

# Algebraic and Model Theoretic Properties of O-minimal Exponential Fields

Lothar Sebastian Krapp

Universität Konstanz, Fachbereich Mathematik und Statistik

02 May 2019

Vorstellung wesentlicher Ergebnisse

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Overview of Main Results
- 3 Residue Fields of Models of Real Exponentiation

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Overview of Main Results
- 3 Residue Fields of Models of Real Exponentiation

# Model Theoretic Setting

- fixed language of ordered exponential fields  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{exp}} = (+, \cdot, 0, 1, <, \text{exp})$
- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{exp}}$ -structures  
e.g.  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <, \text{exp})$
- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{exp}}$ -formulas and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{exp}}$ -sentences  
e.g.  $\exists y \exp(y) < x$  or  $\forall x \exists y \exp(y) < x$
- notion of satisfiability  
e.g.  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <, \text{exp}) \models \neg \forall x \exists y x > \exp(y)$

## Ordered Exponential Fields

### Definition

Let  $(K, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  be an ordered field. A unary function  $\exp$  which is an order-preserving isomorphism from  $(K, +, 0, <)$  to  $(K^{>0}, \cdot, 1, <)$  is called an **exponential** on  $(K, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$ . The  $\mathcal{L}_{\exp}$ -structure  $(K, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <, \exp)$  is called an **ordered exponential field**.

Most prominent example:  $\mathbb{R}_{\exp} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <, \exp)$  — the **real exponential field**.

# O-minimality

## Definition

An ordered structure  $(M, <, \dots)$  is called **o-minimal** if every parametrically definable subset of  $M$  is a finite union of points and open intervals in  $M$ .

## Theorem (Wilkie 1996)

The real exponential field  $\mathbb{R}_{\exp}$  is o-minimal.

**Example:** The formula  $\exists y \ x^2 > \exp(y) + \pi$  parametrically defines the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists y \ x^2 > \exp(y) + \pi\} = (-\infty, -\sqrt{\pi}) \cup (\sqrt{\pi}, \infty)$  over  $\mathbb{R}_{\exp}$ .

# Schanuel's Conjecture

## Schanuel's Conjecture

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$  be linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$\text{td}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, e^{\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{\alpha_n})) \geq n.$$

→ Schanuel's Conjecture would, for instance, imply the algebraic independence of  $e$  and  $\pi$ .

## Real Schanuel's Conjecture (SC)

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$  be linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$\text{td}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, e^{\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{\alpha_n})) \geq n.$$

# Decidability of the Real Exponential Field

## Definition

An  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}$  is called **decidable** if there exists an algorithm that determines whether for a given  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentence  $\varphi$  we have  $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$  or  $\mathcal{M} \models \neg\varphi$ .

**Tarski's Exponential Function Problem:** Is  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$  decidable?

Theorem (Macintyre, Wilkie 1996)

Assume (SC). Then  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$  is decidable.



## Transfer Conjecture

- **Elementary equivalence:** Two  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are elementarily equivalent if they satisfy exactly the same  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentences. We write  $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N}$ .
- **EXP:**  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{exp}}$ -sentence stating that the differential equation  $\text{exp}' = \text{exp}$  with initial condition  $\text{exp}(0) = 1$  holds.

### Transfer Conjecture (TC)

Let  $(K, \text{exp})$  be an o-minimal EXP-field. Then  $(K, \text{exp}) \equiv \mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$ .

### Theorem (Berarducci, Servi 2004)

Assume (TC). Then  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$  is decidable.

*This motivates the study of o-minimal EXP-fields. More specifically, we want to know which properties of  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$  hold for any o-minimal EXP-field.*

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Overview of Main Results**
- 3 Residue Fields of Models of Real Exponentiation

# 1. Value Groups and Residue Fields of Models of Real Exponentiation

*Journal of Logic and Analysis*, to appear.

