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1. Proof of Hilbert's theorem

## 1. PROOF OF HILBERT'S THEOREM (Continued)

Theorem 1.1. (Recall Theorem 2.8 of lecture 6) (Hilbert) $\sum_{n, m}=\mathcal{P}_{n, m}$ iff
(i) $n=2$ or
(ii) $m=2$ or
(iii) $(n, m)=(3,4)$.

In lecture 7 (Theorem 3.2) we showed the proof of (Hilbert's) Theorem 1.1 part (iii), i.e. for ternary quartic forms: $\mathcal{P}_{3,4}=\sum_{3,4}$ using generalization of KreinMilman theorem (applied to our context), plus the following lemma:

Lemma 1.2. (3.1 of lecture 7) Let $T(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{P}_{3,4}$. Then $\exists$ a quadratic form $q(x, y, z) \neq 0$ s.t. $T \geq q^{2}$, i.e. $T-q^{2}$ is psd.

Proof. Consider three cases concerning the zero set of T.
Case 1. $T>0$, i.e. $T$ has no non trivial zeros.
Let

$$
\phi(x, y, z):=\frac{T(x, y, z)}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}}, \forall(x, y, z) \neq 0 .
$$

Let $\mu:=\inf _{\mathbb{S}^{2}} \phi \geq 0$, where $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ is the unit sphere.
Since $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ is compact and $\phi$ is continous, $\exists(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{S}^{2}$ s.t. $\mu=\phi(a, b, c)>0$
Therefore $\forall(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{S}^{2}: T(x, y, z) \geq \mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}$.

Claim: $T(x, y, z) \geq \mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}$ for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.
Indeed, it is trivially true at the point $(0,0,0)$, and
for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$ denote $N:=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}$, then $\left(\frac{x}{N}, \frac{y}{N}, \frac{z}{N}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{2}$, which implies that

$$
T\left(\frac{x}{N}, \frac{y}{N}, \frac{z}{N}\right) \geq \mu\left(\left(\frac{x}{N}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{N}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{z}{N}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

So, by homogeneity we get

$$
T(x, y, z) \geq \mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\sqrt{\mu}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)\right)^{2}, \text { as claimed. }
$$

## $\square$ (Case1)

Case 2. Thas exactly one (nontrivial) zero.
By changing coordinates, we may assume w.l.o.g. that zero to be $(1,0,0)$, i.e. $T(1,0,0)=0$.
Writing $T$ as a polynomial in $x$ one gets

$$
T(x, y, z)=a x^{4}+\left(b_{1} y+b_{2} z\right) x^{3}+f(y, z) x^{2}+2 g(y, z) x+h(y, z)
$$

where $f, g$ and $h$ are binary quadratic, cubic and quartic forms respectively.
Reducing $T$ : Since $T(1,0,0)=0$ we get $a=0$.
Further, suppose $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$, it $\Rightarrow \exists\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ s.t $b_{1} y_{0}+b_{2} z_{0}<0$, then taking $x$ big enough $\Rightarrow T\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)<0$, a contradiction to $T \geq 0$. Thus $b_{1}=$ $b_{2}=0$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x, y, z)=f(y, z) x^{2}+2 g(y, z) x+h(y, z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, clearly $h(y, z) \geq 0$ [since otherwise $T\left(0, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=h\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)<0$ for some $\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, a contradiction].
Also $f(y, z) \geq 0$, if not, say $f\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)<0$ for some $\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$, then taking $x$ big enough we get $T\left(x, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)<0$, a contradiction.
Thus $f, h \geq 0$.
From (1) we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f T(x, y, z)=(x f+g)^{2}+\left(f h-g^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim: $f h-g^{2} \geq 0$
If not, say $\left(f h-g^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)<0$ for some $\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$. Then there are two cases to be considered here:
Case (i): $f\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$. In this case we claim $g\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$ because if not then $T\left(x, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=2 g\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right) x+h\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)<0$ and we take $\left|x_{0}\right|$ large enough so that $2 g\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right) x_{0}+h\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)<0$, a contradiction.

