# Symmetric Tensor descomposition

# María López Directors: M.E.Alonso,A.Díaz-Cano Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Madrid, 25th novemeber 2011



UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE MADRID

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

#### Summary

# Objective

- Binary case
- Problem Formulations
  - Polynomial descomposition
  - Veronese and secant varieties and The Big Waring Problem

- Descomposition using duality
- Hankel operators and quotient algebra
- Truncated Hankel operators
- Symmetric tensor descomposition algorithm

■ K Algebraically closed field of Characteristic 0

• 
$$S := \mathbb{K}[x_0, ..., x_n], S_d := \mathbb{K}[x_0, ..., x_n]_d$$

• 
$$R := \mathbb{K}[x_1, ..., x_n], R_d := \mathbb{K}[x_1, ..., x_n]_d$$

■  $R^*$  has a natural structure of R-module:  $\forall \Lambda \in R^*$ :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} p * \Lambda : & R & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K} \\ & q & \longmapsto & \Lambda(pq) \end{array}$$

Typical elements of  $R^*$  are the linear forms, s.t. for all  $p = \sum p_{\beta} \overline{x}^{\beta} \in R$  and for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{K}^n$ :

$$ev(\xi): egin{array}{cccc} R & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K} \ p & \longmapsto & p(\xi) = \sum p_eta \xi^eta \end{array}$$

 $\overline{\delta}^{\alpha}_{\xi}: \begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K} \\ p & \longmapsto & \partial^{\alpha_1}_{x_1} \cdots \partial^{\alpha_n}_{x_n}(p)(\xi) \end{array}$ 

#### — Objective

## Definition

A tensor  $x_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_d} \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be symmetric if for any permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, ..., k\}$ :

$$x_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_k} = x_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_{\sigma(k)}}$$

We will be interested in the decomposition of a symmetric tensor A into a minimal linear combination of symmetric outer products of vectors (i.e. of the form  $v \otimes \cdots \otimes v$ ) such that:

$$A=\sum_{i=1}^r\lambda_iv\otimes\cdots\otimes v$$

#### - Objective

$$S^d(\mathbb{C}^n)\cong\mathbb{C}[x_o,...,x_n]_d$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^n & \longrightarrow & S_d = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d \\ [a_{i_1 \cdots i_n}]_{i_1 = 0 \cdots i_d = 0}^n & \longmapsto & \sum_{i_1 = 0 \cdots i_d = 0}^n a_{i_1 \cdots i_d} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d} \end{array}$$

## Reformulation of the problem:

The decomposition of a homogeneous polynomial f of degree d in n + 1 variables as a sum of d-th powers of linear forms, i.e.:

$$f(\overline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i (k_{i0}x_0 + \dots + k_{in}x_n)^d$$

### Definition

The minimal r is called the symmetric rank of f.

The binary case (Sylvester´s Algorithm)

### Theorem (Sylvester, 1886)

A binary form  $f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} {d \choose i} c_i x_1^i x_2^{d-i}$  can be written as a sum of dth powers of r distinct linear forms in  $\mathbb{C}$  as:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j (\alpha_j x_1 + \beta_j x_2)^d$$

if and only if :

• there exist a vector  $\overline{q} = (q_l)_{l=0}^r$  s.t.

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & \dots & c_r \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{d-r} & \dots & & c_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{q} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

• the polynomial  $q(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{l=0}^r q_l x_1^l x_2^{r-l}$  admits r distint roots, i.e. can be written as  $q(x_1, x_2) = \prod_{j=1}^r (\beta_j x_1 - \alpha_j x_2)$ .

Symmetric Tensor descomposition

— The binary case (Sylvester's Algorithm)

Binary form descomposition

Input:A binary polynomial  $p(x_1, x_2)$  of degree d with coefficients  $a_i = \binom{d}{i}c_i$ , s.t.  $0 \le i \le d$ 

Output: A descomposition of  $p(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j k(x)_j^d$  with minimal r