## 2. Models of True Arithmetic Are Integer Parts of Models of Real Exponentiation (with Merlin Carl, submitted)

## 2. Models of True Arithmetic Are Integer Parts of Models of Real Exponentiation

- **True arithmetic:**  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  — the collection of all sentences which are true in  $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$   
e.g.  $\forall x \exists y (x = y + y \vee x = y + y + 1)$  or  $\forall x (x \neq 0 \rightarrow x \geq 1)$
- **Model of true arithmetic:** a structure  $(M, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  which satisfies  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$
- **Peano arithmetic:** a subset of  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  which implies several properties of the natural numbers including induction
- **Integer part:** a discretely ordered subring  $Z$  of ordered field  $K$  such that for any  $a \in K$  there exists a unique  $k \in Z$  with  $k \leq a < k + 1$   
e.g.  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integer part of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and of  $\mathbb{R}$

## 2. Models of True Arithmetic Are Integer Parts of Models of Real Exponentiation

### Idea:

- Take a model of Peano arithmetic  $(M, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  and construct a real closed field  $\mathbb{R}_M$  in a similar way as  $\mathbb{R}$  is constructed from  $\mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $M \cup -M$  is an integer part of  $\mathbb{R}_M$ .
- Construct an exponential function  $\exp_M$  on  $\mathbb{R}_M$  in a similar way as  $e^x$  is defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Theorem

Let  $(M, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  be a model of Peano arithmetic. Then  $(\mathbb{R}_M, \exp_M)$  is an ordered EXP-field. If, moreover,  $(\mathbb{R}_M, \exp_M)$  is model complete, then  $(\mathbb{R}_M, \exp_M)$  is o-minimal.

### Theorem

Let  $(M, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  be a model of true arithmetic. Then  $(\mathbb{R}_M, \exp_M) \equiv \mathbb{R}_{\exp}$ .

### 3. Strongly NIP Almost Real Closed Fields

(with Salma Kuhlmann and Gabriel Lehericy, submitted)

### 3. Strongly NIP Almost Real Closed Fields

- **Strongly NIP:** well-studied model theoretic property generalising o-minimality
- We would like to understand strongly NIP *ordered exponential fields*.
- **Problem:** Not even strongly NIP *ordered fields* are fully understood.



### 3. Strongly NIP Almost Real Closed Fields

- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{or}}$ : language of ordered rings  $\{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1, <\}$
- **Almost real closed field**: An ordered field  $K$  is almost real closed with respect to a henselian valuation  $v$  if the residue field  $Kv$  is real closed.

#### Theorem

Let  $K$  be an almost real closed field with respect to some henselian valuation  $v$ . Then  $K$  is strongly NIP if and only if the ordered value group  $vK$  is strongly NIP.

#### Conjecture

Any strongly NIP ordered field is either real closed or admits a non-trivial  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{or}}$ -definable henselian valuation ring.

## 4. Ordered Fields Dense in Their Real Closure and Definable Convex Valuations (with Salma Kuhlmann and Gabriel Lehericy, submitted)

## 4. Ordered Fields Dense in Their Real Closure and Definable Convex Valuations

- **Fact:** Any ordered field  $K$  which is not dense in its real closure admits a non-trivial  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{or}}$ -definable convex valuation ring.
- This result combines the study of definable valuations with the study of ordered fields dense in their real closure.

## 4. Ordered Fields Dense in Their Real Closure and Definable Convex Valuations

### Theorem

Let  $K$  be an ordered field and let  $v$  be a henselian valuation on  $K$  such that at least one of the following conditions holds:

- ① The value group  $vK$  is discretely ordered.
- ② The value group  $vK$  is not closed in its divisible hull.
- ③ The residue field  $Kv$  is not closed in its real closure.

Then the valuation ring of  $v$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{or}}$ -definable in  $K$ .

