

Tutorials for 'Real Closed Fields and Integer Parts'

Exercise Sheet 3: Theories, Axiomatisations and Quantifier-Elimination

General Note: All statements must always be proven. The bonus exercise is voluntary and will be awarded extra points.

Exercise 3.1 (Theories and Models)

- (a) Given a language \mathcal{L} and a set Σ of \mathcal{L} -sentences, let $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ be the class of \mathcal{L} -structures axiomatised by Σ . Show that

$$\text{Th}(\text{Mod}(\Sigma)) := \bigcap_{\mathcal{A} \in \text{Mod}(\Sigma)} \text{Th}(\mathcal{A})$$

is the deductive closure of Σ .

- (b) Let \mathcal{L} be a language and let \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{L} -structure. Show that $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$ is a complete \mathcal{L} -theory (cf. [Lecture Notes, Exercise 2.5.4]).
- (c) Let \mathcal{L} be a language and let T be an \mathcal{L} -theory. Show that T is complete if and only if, whenever \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are models of T , then $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{B}$.
- (d) For each of the five structures $\omega_{\text{semr}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{semr}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\text{semr}}, \mathbb{R}_{\text{semr}}, \mathbb{C}_{\text{semr}}$, write down an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{semr}}$ -sentence which is satisfied by that structure but not by any of the other four structures.
- (e) Show that the \mathcal{L}_{exp} -theory T_{rcf} of real closed exponential fields is not complete.

Exercise 3.2 (Quantifier-Elimination)

- (a) Does the \mathcal{L}_{or} -theory T_{of} of ordered fields admit quantifier-elimination? Justify your answer. Hint: Consider an \mathcal{L}_{or} -sentence φ such that $T_{\text{of}} \not\models \varphi$.
- (b) Does the \mathcal{L}_{mag} -theory $\text{Th}(\mathbb{Z}, \cdot)$ admit quantifier-elimination? Justify your answer. Hint: Show that for any quantifier-free \mathcal{L}_{mag} -formula $\varphi(\underline{x}, y)$ and any $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}$ the set $\varphi(\underline{a}, (\mathbb{Z}, \cdot))$ is finite or cofinite in \mathbb{Z} .¹

Exercise 3.3 (Axiomatisation and Elementary Equivalence)

Let \mathcal{L} be a language and let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be \mathcal{L} -structures such that M is finite and $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N}$. The aim of this exercise is to prove $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$ (cf. [Lecture Notes, Exercise 2.5.10]).

¹A subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is called **cofinite** in \mathbb{Z} if its complement $\mathbb{Z} \setminus C$ is finite.

- (i) Denote by $\mathcal{L}_=$ the **empty language** containing no relation, function, or constant symbols. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, specify an $\mathcal{L}_=$ -sentence $\varphi_{\geq n}$ axiomatising the class of sets that contain at least n elements and an $\mathcal{L}_=$ -sentence $\varphi_{=n}$ axiomatising the class of sets that contain exactly n elements, i.e. the $\mathcal{L}_=$ -sentences shall fulfill

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \models \varphi_{\geq n} & \text{ if and only if } |A| \geq n, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{A} \models \varphi_{=n} & \text{ if and only if } |A| = n, \text{ respectively,} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\mathcal{L}_=$ -structure $\mathcal{A} = (A)$.

- (ii) Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, show that the $\mathcal{L}_=$ -sentence $\varphi_{=n}$ has exactly one model up to $\mathcal{L}_=$ -isomorphism. Deduce that $|M| = |N|$.
- (iii) Write $M = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a bijection that is not an \mathcal{L} -isomorphism. Find a quantifier-free \mathcal{L} -formula $\varphi_f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that

$$\mathcal{M} \models \varphi_f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \text{ but } \mathcal{N} \not\models \varphi_f(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)).$$

- (iv) Prove that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$.

Bonus Exercise (Axiomatisation of ReCloFIP)

Consider the language $\mathcal{L}_{\text{orip}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{or}} \cup \{Z\}$, where Z denotes a unary relation symbol, and the class

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{rakuga}} := \left\{ \mathcal{K} = (K, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1, <, Z^K) \mid \begin{array}{l} K_{\text{or}} \text{ is a real closed field and} \\ Z(K) \text{ is an integer part of } K \end{array} \right\}$$

of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{orip}}$ -structures. Find an axiomatisation for $\mathcal{C}_{\text{rakuga}}$.

Definition E.3.1. An ordered ring $(R, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$ is called **discretely ordered** if 1 is the least positive element.

Definition E.3.2. Let K be an ordered field. An \mathcal{L}_{or} -substructure Z of K is an **integer part** of K if it is a discretely ordered ring and for any $a \in K$ there exists $z \in Z$ such that $z \leq a < z + 1$.

Please hand in your solutions by **Thursday, 5 May 2022, 11:45 (postbox 18 in F4)**.