- 1. Initialize r = 0
- 2. Increment r := r + 1
- 3. If the matrix H[r] has  $ker(H[r]) = \overline{0}$  go to step 2
- 4. Else compute a basis k<sub>1</sub>,..,k<sub>l</sub> of the ker(H[r])
- 5. Specialization:
  - Take any vector in the kernel, eg  $\overline{k}$
  - Compute the roots of the associated polynomial  $k(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{l=0}^{r} k_l x_1^l x_2^{d-l}$
  - If the roots are not distint in  $\mathbb{P}_2,$  try another specialization. If cannot be obtained , go to step 2
  - Else if  $k(x_1, x_2)$  admits r distinct roots then compute coefficients  $\lambda_j \ 1 \le j \le r$  $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^d & \dots & \alpha_r^d \\ \alpha_1^{d-1} & \dots & \alpha_r^{d-1}\beta_r \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \beta_r^f & \dots & \beta_r^d \end{bmatrix} \overline{\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ \cdot \\ \vdots \\ a_d \end{bmatrix}$

• 6. The descomposition is  $p(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j (\alpha_j x_1 + \beta_j x_2)^d$ 

Symmetric Tensor descomposition

└─ The binary case (Sylvester´s Algorithm)

Binary form descomposition

### Problem Formulations

- Polynomial descomposition
- Veronese and secant varieties and The Big Waring Problem

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Descomposition using duality

Polynomial descomposition

Equating the coefficients of the same monomials:

$$f(\overline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i (k_{i0}x_0 + ... + k_{in}x_n)^d = \lambda_1 k_1(\overline{x})^d + ... + \lambda_r k_r(\overline{x})^d$$

It introduces r! redundant solutions, since every permutation of the linear form is a solution.

We get an over-constrained polynomial system, where the polynomials involved are of high degree, that is, d.

└─Veronese and secant varieties and The Big Waring Problem

# Big Waring Problem

Which is the minimun integer s s.t. the generic degree d homogeneous polynomial  $F \in S_d$  is the sum of at most s d – th powers of linear forms  $L_1, ..., L_s$ ?

$$F = L_1^d + \dots + L_s^d$$

Answered by J.Alexander, A-Hirschowitz, 1995

└─Veronese and secant varieties and The Big Waring Problem

### Definition

The image of the following map is the d-th Veronese variety,  $X_{n,d}$ :

$$u_d: \mathbb{P}(S_1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(S_d) 
onumber \ k(\overline{x}) \longmapsto k(\overline{x})^d
onumber$$

The polynomials of rank one are exactly those lying on X<sub>n,d</sub>
Variety of polinomials of rank r > 1 ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Symmetric Tensor descomposition

Problem Formulations

└─Veronese and secant varieties and The Big Waring Problem

#### Definition

The set that parameterizes polynomial homogeneus  $f \in S_d$  of rank at most s is:

$$\sigma_s^0(X_{n,d}) := \bigcup_{[L_1^d], \dots, [L_s^d] \in X_{n,d}} \left\langle [L_1^d], \dots, [L_s^d] \right\rangle$$

but in general,  $\sigma_s(X_{n,d})$  is not a variety

#### Definition

The s – th secant variety of  $X_{n,d} \subset \mathbb{P}(S_d)$ ,  $\sigma_s(X_{n,d})$ , is the Zariski closure of  $\sigma_s^0(X_{n,d})$ 

• The integer s that solves the Big Waring Problem is the minimum integer s for which  $\sigma_s(X_{n,d}) = \mathbb{P}(S_d)$ 

### Definition

The minimum integer s for which  $[F] \in \sigma_s(X_{n,d})$  is the symmetric border rank of F.

└─Veronese and secant varieties and The Big Waring Problem

### J.Alexander, A.Hirschowitz Theorem, 1995

 $\sigma_s(X_{n,d})$  has always dimension  $\min(sn + s - 1, \binom{n+d}{d} - 1)$ , except in the following cases:

■ 
$$n = 2, d = 4, s = 5$$

■ 
$$n = 3, d = 4, s = 9$$

■ 
$$n = 4, d = 4, s = 14$$

$$n = 4, d = 3, s = 7$$

The case of Veronese variety is the only one for which the defective case are completely classified.

