Proposition 3.3.3. Let $E$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ endowed with the projective topology $\tau_{\text {proj }}$ w.r.t. the family $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right), f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$, where each $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ is a TVS over $\mathbb{K}$ and each $f_{\alpha}$ a linear mapping from $E$ to $E_{\alpha}$. Then $\tau_{\text {proj }}$ is Hausdorff if and only if for each $0 \neq x \in E$, there exists an $\alpha \in I$ and $a$ neighbourhood $U_{\alpha}$ of the origin in $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $f_{\alpha}(x) \notin U_{\alpha}$.

Proof.
Suppose that $\left(E, \tau_{\text {proj }}\right)$ is Hausdorff and let $0 \neq x \in E$. By Proposition 1.3.2, there exists a neighbourhood $U$ of the origin in $E$ not containing $x$. Then, by (3.7), there exists a finite subset $F \subseteq I$ and, for any $\alpha \in F$, there exists $U_{\alpha}$ neighbourhood of the origin in $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ s.t. $\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq U$. Hence, as $x \notin$ $U$, there exists $\alpha \in F$ s.t. $x \notin f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$, i.e. $f_{\alpha}(x) \notin U_{\alpha}$. Conversely, suppose that there exists $\alpha \in I$ and a neighbourhood $V_{\alpha}$ of the origin in $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $f_{\alpha}(x) \notin V_{\alpha}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ be a basis of neighbourhoods of the origin in $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$. Then there exists $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ such that $U_{\alpha} \subseteq V_{\alpha}$. Hence, $x \notin f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ and $f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {proj }}$ (see (3.7)), that is, we have found a neighbourhood of the origin in $\left(E, \tau_{p r o j}\right)$ not containing $x$. This implies, by Proposition 1.3.2, that $\tau_{p r o j}$ is a Hausdorff topology.

Coming back to the context of TAs, we have the following result.
Theorem 3.3.4. Let $E$ be $a \mathbb{K}$-algebra endowed with the projective topology $\tau_{\text {proj }}$ w.r.t. the family $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right), f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$, where each $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ is a TA over $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. a TA with continuous multiplication) and each $f_{\alpha}$ a homomorphism from $E$ to $E_{\alpha}$. Then $\left(E, \tau_{p r o j}\right)$ is a TA (resp. a TA with continuous multiplication).

Proof.
As each $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ is a TVS, it is easy to verify that $\left(E, \tau_{p r o j}\right)$ is a TVS. Therefore, it remains to show that left and right multiplication are both continuous. For any $x \in E$, consider the left multiplication $\ell_{x}: E \rightarrow E$. For each $\alpha \in I$ we get that:
$\forall y \in E,\left(f_{\alpha} \circ \ell_{x}\right)(y)=f_{\alpha}(x y)=f_{\alpha}(x) f_{\alpha}(y)=\ell_{f_{\alpha}(x)}\left(f_{\alpha}(y)\right)=\left(\ell_{f_{\alpha}(x)} \circ f_{\alpha}\right)(y)$.
Since $f_{\alpha}(x) \in E_{\alpha}$ and $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ is a TA, we have that $\ell_{f_{\alpha}(x)}: E_{\alpha} \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$ is continuous and so $\ell_{f_{\alpha}(x)} \circ f_{\alpha}$ is continuous. Hence, by (3.8), we have that $f_{\alpha} \circ \ell_{x}$ is continuous for all $\alpha \in I$ and so by the previous lemma we have that $\ell_{x}$ is continuous. Similarly, we get the continuity of the right multiplication in $E$. Hence, $\left(E, \tau_{\text {proj }}\right)$ is a TA.

If each $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ is a TA with continuous multiplication, then by combining Remark 3.3.1 and Proposition 1.4.1 we can conclude that ( $E, \tau_{\text {proj }}$ ) is a TA.

Proposition 3.3.5. Let $E$ be $a \mathbb{K}$-algebra endowed with the projective topology $\tau_{\text {proj }}$ w.r.t. the family $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right), f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$, where each $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ is an lc (resp. lmc) algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ and each $f_{\alpha}$ a homomorphism from $E$ to $E_{\alpha}$. Then $\left(E, \tau_{p r o j}\right)$ is an lc (resp. lmc) algebra.
Proof.
By assumption, we know that each $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ is a TA and so Theorem 3.3.4 ensures that $\left(E, \tau_{p r o j}\right)$ is a TA, too. Moreover, as each $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ is an lc (resp. lmc) algebra, there exists a basis $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ of convex (resp. m-convex) neighbourhoods of the origin in $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$. Then the corresponding $\mathcal{B}_{\text {proj }}$ (see (3.7)) also consists of convex (resp. m-convex) neighbourhoods of the origin in $\left(E, \tau_{p r o j}\right)$. In fact, any $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {proj }}$ is of the form $B=\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ for some $F \subseteq I$ finite and $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in F$. Since all the $U_{\alpha}$ 's are convex (resp. m-convex) and the preimage of a convex (resp. m-convex) set under a homomorphism is convex (resp. m-convex by Proposition 2.1.3-d)), we get that $B$ is a finite intersection of convex (resp. m-convex) sets and so it is convex (resp. m-convex).