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Overview of Main Results
- 3 Residue Fields of Models of Real Exponentiation**

## Natural Valuation

Let  $K$  be an ordered field. We define an equivalence relation on  $K$  by

$$a \sim b \text{ if and only if there exists } n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} \text{ such that } |a| \leq n|b| \text{ and } |b| \leq n|a|.$$

The equivalence class of a given  $a \in K$  is called the **archimedean equivalence class of  $a$** .

Let  $G = \{[a] \mid a \in K \setminus \{0\}\}$  and define on  $G$  addition by  $[a] + [b] = [ab]$  and an order by  $[a] < [b]$  if and only if  $|a| > |b|$  and  $a \not\sim b$ . Then  $(G, +, <)$  is an ordered group with neutral element  $0 = [1]$ . It is called the **value group of  $K$**  under the natural valuation. Set  $v: K \rightarrow G \cup \{\infty\}$  by  $v(a) = [a]$  for  $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$  and  $v(0) = \infty$ . The map  $v$  is called the **natural valuation on  $K$** .

## Residue Exponential Field

### Definition

Let  $K$  be an ordered field. Let  $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \{x \in K \mid v(x) > 0\}$ . Then  $\bar{K} = \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$  defines an archimedean field. This is called the **residue field** of  $K$ .

### Theorem

Let  $(K, \exp)$  be an o-minimal EXP-field. Then

$$\bar{\exp}: \bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{>0}, \bar{a} \mapsto \overline{\exp(a)}$$

defines an exponential on  $\bar{K}$ . Moreover,  $(\bar{K}, \bar{\exp}) \equiv \mathbb{R}_{\exp}$ .

We call  $(\bar{K}, \bar{\exp})$  the **residue exponential field** of  $(K, \exp)$ .

## Models of Real Exponentiation

- **Model of real exponentiation:** an ordered exponential field  $(K, \exp)$  with  $(K, \exp) \equiv \mathbb{R}_{\exp}$
- $\exp_{\mathbb{R}}$ : the standard exponential on  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $\exp_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = e^x$ .

### Theorem (Characterisation of Residue Fields of Models of Real Exponentiation)

Let  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an archimedean field. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1  $F$  is closed under  $\exp_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $(F, \exp_{\mathbb{R}}) \equiv \mathbb{R}_{\exp}$ .
- 2 There exists a non-archimedean model of real exponentiation  $(K, \exp)$  with  $\overline{K} = F$ .



## What have we achieved?

- 1 Take a non-archimedean  $o$ -minimal EXP-field  $(K_1, \exp_1)$ .

Reminder: We hope that  $(K_1, \exp_1)$  is already a model of real exponentiation.

- 2 The residue exponential field  $(\overline{K_1}, \overline{\exp_1})$  is an archimedean model of real exponentiation.

- 3 Hence, there exists a non-archimedean model of real exponentiation  $(K_2, \exp_2)$  with  $\overline{K_2} = \overline{K_1}$ .

→ *For every non-archimedean  $o$ -minimal EXP-field, there exists a non-archimedean model of real exponentiation with same residue exponential field.*

## Acknowledgements

My doctoral research project was supported by Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes as well as Carl-Zeiss-Stiftung.

## References

- [1] A. BERARDUCCI and T. SERVI, 'An effective version of Wilkie's theorem of the complement and some effective o-minimality results', *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* 125 (2004) 43–74.
- [2] S. KUHLMANN, *Ordered Exponential Fields*, Fields Inst. Monogr. 12 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000).
- [3] A. MACINTYRE and A. WILKIE, 'On the decidability of the real exponential field', *Kreiseliana: about and around Georg Kreisel* (ed. P. Odifreddi; A. K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1996) 441–467.
- [4] A. TARSKI, *A decision method for elementary algebra and geometry* (RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, CA, 1948).
- [5] A. WILKIE, 'Model completeness results for expansions of the ordered field of real numbers by restricted Pfaffian functions and the exponential function', *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9 (1996) 1051–1094.