Descomposition using duality

### Definition

Let  $f,g \in S_d$   $f = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} f_{\alpha} x_0^{\alpha_0} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$  and  $g = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} g_{\alpha} x_0^{\alpha_0} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ the apolar inner product on  $S_d$  is:

$$\langle f,g \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} f_{\alpha} g_{\alpha} {d \choose \alpha_0,...,\alpha_n}^{-1}$$

$$S_d \xrightarrow{\tau} S_d^* \xrightarrow{\pi} R_d^*$$

$$f \longmapsto f^* \longmapsto \Lambda_f$$
such that  $f^*: g \longmapsto \langle f, g \rangle$ 

• 
$$\langle f, k(\overline{x})^d \rangle = f(k)$$
  
•  $\tau(k(\overline{x})^d) = ev(k)$ 

Descomposition using duality

#### Proposition

Let  $f \in S_d$  and  $k_1, ..., k_r \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  such that  $k_{i,0} = 1$  for all *i*. Then *f* can be written as:

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i (x_0 k_{i,0} + ... + x_n k_{i,n})^d$$

if and only if  $\Lambda_f \in R_d$  can be written as:

$$\Lambda_f = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i ev(\underline{k}_i)$$

where  $\underline{k}_{i} = (k_{i,1}, ..., k_{i,n}).$ 

The problem of decomposition can be restated: Let  $\Lambda \in R_d^*$  find the minimal number of non-zero vectors  $k_1, ..., k_r \in \mathbb{K}^n$  and non zero scalars  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r \in K$  such that  $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i ev(k_i)$ .

## Definition

For any  $\Lambda \in R^*$  we define the Hankel operator:

$$egin{aligned} & H_{\Lambda}: R \longrightarrow R^{*} \ & p \longmapsto p * \Lambda \ & \mathbb{H}_{\Lambda} = & (\Lambda(x^{lpha+eta}))_{lpha,eta} lpha, eta \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \end{aligned}$$

#### Definition

Given 
$$B=b_1,..,b_r$$
,  $B'=b_1,..,b_r \subset R$  we define:

$$H^{B,B'}_{\Lambda}:\langle B\rangle \longrightarrow \langle B\rangle^*$$

as the restriction of  $H_{\Lambda}$  to the vector space  $\langle B \rangle$  and the map goes from  $R^*$  to  $\langle B' \rangle^*$ . Let  $\mathbb{H}^{B,B'}_{\Lambda} = (\Lambda(b_i b'_j))$ . If B = B' we also use  $H^B_{\Lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^B_{\Lambda}$ .

# Properties of the Hankel operators

- $I_{\Lambda}:=kerH_{\Lambda}$  is an ideal
- If  $rank(\mathbb{H}_{\Lambda}) = r < \infty$ 
  - $A_{\Lambda} = R/I_{\Lambda}$  is an algebra of dimension *r* over K.
  - A<sub>Λ</sub> is a Gorenstein algebra (i.e. A<sup>\*</sup><sub>Λ</sub> is a free module of rank 1), such that A<sup>\*</sup><sub>Λ</sub> = A<sub>Λ</sub> \* Λ.

• Let  $I_{\Lambda} = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_d$  and let  $A_i = ann(Q_i)$ , then  $A_{\Lambda} = A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_d$  and  $A_i^* = A_i * (e_i * \Lambda)$  where  $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_d$ .

#### Definition

For any  $\Lambda \in R^*$  s.t.  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} A_{\Lambda} < \infty$  and  $a \in A_{\Lambda}$  we define the operators of multiplication in  $A_{\Lambda}$ ,  $M_a: A_{\Lambda} \longrightarrow A_{\Lambda}$  such that  $b \in A_{\Lambda}$  $M_a(b) = ab$ . And its transposed  $M_a^*: A_{\Lambda}^* \longrightarrow A_{\Lambda}^*$  such that  $\forall \gamma \in A_{\Lambda}^*$  $M_a^*(\gamma) = a * \gamma$ 

#### Theorem

Let  $Z(I_{\Lambda}) = \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_d\}$  the variety defined by the ideal  $I_{\Lambda}$ :

- The eigenvalues of the operators  $M_a$  and  $M_a^*$  are given by  $\{a(\xi_1), ..., a(\xi_d)\}$
- The common eigenvectors of the operators (M<sup>\*</sup><sub>xi</sub>)∀i are (up to scalar) ev(ξ<sub>i</sub>)

# Inverse systems

- $\blacksquare \ R^* \approx \mathbb{K}[[\overline{\delta}_{\xi}]]$
- The map:  $\perp$ : {I  $\subset R$  an ideal s.t.  $Z(I) < \infty$ }  $\rightarrow$  {L R - module s.t. dim<sub>K</sub> $L < \infty$ } is bijective

