

**Theorem 2.5.12.**

Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff t.v.s.. Then there exists a complete Hausdorff t.v.s.  $\hat{X}$  and a mapping  $i : X \rightarrow \hat{X}$  with the following properties:

- a) The mapping  $i$  is a topological monomorphism.
- b) The image of  $X$  under  $i$  is dense in  $\hat{X}$ .
- c) For every complete Hausdorff t.v.s.  $Y$  and for every continuous linear map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there is a continuous linear map  $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow Y$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow \hat{f} & \\ \hat{X} & & \end{array}$$

Furthermore:

- I) Any other pair  $(\hat{X}_1, i_1)$ , consisting of a complete Hausdorff t.v.s.  $\hat{X}_1$  and of a mapping  $i_1 : X \rightarrow \hat{X}_1$  such that properties (a) and (b) hold substituting  $\hat{X}$  with  $\hat{X}_1$  and  $i$  with  $i_1$ , is topologically isomorphic to  $(\hat{X}, i)$ . This means that there is a topological isomorphism  $j$  of  $\hat{X}$  onto  $\hat{X}_1$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \hat{X}_1 \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow j & \\ \hat{X} & & \end{array}$$

- II) Given  $Y$  and  $f$  as in property (c), the continuous linear map  $\hat{f}$  is unique.

Let us use the previous theorem to compute the completion of a very well-known infinite dimensional Hausdorff t.v.s..

**Example 2.5.13.** Let  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$  be the vector space of real valued functions defined and continuous on the real line and  $\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$  the linear subspace of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$  whose support  $\text{supp}(f)$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We endow  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$  with the topology  $\tau$  having  $\{N_{\varepsilon, n} : \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  as a basis of neighbourhoods of the origin where  $N_{\varepsilon, n} := \{f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}) : \sup_{|t| \leq n} |f(t)| \leq \varepsilon\}$ . It is possible to show that  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}), \tau)$  is a Hausdorff complete t.v.s. (see Exercise Sheet 3). Let  $\tau_{\text{sub}}$  be the subspace topology induced by  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ .

Claim: The completion of  $(\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}), \tau_{\text{sub}})$  is topologically isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}), \tau)$ .

*Proof. of Claim.*

Since  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}), \tau)$  is a Hausdorff t.v.s.,  $(\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}), \tau_{\text{sub}})$  is also a Hausdorff t.v.s. and the inclusion map  $i_c : \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$  is clearly injective, linear, continuous

## 2. TOPOLOGICAL VECTOR SPACES

---

and open, i.e. a topological monomorphism. We first show that  $(\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}), \tau_{sub})$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}), \tau)$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take  $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in [-n, n]$  and  $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq [-(n+1), n+1]$ .



Define  $h(x) := f(x)g(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $h$  is continuous as product of continuous functions and  $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq \text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) \subseteq [-(n+1), n+1]$ , i.e.  $h \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ . Also,  $h - f \in N_{\varepsilon, n}$  since  $\sup_{|t| \leq n} |h(t) - f(t)| = \sup_{|t| \leq n} |f(t) - f(t)| = 0 < \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $h \in (f + N_{\varepsilon, n}) \cap \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ , that is,  $(f + N_{\varepsilon, n}) \cap \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ , which completes the proof of the density.

We have therefore showed that  $(\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}), \tau_{sub})$  together with  $i_c$  fulfill both the property (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.5.12. Then by Part (I) of Theorem 2.5.12 we have that there exists a topological isomorphism  $j$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})}$  onto  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{i_c} & \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow j & \\ \widehat{\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})} & & \end{array}$$

which completes the proof of our claim. □

## Chapter 3

---

# Finite dimensional topological vector spaces

---

### 3.1 Finite dimensional Hausdorff t.v.s.

Let  $X$  be a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{K}$  of real or complex numbers. We know from linear algebra that the (algebraic) dimension of  $X$ , denoted by  $\dim(X)$ , is the cardinality of a basis of  $X$ . If  $\dim(X)$  is finite, we say that  $X$  is *finite dimensional* otherwise  $X$  is *infinite dimensional*. In this section we are going to focus on finite dimensional vector spaces.

Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  be a basis of  $X$ , i.e.  $\dim(X) = d$ . Given any vector  $x \in X$  there exist unique  $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{K}$  s.t.  $x = x_1e_1 + \dots + x_de_d$ . This can be precisely expressed by saying that the mapping

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K}^d & \rightarrow & X \\ (x_1, \dots, x_d) & \mapsto & x_1e_1 + \dots + x_de_d \end{array}$$

is an algebraic isomorphism (i.e. linear and bijective) between  $X$  and  $\mathbb{K}^d$ . In other words: *If  $X$  is a finite dimensional vector space then  $X$  is algebraically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}^{\dim(X)}$ .*

If now we give to  $X$  the t.v.s. structure and we consider  $\mathbb{K}$  endowed with the euclidean topology, then it is natural to ask if such an algebraic isomorphism is by any chance a topological one, i.e. if it preserves the t.v.s. structure. The following theorem shows that if  $X$  is a finite dimensional Hausdorff t.v.s. then the answer is yes:  $X$  is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}^{\dim(X)}$ .

It is worth to observe that usually in applications we deal always with Hausdorff t.v.s., therefore it makes sense to mainly focus on them.

**Theorem 3.1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional Hausdorff t.v.s. over  $\mathbb{K}$  (where  $\mathbb{K}$  is endowed with the euclidean topology). Then:*

- a)  $X$  is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}^d$ , where  $d = \dim(X)$ .
- b) Every linear functional on  $X$  is continuous.
- c) Every linear map of  $X$  into any t.v.s.  $Y$  is continuous.

Before proving the theorem let us recall some lemmas about the continuity of linear functionals on t.v.s..

**Lemma 3.1.2.**

*Let  $X$  be a t.v.s. over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $v \in X$ . Then the following mapping is continuous.*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_v : \mathbb{K} &\rightarrow X \\ \xi &\mapsto \xi v. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For any  $\xi \in \mathbb{K}$ , we have  $\varphi_v(\xi) = M(\psi_v(\xi))$ , where  $\psi_v : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \times X$  given by  $\psi_v(\xi) := (\xi, v)$  is clearly continuous by definition of product topology and  $M : \mathbb{K} \times X \rightarrow X$  is the scalar multiplication in the t.v.s.  $X$  which is continuous by definition of t.v.s.. Hence,  $\varphi_v$  is continuous as composition of continuous mappings.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a t.v.s. over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $L$  a linear functional on  $X$ . Assume  $L(x) \neq 0$  for some  $x \in X$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- a)  $L$  is continuous.
- b) The null space  $\text{Ker}(L)$  is closed in  $X$
- c)  $\text{Ker}(L)$  is not dense in  $X$ .
- d)  $L$  is bounded in some neighbourhood of the origin in  $X$ , i.e.  $\exists V \in \mathcal{F}(o)$  s.t.  $\sup_{x \in V} |L(x)| < \infty$ .

*Proof.* (see Exercise Sheet 3)

*Proof. of Theorem 3.1.1*

Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  be a basis of  $X$  and let us consider the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{K}^d &\rightarrow X \\ (x_1, \dots, x_d) &\mapsto x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_d e_d. \end{aligned}$$

As noted above, this is an algebraic isomorphism. Therefore, to conclude a) it remains to prove that  $\varphi$  is also a homeomorphism.

**Step 1:**  $\varphi$  is continuous.

When  $d = 1$ , we simply have  $\varphi \equiv \varphi_{e_1}$  and so we are done by Lemma 3.1.2. When  $d > 1$ , for any  $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{K}^d$  we can write:  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_d) = A(\varphi_{e_1}(x_1), \dots, \varphi_{e_d}(x_d)) = A((\varphi_{e_1} \times \dots \times \varphi_{e_d})(x_1, \dots, x_d))$  where each  $\varphi_{e_j}$

is defined as above and  $A : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is the vector addition in the t.v.s.  $X$ . Hence,  $\varphi$  is continuous as composition of continuous mappings.

**Step 2:**  $\varphi$  is open and b) holds.

We prove this step by induction on the dimension  $\dim(X)$  of  $X$ .

For  $\dim(X) = 1$ , it is easy to see that  $\varphi$  is open, i.e. that the inverse of  $\varphi$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1} : \quad X &\rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ x = \xi e_1 &\mapsto \xi \end{aligned}$$

is continuous. Indeed, we have that

$$\text{Ker}(\varphi^{-1}) = \{x \in X : \varphi^{-1}(x) = 0\} = \{\xi e_1 \in X : \xi = 0\} = \{o\},$$

which is closed in  $X$ , since  $X$  is Hausdorff. Hence, by Lemma 3.1.3,  $\varphi^{-1}$  is continuous. This implies that b) holds. In fact, if  $L$  is a non-identically zero functional on  $X$  (when  $L \equiv 0$ , there is nothing to prove), then there exists a  $o \neq \tilde{x} \in X$  s.t.  $L(\tilde{x}) \neq 0$ . W.l.o.g. we can assume  $L(\tilde{x}) = 1$ . Now for any  $x \in X$ , since  $\dim(X) = 1$ , we have that  $x = \xi \tilde{x}$  for some  $\xi \in \mathbb{K}$  and so  $L(x) = \xi L(\tilde{x}) = \xi$ . Hence,  $L \equiv \varphi^{-1}$  which we proved to be continuous.

