



## TOPOLOGICAL VECTOR SPACES II–WS 2017/2018

### Exercise Sheet 7 - Solution

- 1) Given two sets  $X$  and  $Y$ , let  $E$  (resp.  $F$ ) be the linear space of all functions from  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) to  $\mathbb{K}$  endowed with the usual addition and multiplication by scalars. For any  $f \in E$  and  $g \in F$ , define:

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes g : X \times Y &\rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto f(x)g(y). \end{aligned}$$

Show that  $E \otimes F := \text{span}\{f \otimes g : f \in E, g \in F\}$  is a tensor product of  $E$  and  $F$ .

*Proof.* Define the map  $\phi : E \times F \rightarrow E \otimes F$  as  $\phi(f, g) := f \otimes g$ . Since  $E \otimes F = \text{span}\{\phi(E \times F)\}$ , to prove that  $E \otimes F$  is a tensor product of  $E$  and  $F$ , we need only to show that  $\phi$  is bilinear and that  $E$  and  $F$  are  $\phi$ -linearly disjoint.

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $f, g \in E$  and  $h \in F$ . Then, for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((f + \lambda g) \otimes h)(x, y) &= (f + \lambda g)(x)h(y) \\ &= f(x)h(y) + \lambda g(x)h(y) \\ &= (f \otimes h)(x, y) + \lambda(g \otimes h)(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $\phi(f + \lambda g, h) = \phi(f, h) + \lambda\phi(g, h)$ . This proves the linearity of  $\phi$  in its first argument. The linearity in the second argument can be proved analogously.

Let  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subseteq E$  and  $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\} \subseteq F$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^r f_i \otimes g_i = 0$ . If  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  is linearly independent, then

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^r (f_i \otimes g_i)(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(x)g_i(y) \text{ for all } x \in X, y \in Y.$$

By the linear independence of  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ , this yields  $g_1(y) = \dots = g_r(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Hence, we obtain  $g_1 = \dots = g_r = 0$ . If  $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$  is assumed to be linearly independent, then one can show that  $f_1 = \dots = f_r = 0$  arguing similarly.  $\square$

- 2) Given  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $X$  and  $Y$  be open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , respectively. Using the approximation results in Section 1.5 in the lecture notes, prove that  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X) \otimes \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(Y)$  is sequentially dense in  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X \times Y)$ .

*Proof.* By Exercise 1) we can form the tensor product  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X) \otimes \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(Y) = \text{span}\{f \otimes g : f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X), g \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(Y)\}$  with  $f \otimes g(x, y) = f(x)g(y)$  for all  $x \in X, y \in Y$ . By Corollary 1.5.9, polynomials in  $\mathbb{R}[\underline{x}, \underline{y}]$  with tuples of variables  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\underline{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$  are sequentially dense in  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X \times Y)$  w.r.t. the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -topology, i.e.

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X \times Y) \exists (p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}, \underline{y}] : p_k \rightarrow \varphi \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (1)$$

in the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -topology. Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X \times Y)$  and let  $K \subseteq X \times Y$  be compact such that  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subseteq K$  and further, let  $K_1 \subseteq X$  and  $K_2 \subseteq Y$  be compact such that  $K \subseteq K_1 \times K_2$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$  and  $h \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(Y)$  such that  $g(x) = 1 = h(y)$  for all  $x \in K_1$  and all  $y \in K_2$ . Then

$$(g \otimes h)(x, y) = 1 \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \text{supp}(\varphi). \quad (2)$$

Now let us observe that for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}, \underline{y}]$  we have

$$p(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha, \beta} \underline{x}^\alpha \underline{y}^\beta = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha, \beta} (\underline{x}^\alpha \otimes \underline{y}^\beta), \forall \underline{x} \in X, \underline{y} \in Y,$$

and so  $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X) \otimes \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(Y)$ . Hence, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that:  $(g \otimes h)p_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X) \otimes \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(Y)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then (1) implies that  $(g \otimes h)p_k \rightarrow (g \otimes h)\varphi$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -topology as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and (2) ensures that  $(g \otimes h)\varphi = \varphi$ , which yields the assertion.  $\square$

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two locally convex t.v.s. over the field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Denote by  $E \otimes_\pi F$  the tensor product  $E \otimes F$  endowed with the  $\pi$ -topology. Prove the following statements.

- 3) If  $\mathcal{P}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Q}$ ) is a family of seminorms generating the topology on  $E$  (resp. on  $F$ ), then the  $\pi$ -topology on  $E \otimes F$  is generated by the family

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{Q} := \{p \otimes q : p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{Q}\},$$

where for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{Q}, \theta \in E \otimes F$  we define:

$$(p \otimes q)(\theta) := \inf\{\rho > 0 : \theta \in \rho W\}$$

with  $W := \text{conv}_b(U_p \otimes V_q)$ ,  $U_p := \{x \in E : p(x) \leq 1\}$  and  $V_q := \{y \in F : q(y) \leq 1\}$ .