Corollary 3.3.6. Let $(A, \tau)$ be an lc (resp. lmc) algebra and $M$ a subalgebra of $A$. If we endow $M$ with the relative topology $\tau_{M}$ induced by $A$, then $\left(M, \tau_{M}\right)$ is an lc (resp. lmc) algebra.
Proof.
Recalling that $\tau_{M}$ coincides with the projective topology on $M$ induced by id : $M \rightarrow A$ (see Corollary 1.4.2), the conclusion easily follows from the previous proposition (applied for $I=\{1\}, E_{1}=A$ and $\tau_{1}=\tau, E=M$ and $f_{1}=\mathrm{id}$ ).

Corollary 3.3.7. Any subalgebra of a Hausdorff TA is a Hausdorff TA.
Proof. This is a direct application of Proposition 3.3.3 and Corollary 1.4.2.
Example 3.3.8. Let $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in I\right\}$ be a family of TAs over $\mathbb{K}$. Then the Cartesian product $F=\prod_{\alpha \in I} E_{\alpha}$ equipped with coordinatewise operation is a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. Consider the canonical projections $\pi_{\alpha}: F \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$ defined by $\pi_{\alpha}(x):=x_{\alpha}$ for any $x=\left(x_{\beta}\right)_{\beta \in I} \in F$, which are all homomorphisms. Then the product topology $\tau_{\text {prod }}$ on $F$ is the coarsest topology for which all the canonical projections are continuous and so coincides with the projective
topology on $F$ w.r.t. $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right), \pi_{\alpha}: \alpha \in I\right\}^{2}$. Hence, by Theorem 3.3.4 we have that $\left(F, \tau_{\text {prod }}\right)$ is a TA.

Recalling that a cartesian product of complete Hausdorff TAs endowed with the product topology is a complete Hausdorff TA and applying Proposition 3.3.5, Corollary 3.3.6 and Proposition 3.3.3 to the previous example, we can easily prove the following properties

- any Cartesian product of lc (resp. lmc) algebras endowed with the product topology is an lc (resp. 1 mc ) algebra
- any subalgebra of a Cartesian product of lc (resp. lmc) endowed with the relative topology is a TA of the same type
- a cartesian product of Hausdorff TAs endowed with the product topology is a Hausdorff TA.


### 3.3.2 Projective systems of TAs and their projective limit

In this section we are going to discuss the concept of projective system (resp. projective limit) first for just $\mathbb{K}$-algebras and then for TAs.

Definition 3.3.9. Let $(I,<)$ be a directed partially ordered set (i.e. for all $\alpha, \beta \in I$ there exists $\gamma \in I$ such that $\alpha \leq \gamma$ and $\beta \leq \gamma$ ). A projective system of algebras $\left\{E_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha \beta}, I\right\}$ is a family of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $\left\{E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in I\right\}$ together with a family of homomorphisms $f_{\alpha \beta}: E_{\beta} \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$ defined for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $I$ such that $f_{\alpha \alpha}$ is the identity on $E_{\alpha}$ and $f_{\alpha \beta} \circ f_{\beta \gamma}=f_{\alpha \gamma}$ for all $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$, i.e. the diagram

commutes.

[^0]Definition 3.3.10. Given a projective system of algebras $\mathcal{S}:=\left\{E_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha \beta}, I\right\}$, we define the projective limit of $\mathcal{S}$ (or the projective limit algebra associated with $\mathcal{S}$ ) to be the triple $\left\{E_{\mathcal{S}}, f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$, where

$$
E_{\mathcal{S}}:=\left\{x:=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I} \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} E_{\alpha}: x_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right), \forall \alpha \leq \beta \text { in } I\right\}
$$

and, for any $\alpha \in I, f_{\alpha}: E_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$ is defined by $f_{\alpha}:=\pi_{\alpha} \upharpoonright_{E_{\mathcal{S}}}$ (where $\pi_{\alpha}: \prod_{\beta \in I} E_{\beta} \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$ is the canonical projection, see Example 3.3.8).