Case (ii): $f\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)>0$, we take $\left|x_{0}\right|$ such that $x_{0} f\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)+g\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$, then $f T\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=\left(f h-g^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)<0$, a contradiction.
So our claim is established and $f h-g^{2} \geq 0$.
Now the polynomial $f$ is a psd binary form, thus by Lemma 1.3 below $f$ is sum of two squares. Let us consider the two subcases:
Case 2.1. $f$ is a perfect square. Then $f=f_{1}^{2}$, with $f_{1}=b y+c z$ for some $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Up to multiplication by a constant $(-c, b)$ is the unique zero of $f_{1}$ and so of $f$. Thus

$$
\left(f h-g^{2}\right)(-c, b)=-(g(-c, b))^{2} \leq 0
$$

which is a contradiction unless $g(-c, b)=0$ which means ${ }^{1}$ that $f_{1} \mid g$, i.e. $g(y, z)=$ $f_{1}(y, z) g_{1}(y, z)$. Then from (2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f T & \geq(x f+g)^{2} \\
& =\left(x f_{1}^{2}+f_{1} g_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& =f_{1}^{2}\left(x f_{1}+g_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& =f\left(x f_{1}+g_{1}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $T \geq\left(x f_{1}+g_{1}\right)^{2}$ as required.
Case 2.2. $f=f_{1}^{2}+f_{2}^{2}$, with $f_{1}, f_{2}$ linear in $y, z$.
Now $f_{1} \not \equiv \lambda f_{2}$ [otherwise we are in Case 2.1]
i.e. $f_{1}, f_{2}$ don't have same non-trivial zeroes, otherwise they would be multiples of each other and $f$ would be a perfect square. Hence $f>0$.
Claim 1: $f h-g^{2}>0$
If not, i.e. if $\exists\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \neq(0,0)$ s.t. $\left(f h-g^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$, then $\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$ could be completed to a zero $\left(-\frac{g\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)}{f\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$ of $T$, which contradicts our hypothesis that $T$ has only 1 zero $(1,0,0)$. Thus $f h-g^{2}>0$.
Claim 2: $\frac{f h-g^{2}}{f^{3}}$ has a minimum $\mu>0$ on the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^{1}$. (clear)
So, just as in Case 1,
$f h-g^{2} \geq \mu f^{3} \forall(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
$\Rightarrow f T \geq f h-g^{2} \geq \mu f^{3}$, by (2)
$\Rightarrow T \geq \mu f^{2} \geq(\sqrt{\mu} f)^{2}$, as claimed.

[^0]Case 3. $T$ has more than one zero.
Without loss of generality, assume $(1,0,0)$ and $(0,1,0)$ are two of the zeros of $T$. As in case 2 , reduction $\Rightarrow T$ is of degree at most 2 in $x$ as well as in $y$ and so we can write:

$$
T(x, y, z)=f(y, z) x^{2}+2 g(y, z) z x+z^{2} h(y, z),
$$

where $f, g, h$ are quadratic forms and $f, h \geq 0$.
And so

$$
\begin{equation*}
f T=(x f+z g)^{2}+z^{2}\left(f h-g^{2}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f h-g^{2} \geq 0$ [Indeed, if $\left(f h-g^{2}\right)\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)<0$ for some $\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$, then we must have case distinction as on bottom of page 2 i.e. $f\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$ or $f\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)>0$ ].
Using Lemma 1.3 if $f$ or $h$ is a perfect square, then we get the desired result as in the Case 2.1. Hence we suppose $f$ and $h$ to be sum of two squares and again as before (as in Case 2.2) $f, h>0$. We consider the following two possible subcases on $f h-g^{2}$ :
Case 3.1. Suppose $f h-g^{2}$ has a zero $\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \neq(0,0)$.
Set $x_{0}=-\frac{g\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)}{f\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}:=T\left(x+x_{0} z, y, z\right)=x^{2} f+2 x z\left(g+x_{0} f\right)+z^{2}\left(h+2 x_{0} g+x_{0}^{2} f\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating (3) at $\left(x+x_{0} z, y, z\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f T_{1}=f T\left(x+x_{0} z, y, z\right)=\left(\left(x+x_{0}\right) f+z g\right)^{2}+z^{2}\left(f h-g^{2}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multyplying (4) by $f$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f T_{1}=f T\left(x+x_{0} z, y, z\right)=x^{2} f^{2}+2 x z f\left(g+x_{0} f\right)+z^{2} f\left(h+2 x_{0} g+x_{0}^{2} f\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now compare the coefficients of $z^{2}$ in (3)' and (4)' to get

