  $\bar{x}$  is a root of the polynomial  $p(x)$  in  $K$ .  $\square$