It is easy to see from the previous definitions that $E_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a subalgebra of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} E_{\alpha}$. Indeed, for any $x, y \in E_{\mathcal{S}}$ and for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ we have that for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $I$ the following hold

$$
\lambda x_{\alpha}+y_{\alpha}=\lambda f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right)+f_{\alpha \beta}\left(y_{\beta}\right)=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(\lambda x_{\beta}+y_{\beta}\right)
$$

and

$$
x_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right) f_{\alpha \beta}\left(y_{\beta}\right)=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta} y_{\beta}\right),
$$

i.e. $\lambda x+y, x y \in E_{\mathcal{S}}$. Note that the $f_{\alpha}$ 's are not necessarily surjective and also that

$$
f_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ f_{\beta}, \forall \alpha \leq \beta \text { in } I,
$$

since for all $x:=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I} \in E_{\mathcal{S}}$ we have $f_{\alpha}(x)=x_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right)=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(f_{\beta}(x)\right)$.
Also, we can show that $\left\{E_{\mathcal{S}}, f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$ satisfies the following universal property: given a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $A$ and a family of homomorphism $\left\{g_{\alpha}: A \rightarrow E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in\right.$ $I\}$ such that $g_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ g_{\beta}$ for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $I$, there exists a unique homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $g_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha} \circ \varphi$ for all $\alpha \in I$, i.e. the diagram

commutes. In fact, the map $\varphi: A \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{S}}$ defined by $\varphi(a):=\left(g_{\alpha}(a)\right)_{\alpha \in I}$ for all $a \in A$ is a homomorphism such that $\left(f_{\alpha} \circ \varphi\right)(a)=(\varphi(a))_{\alpha}=g_{\alpha}(a)$, for all $a \in A$. Moreover, if there exists $\varphi^{\prime}: A \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $g_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha} \circ \varphi^{\prime}$ for all $\alpha \in I$, then for all $a \in A$ we get

$$
\varphi(a)=\left(g_{\alpha}(a)\right)_{\alpha \in I}=\left(\left(f_{\alpha} \circ \varphi^{\prime}\right)(a)\right)_{\alpha \in I}=\left(\left(\varphi^{\prime}(a)\right)_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I}=\varphi^{\prime}(a),
$$

i.e. $\varphi^{\prime} \equiv \varphi$ on $A$.

These considerations allows to easily see that one can give the following more general definition of projective limit algebra.
Definition 3.3.11. Given a projective system of algebras $\mathcal{S}:=\left\{E_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha \beta}, I\right\}$, a projective limit of $\mathcal{S}$ (or a projective limit algebra associated with $\mathcal{S}$ ) is a triple $\left\{E, h_{\alpha}, I\right\}$, where $E$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra; for any $\alpha \in I, h_{\alpha}: E \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$ is a homomorphisms such that $h_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ h_{\beta}, \forall \alpha \leq \beta$ in $I$; and the following universal property holds: for any $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $A$ and any family of homomorphism $\left\{g_{\alpha}: A \rightarrow E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in I\right\}$ such that $g_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ g_{\beta}$ for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $I$, there exists a unique homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow E$ such that $g_{\alpha}=h_{\alpha} \circ \varphi$ for all $\alpha \in I$.

It is easy to show that $\left\{E, h_{\alpha}, I\right\}$ is unique up to (algebraic) isomorphisms, i.e. if $\left\{\tilde{E}, \tilde{h}_{\alpha}, I\right\}$ fulfills Definition 3.3.11 then there exists a unique isomorphism between $E$ and $\tilde{E}$. This justifies why in Definition 3.3.10 we have called $\left\{E_{\mathcal{S}}, f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$ the projective limit of $\mathcal{S}$. (Indeed, we have already showed that $\left\{E_{\mathcal{S}}, f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$ fulfills Definition 3.3.11.)

The definitions introduced above for algebras can be easily adapted to the category of TAs.

Definition 3.3.12. Let $(I,<)$ be a directed partially ordered set. A projective system of TAs $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right), f_{\alpha \beta}, I\right\}$ is a family of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in I\right\}$ together with a family of continuous homomorphisms $f_{\alpha \beta}: E_{\beta} \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$ defined for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $I$ such that $f_{\alpha \alpha}$ is the identity on $E_{\alpha}$ and $f_{\alpha \beta} \circ f_{\beta \gamma}=f_{\alpha \gamma}$ for all $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$, i.e. the diagram

commutes. Equivalently, a projective system of TAs is a projective system of algebras $\left\{E_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha \beta}, I\right\}$ in which each $E_{\alpha}$ is endowed with a topology $\tau_{\alpha}$ making $\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right)$ into a TA and all the homomorphisms $f_{\alpha \beta}$ continuous.
Definition 3.3.13. Given a projective system $\mathcal{S}:=\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right), f_{\alpha \beta}, I\right\}$ of TAs, we define the projective limit of $\mathcal{S}$ (or the projective limit TA associated with $\mathcal{S})$ to be the triple $\left\{\left(E_{\mathcal{S}}, \tau_{\text {proj }}\right), f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$ where $\left\{E_{\mathcal{S}}, f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$ is the projective limit algebra associated with $\left\{E_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha \beta}, I\right\}$ and $\tau_{\text {proj }}$ is the projective topology on $E_{\mathcal{S}}$ w.r.t. the family $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right), f_{\alpha}: \alpha \in I\right\}$.

