Universität Konstanz Fachbereich Mathematik und Statistik Prof. Dr. Salma Kuhlmann Mitarbeiter: Dr. Mickaël Matusinski Büroraum F 409 mickael.matusinski@uni-konstanz.de



Übungen zur Vorlesung Reelle algebraische Geometrie

Blatt 5 - Solution

1. (a) The intervals cover *K*: for any $x \in K$, $x \in]x - 1$, x + 1[.

For finite intersection of intervals, it suffices to consider 2 of them. Verify the case if one of them is the empty set. If not, denote them by]a,b[and]c,d[with a < b and c < d in K and for instance $b - a \ge d - c$, and consider the 4 different cases and compute the intersection (make a picture).

(b) (i) Consider a point $(a,b) \in K \times K$, we use the definition of continuity at this point. Take any $\epsilon > 0$ in K. Then, for any $(x,y) \in]a - \epsilon/2, a + \epsilon/2[\times]b - \epsilon/2[\times]b - \epsilon/2, a + \epsilon/2[\times]b - \epsilon/$ $\epsilon/2, b + \epsilon/2[$, we have $x + y \in]a + b - \epsilon, a + b + \epsilon[$. For multiplication, consider $0 < \alpha < \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}, \frac{\epsilon}{4|b|}\right\}$ and $0 < \beta < \min\left\{\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}, \frac{\epsilon}{4|a|}\right\}$. Then for any $(x,y) \in]a - \alpha, a + \alpha[\times]b - \beta, b + \beta[$, we have $x, y \in]a.b - \epsilon, a.b + \epsilon[$ (for the computations, use inequalities with absolute values so that you don't need to consider the different cases).

(ii) Consider $a \in K^*$ and any $\epsilon > 0$ in K. We look for some $\alpha > 0$ such that, whenever $x \in ||a| - \alpha, |a| + \alpha[$, we have $\frac{1}{x} \in |\frac{1}{|a|} - \epsilon, \frac{1}{|a|} \epsilon[$. This implies that

$$0 < \alpha < \frac{\epsilon |a|^2}{1 + \epsilon |a|}.$$

Then it remains to show that this condition is sufficient (note that $\frac{\epsilon |a|^2}{1 + \epsilon |a|} < \epsilon$ $\frac{\epsilon |a|^2}{1-\epsilon |a|} \text{ since } 0 < 1-\epsilon |a| < 1+\epsilon |a|). \text{ We supposed without loss of generality that } \epsilon < \frac{1}{|a|}.$

(c) We know that the connected subsets of \mathbb{R} are exactly the intervals. Then so it is by isomorphism for K.

Now take any $a \in K$ and consider its connected component C_a . As a connected subset of K, C_a is a non empty (it contains a) interval. Moreover, since any interval in K is connected, then any interval]a - x, a + x[for a positive x is included in C_a since it contains a. Then make x tends to ∞ .

(d) It suffices to show that the base for the product topology, namely the hypercubes

 $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i, b_i [\text{ for any } a_i, b_i \in K,$

is equivalent to the base for the euclidean topology, namely the open balls

 $B((a_1, \dots, a_n), r) := \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K^n \mid \sqrt{(x_1 - a_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - a_n)^2} < r \} \text{ for}$ any $a_i, r \in K$ with r > 0.

Thus, one has to show that, for any such hypercube, there exist a ball contained in it (take $\left(\frac{a_1 + b_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{a_1 + b_1}{2}\right)$ as a center and $\min_i \{\frac{b_i - a_i}{2}\}$ as a radius) and a ball containing it (take the same center and $\max_i \{\frac{b_i - a_i}{2}\}$ as a radius).

2. By the change of variable X = x - c, we reduce to the case of a polynomial $F(x) = a_0 X^n + \cdots + a_{n-m} X^m$ which has 0 as a root with multiplicity *m*. We want to show that there exists $\delta > 0$ in *R* such that for any *X* with $|X| < \delta$, Sign(F(X)F'(x)) = Sign(X).