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $d > 1$  and suppose now that both  $\varphi^{-1}$  is continuous and b) holds for  $\dim(X) \leq d - 1$ . Let us first show that b) holds when  $\dim(X) = d$ . Let  $L$  be a non-identically zero functional on  $X$  (when  $L \equiv 0$ , there is nothing to prove), then there exists a  $o \neq \tilde{x} \in X$  s.t.  $L(\tilde{x}) \neq 0$ . W.l.o.g. we can assume  $L(\tilde{x}) = 1$ . Note that for any  $x \in X$  the element  $x - \tilde{x}L(x) \in \text{Ker}(L)$ . Therefore, if we take the canonical mapping  $\phi : X \rightarrow X/\text{Ker}(L)$  then  $\phi(x) = \phi(\tilde{x}L(x)) = L(x)\phi(\tilde{x})$  for any  $x \in X$ . This means that  $X/\text{Ker}(L) = \text{span}\{\phi(\tilde{x})\}$  i.e.  $\dim(X/\text{Ker}(L)) = 1$ . Hence,  $\dim(\text{Ker}(L)) = d - 1$  and so by inductive assumption  $\text{Ker}(L)$  is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}^{d-1}$ <sup>1</sup> This implies that  $\text{Ker}(L)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ . Then, by Proposition 2.5.8-a),  $\text{Ker}(L)$  is closed in  $X$  and so by Lemma 3.1.3 we get  $L$  is continuous. By induction, we can conclude that b) holds whatever is the dimension of  $X$ .

This immediately implies that  $\varphi^{-1}$  is continuous whatever is  $\dim(X)$  (and so that a) holds for any dimension). In fact, the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1} : \quad X &\rightarrow \mathbb{K}^d \\ x = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j e_j &\mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_d) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous. Now for any  $x = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j e_j \in X$  we can write  $\varphi^{-1}(x) =$

<sup>1</sup>Note that we can apply the inductive assumption not only because  $\dim(\text{Ker}(L)) = d - 1$  but also because  $\text{Ker}(L)$  is a Hausdorff t.v.s. since it is a linear subspace of  $X$  which is a Hausdorff t.v.s..

$(L_1(x), \dots, L_d(x))$ , where for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  we define  $L_j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  by  $L_j(x) := x_j e_j$ . Since b) holds for any dimension, we know that each  $L_j$  is continuous and so  $\varphi^{-1}$  is continuous.

**Step 3:** The statement c) holds.

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be linear and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  be a basis of  $X$ . For any  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  we define  $b_j := f(e_j) \in Y$ . Hence, for any  $x = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j e_j \in X$  we have  $f(x) = f(\sum_{j=1}^d x_j e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j b_j$ . We can rewrite  $f$  as composition of continuous maps i.e.  $f(x) = A((\varphi_{b_1} \times \dots \times \varphi_{b_d})(\varphi^{-1}(x)))$  where:

- $\varphi^{-1}$  is continuous by a)
- each  $\varphi_{b_j}$  is continuous by Lemma 3.1.2
- $A$  is the vector addition on  $X$  and so it is continuous since  $X$  is a t.v.s..

Hence,  $f$  is continuous. □

**Corollary 3.1.4** (Tychonoff theorem). *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . The only topology that makes  $\mathbb{K}^d$  a Hausdorff t.v.s. is the euclidean topology. Equivalently, on a finite dimensional vector space there is a unique topology that makes it into a Hausdorff t.v.s..*

*Proof.*

We already know that  $\mathbb{K}^d$  endowed with the euclidean topology  $\tau_e$  is a Hausdorff t.v.s. of dimension  $d$ . Let us consider another topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathbb{K}^d$  s.t.  $(\mathbb{K}^d, \tau)$  is also Hausdorff t.v.s.. Then Theorem 3.1.1-a) ensures that the identity map between  $(\mathbb{K}^d, \tau_e)$  and  $(\mathbb{K}^d, \tau)$  is a topological isomorphism. Hence, as observed at the end of Section 1.1.4 p.10, we get that  $\tau \equiv \tau_e$ . □

**Corollary 3.1.5.** *Every finite dimensional Hausdorff t.v.s. is complete.*

*Proof.*

Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff t.v.s with  $\dim(X) = d < \infty$ . Then, by Theorem 3.1.1-a),  $X$  is topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}^d$  endowed with the euclidean topology. Since the latter is a complete Hausdorff t.v.s., so is  $X$ . □