Similarly, to the algebraic case, one could give the following more general definition of projective limit TA.

Definition 3.3.14. Given a projective system of $T A s \mathcal{S}:=\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right), f_{\alpha \beta}, I\right\}$, a projective limit of $\mathcal{S}$ (or a projective limit TA associated with $\mathcal{S}$ ) is a triple $\left\{(E, \tau), h_{\alpha}, I\right\}$ where $(E, \tau)$ is a TA; for any $\alpha \in I, h_{\alpha}: E \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$ is a continuous homomorphism such that $h_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ h_{\beta}$, for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $I$; and the following universal property holds: for any $T A(A, \omega)$ and any family of continuous homomorphism $\left\{g_{\alpha}: A \rightarrow E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in I\right\}$ such that $g_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ g_{\beta}$ for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $I$, there exists a unique continuous homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow E$ such that $g_{\alpha}=h_{\alpha} \circ \varphi$ for all $\alpha \in I$.

It is easy to show that $\left\{(E, \tau), h_{\alpha}, I\right\}$ is unique up to topological isomorphisms. We have already showed that $E_{\mathcal{S}}$ is an algebra such that the family of all $f_{\alpha}:=\pi_{\alpha} \upharpoonright_{E_{\mathcal{S}}}(\alpha \in I)$ fulfills $f_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ f_{\beta}, \forall \alpha \leq \beta$ in $I$. Endowing $E_{\mathcal{S}}$ with the projective topology $\tau_{\text {proj }}$ w.r.t. $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right), f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$, we get by Theorem 3.3.4 that $\left(E_{\mathcal{S}}, \tau_{p r o j}\right)$ is a TA and that all $f_{\alpha}$ 's are continuous. Also, for any TA $(A, \omega)$ and any family of continuous homomorphism $\left\{g_{\alpha}: A \rightarrow E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in I\right\}$ such that $g_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ g_{\beta}$ for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $I$, we have already showed that $\varphi: A \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{S}}$ defined by $\varphi(a):=\left(g_{\alpha}(a)\right)_{\alpha \in I}$ for all $a \in A$ is the unique homomorphism such that $g_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha} \circ \varphi$ for all $\alpha \in I$. But $\varphi$ is also continuous because for any $U \in \mathcal{B}_{\text {proj }}$ we have $U=\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ for some $F \subset I$ finite and some $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in F$ and so $\varphi^{-1}(U)=\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} \varphi^{-1}\left(f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)\right)=$ $\bigcap_{\alpha \in F}\left(f_{\alpha} \circ \varphi\right)^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)=\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} g_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega}$. Hence, $\left\{\left(E_{\mathcal{S}}, \tau_{p r o j}\right), f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$ satisfies Definiton 3.3.14.

## Remark 3.3.15.

From the previous definitions one can easily deduce the following:
a) the projective limit of a projective system of Hausdorff TAs is a Hausdorff TA (easily follows by Proposition 3.3.3).
b) the projective limit of a projective system of Hausdorff TAs $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right), f_{\alpha, \beta}, I\right\}$ is a closed subalgebra of $\left(\prod_{\alpha \in I} E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\text {prod }}\right)$ (see Sheet 6).
c) the projective limit of a projective system of complete Hausdorff TAs is a complete Hausdorff TA (see Sheet 6).

Corollary 3.3.16. A projective limit of lmc algebras is an lmc algebra.
Proof.
Let $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}\right), f_{\alpha, \beta}, I\right\}$ be a projective system of lmc algebras. Then its projective limit $\left\{\left(E_{\mathcal{S}}, \tau_{\text {proj }}\right), f_{\alpha}, I\right\}$ is an lmc algebra by Proposition 3.3.5.

This easy corollary brings us to a very natural but fundamental question: can any lmc algebra be written as a projective limit of a projective system of lmc algebras or at least as a subalgebra of such a projective limit? The whole next section will be devoted to show a positive answer to this question.


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ We could have also directly showed that the equivalence of the two topologies using their basis of neighbourhoods of the origin. Indeed

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \mathcal{B}_{\text {proj }} \stackrel{(3.7)}{=} \\
    &=\left\{\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right): F \subseteq I \text { finite, } U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in F\right\} \\
    &=\left\{\prod_{\alpha \in F} U_{\alpha} \times \prod_{\alpha \in I \backslash F} E_{\alpha}: F \subseteq I \text { finite, } U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}, \forall \alpha \in F\right\}=\mathcal{B}_{\text {prod }} .
    \end{aligned}
    $$