• Let 
$$I = Q_{\xi_1} \cap \dots \cap Q_{\xi_d}$$
  
•  $(I^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{K}[\overline{\delta}_{\xi}])^{\perp} = Q_{\xi}.$   
•  $I^{\perp} = Q_{\xi_1}^{\perp} \oplus \dots \oplus Q_{\xi_d}^{\perp}$  and for all  $\Lambda \in I^{\perp}$ :

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{d} ev(\xi_i) \circ p_i(\overline{\partial})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Theorem

If rank  $H_{\Lambda} = r < \infty$  ,then:

- $A_{\Lambda}$  is of dimension r over  $\mathbb{K}$  and the set of roots  $Z(I_{\Lambda}) = \{\xi_1, .., \xi_d\}$  is finite with  $d \leq r$ .
- There exists  $p_i \in \mathbb{K}[\partial_1, .., \partial_n]$  such that

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{a} ev(\xi_i) \circ p_i(\overline{\alpha}).$$

Moreover, the multiplicity of  $\xi_i$  is the dimension of the vector space generated by  $ev(\xi_i) \circ p_i(\overline{\alpha})$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $\Lambda \in R^* \Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i ev(\xi_i)$  with  $\lambda_i \neq 0$  and  $\xi_i$  distint points of  $\mathbb{K}^n$ , iff rank $H_{\Lambda} = r$  and  $I_{\Lambda}$  is a radical ideal.

Truncated Hankel Operators

**Reformulation of the problem**: Given  $f^* \in R_d^*$  find the smallest r such that there exist  $\Lambda \in R^*$  which extends  $f^*$  with rank  $H_{\Lambda}$  of rank r and  $I_{\Lambda}$  a radical ideal

#### Definition

Let  $B \subset R_d$  be a set of monomials of degree at most d and  $f^* \in R_d^*$ . The Hankel matrix are:

$$\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\overline{h}) = (h_{\alpha+\beta})_{\alpha,\beta}\alpha, \beta \in B$$

where  $h_{\alpha} = f^*(\overline{x}^{\alpha})$  if  $card(\alpha) \leq d$ ; otherwise  $h_{\alpha}$  is a variable.

### Definition

Suppose that  $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}(\overline{h})$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{K}(\overline{h})$ . We define the multiplication operators:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{B}}(\overline{h}) := (\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^{\mathbf{B}}(\overline{h}))^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}*\mathbf{\Lambda}}^{\mathbf{B}}(\overline{h})$$

-Truncated Hankel Operators

#### Theorem

Let  $B \subset R$  be a set of monomials of degree at most d connected to  $1(m \in B \neq 1 \text{ implies } m = x_i m' \text{ with } m' \in B)$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a linear form in  $\langle B.B^+ \rangle_d^*$ . Let  $\Lambda(\overline{h})$  be the linear form of  $\langle B.B^+ \rangle^*$  defined by  $\Lambda(\overline{h})(\overline{x}_{\alpha}) = \Lambda(\overline{x}_{\alpha})$  if  $\alpha$  is at most d and  $h_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{K}$  otherwise. Then  $\Lambda(\overline{h})$  admits an extension  $\Lambda_e \in R_*$  such that  $H_{\Lambda_e}$  is of rank r with B a basis of  $A_{\Lambda_e}$  iff:

$$\blacksquare \ \mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{B}}_{\mathsf{i}} \circ \mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{B}}_{\mathsf{j}}(\overline{\mathsf{h}}) - \mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{B}}_{\mathsf{j}} \circ \mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{B}}_{\mathsf{i}}(\overline{\mathsf{h}}) = \mathbf{0}$$

•  $det(\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}})(\overline{\mathbf{h}}) \neq 0.$ 

Moreover, such  $\Lambda_e$  is unique.

Truncated Hankel Operators

#### Theorem

Let  $B = \{\overline{x}^{\beta_1}, ..., \overline{x}^{\beta_r}\}$  be a set of monomials of degree at most d, connected to 1, and let  $\Lambda \in \langle B^+B^+ \rangle_{\leq d}^*$  and  $\Lambda(\overline{h}) \in \langle B^+B^+ \rangle^*$  defined as follows:

$$\Lambda(\overline{h})(\overline{x}^{\gamma}) = \left\{egin{array}{cc} \Lambda(\overline{x}^{\gamma}) & \textit{if} \ |\gamma| \leq d; \ h_{\gamma} & \textit{in other case.} \end{array}
ight.$$

Then,  $\Lambda$  admits an extension  $\widetilde{\Lambda} \in R^*$  such that  $H_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}$  is of rank r, with B a basis of  $A_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}$  if and only if there exists a solution  $\overline{h}$  to the problem:

• *i*) All 
$$(r+1) \times (r+1)$$
 minors of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{B}^+}(\overline{\mathbf{h}})$  vanish.

