

POSITIVE POLYNOMIALS LECTURE NOTES

(18: 17/06/10)

SALMA KUHLMANN

Contents

1. Haviland's Theorem	1
2. $\mathbb{R}[X]$ as topological \mathbb{R} -vector space	3

1. HAVILAND'S THEOREM (continued)

We will continue the proof of the following theorem from last lecture. Haviland's theorem will follow as a special case.

Theorem 1.1. (Recall 1.2 of last lecture) Let A be an \mathbb{R} -algebra, χ a Hausdorff space and $\hat{\cdot} : A \rightarrow \text{Cont}_c(\chi, \mathbb{R})$ an \mathbb{R} algebra homomorphism. Assume $\exists p \in A$ such that $\hat{p} \geq 0$ on χ and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} : \chi_k := \{\alpha \in \chi \mid \hat{p}(\alpha) \leq k\}$ is compact.

Then for any linear functional $L : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\forall a \in A : \hat{a} \geq 0$ on $\chi \Rightarrow L(a) \geq 0$, \exists a Borel measure μ on χ such that $L(a) = \int_{\chi} \hat{a} d\mu \forall a \in A$.

Proof. We have $C_c(\chi) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\chi) := \{f \in C(\chi) \mid \exists a \in A : |f| \leq |\hat{a}| \text{ on } \chi\}; \hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\chi); \bar{L} : \hat{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $\bar{L}(\hat{a}) := L(a)$.

In particular we got (as in claim 3 in 1.4 of last lecture) \bar{L} is a positive linear functional on $C_c(\chi)$ s.t.

$$\bar{L}(f) = \int_{\chi} f d\mu \forall f \in C_c(\chi) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\chi).$$

We **claim** that this holds also $\forall f \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)$, i.e. $\bar{L}(f) = \int_{\chi} f d\mu \forall f \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)$.

[In particular the proof of the theorem will be completed after proving this since $\hat{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\chi)$, and so for $f = a \in \hat{A} : L(a) \underset{\text{(definition)}}{=} \bar{L}(\hat{a}) = \int_{\chi} \hat{a} d\mu.$]

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)$. Set $q := f + \hat{p}$; for $q \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)$.

For each $k \geq 1$, consider $\chi'_k := \{\alpha \in \chi \mid q(\alpha) \leq k\}$

- $\forall k : \mathcal{X}'_k \subseteq \mathcal{X}_k$ and \mathcal{X}'_k is closed. So \mathcal{X}'_k is compact.
- $\mathcal{X}'_k \subseteq \mathcal{X}'_{k+1}$ and $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_k \mathcal{X}'_k$.

Subclaim 1: For each $k \in \mathbb{N} \exists f_k \in C_c(\mathcal{X})$ such that $0 \leq f_k \leq f$; $f_k = f$ on \mathcal{X}'_k and $f_k = 0$ outside \mathcal{X}'_{k+1} .

Proof of subclaim 1. For this we need Urysohn's lemma, which states that

Let X be a topological space and $A, B \subseteq X$ be closed sets such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Then $\exists g \in C(X) : g : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $g(a) = 0 \forall a \in A$ and $g(b) = 1 \forall b \in B$.

Applying it with $X = \mathcal{X}'_{k+1}$, $A = Y'_k = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{X}'_{k+1} \mid k + \frac{1}{2} \leq q(\alpha) \leq k + 1\}$, and $B = \mathcal{X}'_k$, we get $g_k : \mathcal{X}'_{k+1} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ continuous such that $g_k = 0$ on Y'_k and $g_k = 1$ on \mathcal{X}'_k .

Extend g_k to \mathcal{X} by setting $g_k = 0$ on complement of \mathcal{X}'_{k+1} . Set $f_k := f g_k$

Then indeed $0 \leq f_k \leq f$ on \mathcal{X} , $f_k = f$ on \mathcal{X}'_k and $f_k = 0$ off \mathcal{X}'_{k+1} . In particular $\text{Supp}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{X}'_{k+1}$ is compact (because closed subset of a compact set is compact), so indeed $f_k \in C_c(\mathcal{X})$. \square (Subclaim 1)

Subclaim 2: $\bar{L}(f) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{L}(f_k)$

Note that once the subclaim 2 is proved we are done because:

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{L}(f_k) = \bar{L}(f).$$

Proof of subclaim 2. Observe that the inequality

$$\frac{q^2}{k} \geq f - f_k \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{X}, \text{ holds } \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

To see this first of all $f = f_k$ on \mathcal{X}'_k , so clearly $\frac{q^2}{k} \geq f - f_k \geq 0$ on \mathcal{X}'_k .

Now we consider the complement of \mathcal{X}'_k , there $q(\alpha) > k$ for $\alpha \in \text{complement of } \mathcal{X}'_k$. So

$$\begin{aligned} q^2(\alpha) > kq(\alpha) &= k(f(\alpha) + \hat{p}(\alpha)) \geq kf(\alpha) \\ &\geq k(f(\alpha) - f_k(\alpha)) \text{ [Since } f_k(\alpha) \geq 0 \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{X}] \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\frac{q^2(\alpha)}{k} \geq (f - f_k)(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in (\mathcal{X}'_k)^{\text{complement}}$.