$$
\left(x_{0} f+g\right)^{2}+\left(f h-g^{2}\right)=f\left(h+2 x_{0} g+x_{0}^{2} f\right),
$$

i.e. $h+2 x_{0} g+x_{0}^{2} f=\frac{\left(f h-g^{2}\right)+\left(x_{0} f+g\right)^{2}}{f} \forall(y, z) \neq(0,0)$

In particular, $h+2 x_{0} g+x_{0}^{2} f$ is psd and has a zero, namely $\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \neq(0,0)$.
Thus $\left(h+2 x_{0} g+x_{0}^{2} f\right)$, being a psd quadratic in $y, z$, which has a nontrivial zero $\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$, is a perfect square [since by the arguments similar to Case 2.2, it cannot be a sum of two (or more) squares].
Say $\left(h+2 x_{0} g+x_{0}^{2} f\right)=h_{1}^{2}$, with $h_{1}(y, z)$ linear and $h_{1}\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$
Now $\left(g+x_{0} f\right)\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=g\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)+x_{0} f\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$. So, $g+x_{0} f$ vanishes at every zero of the linear form $h_{1}$. Therefore, we have $g+x_{0} f=g_{1} h_{1}$ for some $g_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { So (from (4)), } \begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=f x^{2}+2 x z g_{1} h_{1}+z^{2} h_{1}^{2} \\
&=\left(z h_{1}+x g_{1}\right)^{2}+x^{2}\left(f-g_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow h_{1}^{2} T_{1}=h_{1}^{2}\left(z h_{1}\right.\left.+x g_{1}\right)^{2}+x^{2}\left(h_{1}^{2} f-\left(h_{1} g_{1}\right)^{2}\right) \\
&=h_{1}^{2}\left(z h_{1}+x g_{1}\right)^{2}+x^{2} \underbrace{\left(h f-g^{2}\right)}_{\geq 0} \\
& \Rightarrow h_{1}^{2} T_{1} \geq h_{1}^{2}\left(z h_{1}+x g_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& \Rightarrow T\left(x+x_{0} z, y, z\right)=: T_{1} \geq\left(z h_{1}+x g_{1}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

By change of variables $\left(x \rightarrow x-x_{0} z\right)$, we get $T \geq$ a square of a quadratic form, as desired.
Case 3.2. Suppose $f h-g^{2}>0$ (i.e. $f h-g^{2}$ has no zero).
Then (as in Case 2.2), $\exists \mu>0$ s.t $\frac{f h-g^{2}}{\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right) f} \geq \mu$ on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$
and so $f h-g^{2} \geq \mu\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right) f \forall(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Hence, by ( $\dagger$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
f T & =(x f+z g)^{2}+z^{2} \underbrace{\left(f h-g^{2}\right)}_{>0} \\
& \geq z^{2}\left(f h-g^{2}\right) \\
& \geq \mu z^{2}\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right) f,
\end{aligned}
$$

giving as required

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T \geq(\sqrt{\mu} z y)^{2}+\left(\sqrt{\mu} z^{2}\right)^{2} \\
\Rightarrow & T \geq\left(\sqrt{\mu} z^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma 1.2.
Next we prove Theorem 1.1 part (i), i.e. for binary forms. This was also used as a helping lemma in the proof of above lemma:

Lemma 1.3. If $f$ is a binary psd form of degree $m$, then $f$ is a sum of squares of binary forms of degree $m / 2$, that is, $\mathcal{P}_{2, m}=\sum_{2, m}$. In fact, $f$ is sum of two squares.