• *ii*)det( $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ )( $\overline{\mathbf{h}}$ ) $\neq$  0.

Moreover, for every solution  $\overline{h}_0 \in \mathbb{K}^N$  an extension such  $\widetilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda(\overline{h_0})$  over  $\langle B^+B^+ \rangle$  is unique.

1

Symmetric tensor descomposition algorithm

Input: A homogeneous polynomial  $f(x_0, ..., x_n)$  of degree d Output: A decomposition of f as  $f = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i k_i(\overline{x})^d$  with r minimal

Compute the coefficients of 
$$\underline{f^*}$$
:  $c_{\alpha} = a_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$ 

2 Initialize r := 0

Increment r := r + 1

4 Specialization:

- Take any basis B connected to 1 with |B| = r
- Build the matrix H<sup>B+</sup><sub>f\*(h)</sub> with the coefficients c<sub>α</sub>.
- If there exists any minor of order r + 1 in H<sup>B+</sup><sub>f<sup>\*</sup>(h)</sub>, without coefficients depending on h, different to zero, try another specialization. If cannot be obtained go to step 3.
- Else if all minors of order r + 1 in H<sup>B+</sup><sub>f\*</sub>(h), without coefficients depending on h, vanish, compute h s.t:
  - det $(H^B_{\underline{f^*}(\overline{h})}) \neq 0$
  - the operators  $M_i^B(\overline{h}) := (H_{\underline{f^*}(\overline{h})}^B)^{-1}(H_{x_i * \underline{f^*}(\overline{h})})$  commute
  - the eigenvalues of M<sup>B</sup><sub>i</sub>(h) are simple

If there not exist such  $\overline{h}$  try another specialization. If cannot be obtained go to step 3.

Else if there exists such  $\overline{h}$  compute the eigenvalues  $\xi_{i,j}$  and the eigenvectors  $v_j$  s.t  $M_i^B v_j = \xi_{i,j} v_j$  for i = 1, ..., n and j = 1, ..., r.

Solve the linear system in  $(\lambda_j)$  s.t  $f(\overline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_j k_i(\overline{x})^d$  where  $k_i(\overline{x}) = (x_0 + v_{i,1}x_1 + \ldots + v_{i,n}x_n)$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ― 圖 – 釣�?

Symmetric tensor descomposition algorithm

To r = 3 and  $B = \int 1 y z$ 

Example

Let 
$$f(x, y, z) = 3x^4 + 4x^3y - 4x^3z + 6x^2y^2 - 12x^2yz + 18x^2z^2 + 4xy^3 - 12xy^2z + 12xyz^2 - 4xz^3 + y^4 - 4y^3z + 6y^2z^2 - 4yz^3 + 3z^4$$

$$M_{z}^{B} = (H_{\underline{f^{*}}}^{B})^{-1}H_{z*\underline{f^{*}}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{-1}{2} & 2 & \frac{1}{2}\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 3\\ -1 & -1 & 1\\ 3 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & -1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Symmetric tensor descomposition algorithm

#### Example

- The eigenvalues of  $(M_z^B)^t$  are  $x_1 = -1$ ,  $x_2 = -1$  and  $x_3 = 1$ ,
- The eigenvalues of  $(M_y^B)^t$  are  $x_1 = 0$ ,  $x_2 = 0$  and  $x_3 = 1$ .
- The eigenvalues of  $M_p^B$  are  $x_1 = 2$ ,  $x_2 = -2$  and  $x_3 = 0$ , with p = y + z.

The eigenvectors of  $M_z^t$  which are:

$$\xi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} \xi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix} \xi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$f = \lambda_1 (x+z)^4 + \lambda_2 (x+y-z)^4 + \lambda_3 (x-z)^4$$
  
$$f(x, y, z) = (x+z)^4 + (x+y-z)^4 + (x-z)^4$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Symmetric Tensor descomposition

Symmetric tensor descomposition algorithm

Example

# thanks!