We rewrite $F(X) = X^m G(X)$ with $G(X) = a_0 X^{n-m} + \dots + a_{n-m}$ and $G(0) = a_{n-m} \neq 0$. Then we have $XF'(X) = mX^m G(X) + X^m XG'(X) =$ and $G'(X) = (n-m)a_0 X^{n-m-1} + \dots + a_{n-m+1}$. Then we have $\frac{XF'(X)}{F(X)} = m + X \frac{G'(X)}{G(X)}.$

F(X) G(X)But the second term $X \frac{G'(X)}{G(X)}$ has value $0 \frac{G'(0)}{G(0)} = 0 \frac{a_{n-m+1}}{a_{n-m}} = 0$ when X = 0. By continuity of $X \frac{G'(X)}{G(X)}$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $|X| < \delta$, we have $\left| X \frac{G'(X)}{G(X)} \right| < m$. Then for any such X, we have $\frac{XF'(X)}{F(X)} > 0$.

3. Consider $f(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 - 16$ in R[x].

(a) The Sturm sequence of
$$f(x)$$
 is $S_f(x) = (f_0(x), \dots, f_3(x))$ with:

$$\begin{cases}
f_0(x) = f(x); \\
f_1(x) = 3x^2 + 12x; \\
f_2(x) = 8x + 16; \\
f_3(x) = 12.
\end{cases}$$
(b) We have
 $V_f(-\infty) = Var((-1)^3, (-1)^23, (-1)^18, 12)$

$$V_f(-\infty) = Var((-1)^3, (-1)^2 3, (-1)^1 8, 12)$$

= Var(-1,3, -18, 12)
= 3
$$V_f(+\infty) = Var(1,3,18,12)$$

= 0.

So the number of roots of f(x) in *R* is $V_f(-\infty) - V_f(+\infty) = 3$.

(c) We compute $S_f(-7) = (-65,63, -40,12)$ which has 3 sign changes, and $S_f(2) = (16,36,32,12)$ which has no sign change. Then there are 3 - 0 = 3 roots between -7 and 2.

We compute $S_f(-6) = (-16,36,-32,12)$ which has 3 sign changes, and $S_f(-5) = (9,15, -24,12)$ which has 2 sign changes. So there is 3 - 2 = 1 root, say α_1 between -6 and -5.

We compute f(-2) = 0, so $\alpha_2 = -2$.

We compute $S_f(1) = (-9,15,24,12)$ which has 1 sign change. Since $S_f(2)$ has no sign change, the third root α_3 is between 1 and 2.

4. We consider Q embedded in R by the inclusion map, say φ : Q → R. Then we consider the algebraic extension Q(√2) of Q, which is a quadratic extension: the minimum polynomial is f(x) = x² - 2 = (x + √2)(x - √2). Then by Corollary 6 of the Lecture, the number of embedding extensions ψ : Q(√2) → R is equal to the number of extensions Q of the ordering P = Q≥0. Here we have only two possibilities:

either √2 ∈ Q ⇔ ψ(√2) = √2 > 0 in ℝ (in this case, ψ is the inclusion as φ);
or - √2 ∈ Q ⇔ ψ(√2) = -√2 < 0 in ℝ (in this case, ψ is order reversing for

 $-\sqrt{2}$: it looks like conjugation for complex numbers).

5. We consider a series $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i X^i$. We show that $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i X^i = (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i X^i)^2$ for some $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, $(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i X^i)^2 = 1 + 2b_1 X + (2b_2 + b_1^2) X^2 + 2(b_3 + b_1 b_2) X^3 + (2b_4 + 2b_1 b_3 + b_2^2) X^4 + \cdots,$

and so, by induction, one proves that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $2b_n = a_n + P_n(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1)$ for some quadratic polynomial P_n in $\mathbb{R}[X]$.

As an example, we compute $b_1 = a_1/2$, $b_2 = (a_2 - a_1^2/4)/2$, $b_3 = a_3/2 - a_1(a_2 - a_1^2/4)/4$.

As a consequence, for any ordering on \mathcal{K} extending the one on the reals, we have $c_0 + c_1 X + c_2 X^2 \cdots = c_0 (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i X^i) > 0$ if and only if $c_0 > 0$. It implies that X

is infinitesimal compared to the reals. Then the two orderings extending the one on \mathbb{R} are given by either $\mathbb{R}_{>0} > X > 0$ or $\mathbb{R}_{<0} < X < 0$.

(One can verify this looking at an arbitrary non zero Laurent series

 $c(X) = c_{-m}X^{-m} + c_{-m+1}X^{-m+1} + \cdots$

Factorizing by $c_{-m}X^{-m}$, we rewrite it

$$c(X) = c_{-m}X^{-m}(1 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + \cdots) \text{ with } a_i := c_{-m+i}/c_{-m}$$
$$= c_{-m}X^{-m}(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_iX^i)^2.)$$