**Corollary 3.1.6.** *Every finite dimensional linear subspace of a Hausdorff t.v.s. is closed.*

*Proof.*

Let  $S$  be a linear subspace of a Hausdorff t.v.s.  $(X, \tau)$  and assume that  $\dim(S) = d < \infty$ . Then  $S$  endowed with the subspace topology induced by  $\tau$  is itself a Hausdorff t.v.s.. Hence, by Corollary 3.1.5  $S$  is complete and therefore closed by Proposition 2.5.8-a). □

## 3.2 Connection between local compactness and finite dimensionality

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{K}^d$  be endowed with euclidean topology. By the Heine-Borel property (a subset of  $\mathbb{K}^d$  is closed and bounded iff it is compact),  $\mathbb{K}^d$  has a basis of compact neighbourhoods of the origin (i.e. the closed balls centered at the origin in  $\mathbb{K}^d$ ). Thus, in virtue of Theorem 3.1.1, the origin (and consequently every point) of a finite dimensional Hausdorff t.v.s. has a basis of neighbourhoods consisting of compact subsets. This means that *a finite dimensional Hausdorff t.v.s. is always locally compact*. Actually also the converse is true and gives the following beautiful characterization of finite dimensional Hausdorff t.v.s due to F. Riesz.

**Theorem 3.2.1.** *A Hausdorff t.v.s. is locally compact if and only if it is finite dimensional.*

For convenience let us recall the notions of compactness and local compactness for topological spaces before proving the theorem.

**Definition 3.2.2.** *A topological space  $X$  is compact if every open covering of  $X$  contains a finite subcovering. i.e. for any arbitrary collection  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of open subsets of  $X$  s.t.  $X \subseteq \cup_{i \in I} U_i$  there exists a finite subset  $J$  of  $I$  s.t.  $X \subseteq \cup_{i \in J} U_i$ .*

**Definition 3.2.3.** *A topological space  $X$  is locally compact if for every point  $x \in X$  there exists a basis of compact neighbourhoods of  $x$ .*

We also remind two typical properties of compact spaces.

**Proposition 3.2.4.**

- a) *A closed subset of a compact space is compact.*
- b) *Let  $f$  be a continuous mapping from a compact space  $X$  into a topological space  $Y$ . Then  $f(X)$  is a compact subset of  $Y$ .*

Just a small side remark: every compact t.v.s. is also locally compact but there exist locally compact t.v.s. that are not compact such as:  $\mathbb{K}^d$  with the euclidean topology.

*Proof.* of Theorem 3.2.1

As mentioned in the introduction of this section, if  $X$  is a finite dimensional Hausdorff t.v.s. then it is locally compact. Thus, we need to show only the converse.

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff t.v.s., and  $K$  a compact neighborhood of  $o$  in  $X$ . As  $K$  is compact and as  $\frac{1}{2}K$  is a neighborhood of the origin (see Theorem 2.1.10-3), there is a finite family of points  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in X$  s.t.

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^r (x_i + \frac{1}{2}K).$$

Let  $M := \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ . Then  $M$  is a finite dimensional linear subspace of  $X$  which is a Hausdorff t.v.s.. Hence,  $M$  is closed in  $X$  by Corollary 3.1.6. Therefore, the quotient space  $X/M$  is Hausdorff t.v.s. by Proposition 2.3.5.

Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow X/M$  be the canonical mapping. As  $K \subseteq M + \frac{1}{2}K$ , we have  $\phi(K) \subseteq \phi(M) + \phi(\frac{1}{2}K) = \frac{1}{2}\phi(K)$ , i.e.  $2\phi(K) \subseteq \phi(K)$ . By iterating we get  $\phi(2^n K) \subseteq \phi(K)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $K$  is absorbing (see Theorem 2.1.10-5), we have  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n K$ . Thus

$$X/M = \phi(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(2^n K) \subseteq \phi(K).$$

Since  $\phi$  is continuous, Proposition 3.2.4-b) guarantees that  $\phi(K)$  is compact. Thus  $X/M$  is compact. We claim that  $X/M$  must be of zero dimension, i.e. reduced to one point. This concludes the proof because it implies  $\dim(X) = \dim(M) < \infty$ .

Let us prove the claim by contradiction. Suppose  $\dim(X/M) > 0$  then  $X/M$  contains a subset of the form  $\mathbb{R}\bar{x}$  for some  $\bar{o} \neq \bar{x} \in X/M$ . Since such a subset is closed and  $X/M$  is compact, by Proposition 3.2.4-a),  $\mathbb{R}\bar{x}$  is also compact which is a contradiction.  $\square$