Proof. If $f$ is a binary form of degree $m$, we can write

$$
f(x, y)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} c_{k} x^{k} y^{m-k} ; c_{k} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

$$
=y^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m} c_{k}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{k}
$$

where $m$ is an even number and $c_{m} \neq 0$, since $f$ is psd.
Without loss of generality let $c_{m}=1$.
Put $g(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} c_{k} t^{k}$.
Over $\mathbb{C}, g(t)=\prod_{k=1}^{m / 2}\left(t-z_{k}\right)\left(t-\bar{z}_{k}\right) ; \quad z_{k}=a_{k}+i b_{k}, a_{k}, b_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
=\prod_{k=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(t-a_{k}\right)^{2}+b_{k}^{2}\right)
$$

$\Rightarrow f(x, y)=y^{m} g\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)=\prod_{k=1}^{m / 2}\left(\left(x-a_{k} y\right)^{2}+b_{k}^{2} y^{2}\right)$
Then using iteratively the identity

$$
\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right)\left(Z^{2}+W^{2}\right)=(X Z-Y W)^{2}+(Y Z+X W)^{2}
$$

we obtain that $f(x, y)$ is a sum of two squares.
Example 1.4. Using the ideas in the proof of above lemma, we write the binary form

$$
f(x, y)=2 x^{6}+y^{6}-3 x^{4} y^{2}
$$

as a sum of two squares:
Consider $f$ written in the form

$$
f(x, y)=y^{6}\left(2\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{6}+1-3\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{4}\right)
$$

So, the polynomial $g(t)=2 t^{6}-3 t^{4}+1$. This polynomial has double roots 1 and -1 and complex roots $\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} i$.
Thus

$$
g(t)=2(t-1)^{2}(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)=\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(2 t^{2}+1\right) .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
f(x, y)=y^{6} g\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)=\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)^{2}\left(2 x^{2}+y^{2}\right)=2 x^{2}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)^{2}+y^{2}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

written as a sum of two squares.

Next we prove Theorem 1.1 part (ii), i.e. for quadratic forms:
Lemma 1.5. If $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a psd quadratic form, then $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is sos of linear forms, that is, $\mathcal{P}_{n, 2}=\sum_{n, 2}$.

Proof. If $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a quadratic form, then we can write

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} x_{i} a_{i j} x_{j} \text {, where } A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \text { is a symmetric matrix with } a_{i j} \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

We have $f=X^{T} A X$, where $X^{T}=\left[x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right]$.
By the spectral theorem for Hermitian matrices, there exists a real orthogonal matrix $S$ and a diagonal matrix $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ such that $D=S^{T} A S$. Then

$$
f=X^{T} S S^{T} A S S^{T} X=\left(S^{T} X\right)^{T} S^{T} A S\left(S^{T} X\right)
$$

Putting $Y=\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]^{T}=S^{T} X$, we get

$$
f=Y^{T} S^{T} A S Y=Y^{T} D Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} y_{i}^{2}, d_{i} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Since $f$ is psd, we have $d_{i} \geq 0 \forall i$, and so

$$
f=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sqrt{d_{i}} y_{i}\right)^{2},
$$

Thus

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sqrt{d_{i}}\left(s_{1, i} x_{1}+\ldots, s_{n, i} x_{n}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

that is, $f$ is sos of linear forms.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See (5) implies (2) of Theorem 4.5.1 in Real Algebraic Geometry by J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M.-F. Roy or (5) implies (2) of Theorem 12.7 in Positive Polynomials and Sum of Squares by M. Marshall.