*Proof.* Let us preliminarily show that for any seminorms  $p$  on  $E$  and  $q$  on  $F$  we have

$$U_{p \otimes q} = \text{conv}_b(U_p \otimes U_q). \quad (3)$$

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be seminorms on  $E$  and  $F$  respectively and  $W := \text{conv}_b(U_p \otimes U_q)$ . If  $\theta \in U_{p \otimes q}$ , then  $(p \otimes q)(\theta) \leq 1$ , i.e. for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\theta \in \rho W$  and

$$\rho < (p \otimes q)(\theta) + \varepsilon \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $W$  is balanced by definition, this yields that  $\theta \in \rho W \subseteq (1 + \varepsilon)W$ . Hence, by the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ , we have  $\theta \in W$ . The inclusion  $W \subseteq U_{p \otimes q}$  directly follows from the definitions.

W.l.o.g. we may assume that the families  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are directed. Therefore,  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} = \{\varepsilon U_p : p \in \mathcal{P}, \varepsilon > 0\}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \{\varepsilon U_q : q \in \mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon > 0\}$ ) is a basis of neighbourhoods of the origin in  $E$  (resp.  $F$ ) see (4.5) in Section 4.2 in TVS–I. Then

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\text{conv}_b(\delta U_p \otimes \varepsilon U_q) : p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{Q}, \delta > 0, \varepsilon > 0\}$$

is a basis of neighbourhoods of the origin in the  $\pi$ -topology on  $E \otimes F$ .

Now for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{Q}, \delta > 0, \varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\text{conv}_b(\delta U_p \otimes \varepsilon U_q) = \text{conv}_b(U_{\delta^{-1}p} \otimes U_{\varepsilon^{-1}q}) \stackrel{(3)}{=} U_{\delta^{-1}p \otimes \varepsilon^{-1}q} = U_{(\delta\varepsilon)^{-1}p \otimes q} = (\delta\varepsilon)U_{p \otimes q},$$

where the equality before the last follows from Theorem 4.2.3. Then

$$\mathcal{B} \equiv \{\lambda U_{p \otimes q} : p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{Q}, \lambda > 0\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are directed, we get that  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  is also directed because by Theorem 4.2.3 we have that

$$\max\{p_1 \otimes q_1, p_2 \otimes q_2\} = (\max\{p_1, p_2\}) \otimes (\max\{q_1, q_2\})$$

holds for all  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}, q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis of neighbourhoods of the origin of the topology on  $E \otimes F$  induced by the (directed) family  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  and the assertion is immediate.  $\square$

4)  $E \otimes_{\pi} F$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $E$  and  $F$  are both Hausdorff.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Q}$ ) be a family of seminorms generating the topology on  $E$  (resp.  $F$ ) and let  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  be defined as in Exercise 3). Then by Proposition 4.3.3 from TVS–I the spaces  $E, F$  and  $E \otimes_{\pi} F$  are Hausdorff if and only if  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  are separating.

Assume that  $E \otimes_{\pi} F$  is Hausdorff and so  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  is separating. Let  $x \in E \setminus \{o\}, y \in F \setminus \{o\}$ . Then  $x \otimes y \neq o \in E \otimes F$  and hence, there are  $p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that

$$0 \neq (p \otimes q)(x \otimes y) = p(x)q(y),$$

where the last equality is due to Theorem 4.2.3. Thus,  $p(x) \neq 0$  and  $q(y) \neq 0$ , which imply that the families  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are both separating and so  $E$  and  $F$  are both Hausdorff.

Conversely, assume that  $E$  and  $F$  are Hausdorff. Let  $o \neq \theta \in E \otimes F$ , say

$$\theta = \sum_{k=1}^r x_k \otimes y_k,$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in E$  (resp.  $y_1, \dots, y_r \in F$ ) can be assumed to be linearly independent. Since  $E$  and  $F$  are Hausdorff, by Proposition 3.2.7 (a consequence of the Hahn-Banach Theorem), there are  $x' \in E'$  and  $y' \in F'$  such that

$$\langle x', x_1 \rangle = \langle y', y_1 \rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x', x_k \rangle = \langle y', y_k \rangle = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 2.$$

Then the linear map

$$\begin{aligned}\theta' : E \otimes F &\rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ \sum_{l=1}^s \xi_l \otimes \eta_l &\mapsto \sum_{l=1}^r \langle x', \xi_l \rangle \langle y', \eta_l \rangle\end{aligned}$$

is continuous for the  $\pi$ -topology and satisfies  $\langle \theta', \theta \rangle = 1$  by construction. In particular,  $\theta'$  is  $(p \otimes q)$ -continuous for some  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ , i.e. there is some  $C > 0$  such that

$$0 < 1 = |\langle \theta', \theta \rangle| \leq C(p \otimes q)(\theta).$$

This yields  $(p \otimes q)(\theta) \neq 0$ . Thus, the family  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  is separating and the claim follows.  $\square$