# On the optimal solutions of Lasserre relaxations 

María López Quijorna Advisor: Markus Schweighofer<br>Tagung: Konstanz-Women in Mathematics 2015

Konstanz University, June 19th 2015

$\square \mathbb{R}[X]:=\mathbb{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right], X:=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$
$\square \mathbb{R}[X]_{d}:=\{p \in \mathbb{R}[X]: \operatorname{deg}(p) \leq d\}$
$■ \mathbb{R}[X]_{=d}$ vector space of real forms of degree $d$
■ $\mathbb{R}[X]_{d}^{*}:=\left\{L: \mathbb{R}[X]_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.$ linear form $\}$

- $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$

■ $|\alpha|:=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}$
■ $X^{\alpha}:=X_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots X_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$
■ Let $L \in \mathbb{R}[X]^{*}$, a quadrature rule for $L$ is a tuple $\left(\lambda_{1}, a_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}, a_{r}\right)$ with $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}=1$ and $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that:

$$
L(p)=\lambda_{1} p\left(a_{1}\right)+\cdots+\lambda_{r} p\left(a_{r}\right)
$$
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- If $\left(R_{2 d}\right)$ has an optimal solution $L^{*}$, and moreover $L^{*}$ has a quadrature rule then $L^{*}(f)=P^{*}$


## Observation

To find a quadrature rule for $L \in\left(R_{2 d}\right)$ is equivalent to find:
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## Observation

To find a quadrature rule for $L \in\left(R_{2 d}\right)$ is equivalent to find:
■ A finite dimensional euclidean vector space V and commuting self-adjoint endomorphisms $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}$ of $V$ and $a \in V$ such that $L(p)=<p\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}\right) a, a>$, for $p \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{\leq 2 d}$.

Let $L \in\left(R_{2 d}\right)$ we set:

- $U_{L}:=\left\{p \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{d}: \forall q \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{d}: L(p q)=0\right\}$ GNS kernel
- $V_{L}:=\frac{\mathbb{R}[X]_{d}}{U_{L}}$ GNS representation space of $\mathbf{L}$

■ $<\bar{p}, \bar{q}>_{L}:=L(p q)\left(p, q \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{d}\right)$ GNS scalar product

- Then we have built $\left(V_{L},<,>\right)$ an Euclidean Vector Space

■ $U_{L}:=\left\{p \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{d}: \forall q \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{d}: L(p q)=0\right\}$ GNS kernel

- $V_{L}:=\frac{\mathbb{R}[X]_{d}}{U_{L}}$ GNS representation space of $\mathbf{L}$

■ $<\bar{p}, \bar{q}>_{L}:=L(p q)\left(p, q \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{d}\right)$ GNS scalar product
■ Then we have built $\left(V_{L},<,>\right)$ an Euclidean Vector Space

- $U_{L}:=\left\{p \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{d}: \forall q \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{d}: L(p q)=0\right\}$ GNS kernel
- $V_{L}:=\frac{\mathbb{R}[X]_{d}}{U_{L}}$ GNS representation space of $\mathbf{L}$

■ $<\bar{p}, \bar{q}>_{L}:=L(p q)\left(p, q \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{d}\right)$ GNS scalar product
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■ $\Pi_{L}: V_{L} \rightarrow\left\{\bar{p}: p \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{d-1}\right\}$ orthogonal projection
■ $M_{L, i}: \Pi_{L} V_{L} \rightarrow \Pi_{L} V_{L}: \bar{p} \rightarrow \Pi_{L}\left(\overline{X_{i} p}\right)\left(p \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{d-1}\right)$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
$M_{L, i}$, called $\mathbf{i}$-th truncated GNS multiplication operator, is self-adjoint endomorphism of $\Pi_{L} V_{L}$
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- $L(p) \geq L_{0}(p)$ for all $p=\sum s^{2}$ with $s_{i} \in \mathbb{R}[X]_{=d}$. Indeed, if $s=\sum_{|\alpha|=d} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}$, then
$L\left(s^{2}\right)=L(s s)=\langle\bar{s}, \bar{s}\rangle=\left\langle\overline{\sum_{|\alpha|=d} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}}, \overline{\sum_{|\alpha|=d} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}}\right\rangle=$
$\left\langle\overline{\sum_{|\alpha|=d} X_{j_{\alpha}} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha-e_{j_{\alpha}}}}, \overline{\sum_{|\alpha|=d} X_{j_{\alpha}} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha-e_{j_{\alpha}}}}\right\rangle \geq$
$\left\langle\Pi\left(\overline{\sum_{|\alpha|=d} X_{j_{\alpha}} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha-e_{j_{\alpha}}}}\right), \Pi\left(\overline{\sum_{|\alpha|=d} X_{j_{\alpha}} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha-e_{j_{\alpha}}}}\right\rangle=\right.$
$\left\langle\sum_{|\alpha|=d} \Pi\left(\overline{X_{j_{\alpha}} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha-e_{j_{\alpha}}}}\right), \sum_{|\alpha|=d} \Pi\left(\overline{X_{j_{\alpha}} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha-e_{j_{\alpha}}}}\right)\right\rangle=$
$\left\langle\sum_{|\alpha|=d} M_{L, j_{\alpha}}\left(\overline{a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha-e_{j_{\alpha}}}}\right), \sum_{|\alpha|=d} M_{L, j_{\alpha}}\left(\overline{a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha-e_{j_{\alpha}}}}\right)\right\rangle=\ldots=$ $\left\langle s\left(M_{L, 1}, \ldots, M_{L, n}\right), s\left(M_{L, 1}, \ldots, M_{L, n}\right)\right\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j} s^{2}\left(y_{j}\right)=$ $L_{0}\left(s^{2}\right)$


## Corollary

Let $L \in\left(R_{2 d}\right)$ be an optimal solution and $f \in W$, and assume $M_{L, 1}, \ldots, M_{L, n}$ commute. Then there exist $y_{1}, \ldots y_{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}=1$ such that $L=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} e v_{y_{i}}$. And for $i=1, \ldots, r, y_{i}$ is a minimizer of $(P)$.

Proof:

- Since $f \in W$ we have $L_{\infty}(f) \geq 0$
- Since $L$ is an optimal solution and $L=L_{0}+L_{\infty}$ on $W$

$$
L(f)=L_{0}(f)+L_{\infty}(f) \leq f^{*} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} f\left(y_{i}\right)=L_{0}(f)
$$

Therefore $L_{\infty}(f) \leq 0$.
Then we have $L_{\infty}(f)=0$. And then $L(f)=f^{*}=L_{0}(f)=\lambda_{1} f\left(y_{1}\right)+\ldots+\lambda_{r} f\left(y_{r}\right)$, and we conclude $y_{1}, \ldots y_{r}$ are minimizers of the original polynomial optimization problem (P).

Danke schon!