So,

$$\frac{q^2}{k} \geq f - f_k \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{X} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So,

$$\bar{L}\left(\frac{q^2}{k}\right) \geq \bar{L}(f - f_k) \geq 0.$$

Now let $k \rightarrow \infty$ to get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{L}\left(\frac{q^2}{k}\right) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{L}(f_k) = \bar{L}(f). \quad \square(\text{Subclaim 2})$$

□

2. $\mathbb{R}[X]$ AS TOPOLOGICAL \mathbb{R} -VECTOR SPACE

Let $A = \mathbb{R}[X]$ be a countable dimensional \mathbb{R} -algebra.

Every finite dimensional subspace has the Euclidean Topology (ET on \mathbb{R}^N : open balls are a basis. If W is a finite dimensional subspace, fix $B = \{w_1, \dots, w_N\}$ basis and get an isomorphism $W \cong \mathbb{R}^N$; pullback the ET from \mathbb{R}^N to W . This topology on W is uniquely determined and does not depend on the choice of the basis because a change of basis results in a linear change of coordinates and linear transformations $x \mapsto ax$; $\det(A) \neq 0$ are continuous).

Definition 2.1. Define a topology on $A := \mathbb{R}[X]$ as:

$U \subseteq A$ is **open** (respectively **closed**) iff $U \cap W$ is open (respectively closed) in W , for every finite dimensional subspace W of A .

This is called **direct limit topology** on A .

Equivalently, take $A_d = \{f \in A \mid \deg f \leq d\}$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $A = \cup_{d \geq 1} A_d$, ask for:

$U \subseteq A$ is open (respectively closed) iff $U \cap A_d$ is open (respectively closed) in A_d for all $d \geq 1$.

We now list the important properties of this topology. We first need to recall the following definitions:

Definition 2.2. (i) $C \subseteq A$ is called a **cone** if C is closed under addition and scalar multiplication by (nonnegative) positive real numbers.

(ii) $C \subseteq A$ is **convex** if $\forall a, b \in C; \forall \lambda \in [0, 1] : \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b \in C$.

Note that a cone is automatically convex.

Theorem 2.3. 1. The open convex sets of A form a basis for the topology,
 i.e. A is with locally convex topology,
 i.e. $x \in U$ and U open subset of $A \implies$ there is a convex neighbourhood U' of x such that $U' \subseteq U$.

2. This topology is the finest non-trivial locally convex topology on A .

Proof. Later (in next lecture as theorem 1.2). □

Theorem 2.4. 1. A endowed with this topology is a topological \mathbb{R} -algebra, i.e. the topology is (Hausdorff) comparable with addition, scalar multiplication and multiplication, i.e.

$+$: $A \times A \rightarrow A$,
 \times : $A \times A \rightarrow A$, and
 \cdot : $\mathbb{R} \times A \rightarrow A$
 are all continuous.

2. Every linear functional is continuous in this finest locally convex topology.

Proof. Later (1.5 of Lecture 20). □

Theorem 2.5. (Separation Theorem) Let $C \subseteq A$ be a closed cone in A and let $a_0 \in A \setminus C$. Then there is a linear functional $L : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L(C) \geq 0$ but $L(a_0) < 0$.

(Equivalent statement: Let $C \subseteq A$ be a cone and $U \subseteq A$ be an open convex set such that $U \cap C = \emptyset$; $U, C \neq \emptyset$. Then \exists a linear functional $L : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L(U) < 0$ and $L(C) \geq 0$).

Proof. Later (1.8 of Lecture 20). □

Corollary 2.6. For any cone $C \subseteq A$ with $C \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C} &= C^{\vee\vee} := \{a \in A \mid L(a) \geq 0 \text{ for any linear functional } L \text{ such that } L(C) \geq 0\} \\ &= \{a \in A \mid L(a) \geq 0 \forall L \in C^\vee\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Clearly $\overline{C} \subseteq C^{\vee\vee}$: since $C \subseteq C^{\vee\vee}$ (from definition), and $C^{\vee\vee}$ is closed (because $L \in C^\vee$ is continuous), so $\overline{C} \subseteq C^{\vee\vee}$.

Conversely apply separation theorem (theorem 2.5): if $a_0 \notin \overline{C}$, there exists $L \in C^\vee$ (i.e. $L(C) \geq 0$) with $L(a_0) < 0$. So, $a_0 \notin C^{\vee\vee}$. □

Corollary 2.7. Let $A = \mathbb{R}[X]$, $M \subseteq A$ be a quadratic module. Then $\overline{M} = M^{\vee\vee}$ and \overline{M} is a quadratic module.

Proposition 2.8. (i) Every cone C is convex.

(ii) Every quadratic module M is a cone.

(iii) If C is a cone, then \overline{C} is a cone.